



The stomata are the biological pores through which trace gases pass between vegetation and the atmosphere. If we are to understand biometeorology we must have a deep understanding and appreciation for stomata




Gotta mix some arts with the sciences. Here is a poem I wrote for a scaling article, after getting dissatisfied with so many articles sounding so similar.

http://images.fineartamerica.com/images-medium-large-5/2-stomata-on-epidermisof-rose-leaf-power-and-syred.jpg


On campus we want our students to gain capacities in being: 1) literate (to communicate, think critically, express your ideas clearly and accept feedback), 2) numerate (to compute, grapple with data and turn it into information and knowledge), 3) creative (to build, design, fabricate; it can be music, writings, apps, models) and 4) investigative (use the scientific method to discover new things and information). Hopefully, through this class you are getting a chance to balance these pillars of your education.




Key features and attributes of stomata. They differ on different plants





Cross section of a leaf. Of note is the epidermis and cuticle, the spongy and palisade mesophyll, intercellular space and the guard cells that define the stomata




Guard cells and subsidiary cells. Movement of cations and anions in an out of the guard cell change its osmotic water potential. Differences in water potential drive movement of water in and out of the guard cell. Movement in, increase turgor. This causes the cell to bulge and because of microfibriles, it bends and forms a pore. Movement of water out of the guard cell causes it to be flaccid and close.












Proposed mechanisms, after Buckley, 2005

- Hydro-Passive feedback
 - Cannot explain most hydraulically related stomatal responses
 - eg short-term wrong way response when humidity decreases
- Feed Forward Mechanism
 - Explains why transpiration declines as humidity gradients between leaf and the air increase
 - The FeedForward effect is 'Apparent'
 - It results from ABA production
 - · Can be explained in terms of hysteresis in HydroActive Feedback
- Hydro-Active feedback
 - A metabolically mediated response of guard cells to local water status
 - Stomata respond similarly to all changes in hydraulic continuum by a mechanism involving active guard cell osmoregulation
 - humidity, xylem resistance, soil water potential, transpiration


ESPM 129 Biometeorology









Data from Sayre 1926, figure from Monson and Baldocchi (2014)




Brown and Escombe argued that diffusion out of a pore is 3 dimensional which augments the transfer







How do stomata respond to environmental drivers? There is a broad band response of stomata to PAR, photosynthetically active radiation. But action spectra shows a preferred response to blue light. Early makes of the LICOR 6400 photosynthesis instrument used LED and was not capable of illuminating the blue light well enough. Recent advances in LEDs, which led to the 2014 Nobel prize in Physics, produced a blue led that was later incorporated into the measurement system and an improvement was made in measuring stomatal conductance with that instrument.




Light response curve we measured for a white oak in Tennessee between stomatal conductance and PAR. It has a saturating response curve like photosynthesis, given steady temperature and CO2.




Environmental response functions show strong correlations among stomatal conductance and photosynthesis. This later led to a leading model by Ball and Berry. In this case CO2 is constant and light varies.




On the other hand there is an inverse relation between stomatal conductance and the CO2 concentration in the intercellular regions of a leaf. If CO2 is high, the stomata don't need to open so widely and lose more water.



In this instance, if we keep light constant and increase CO2 we will see an increase in photosynthesis, A, but a decrease in stomata conductance. Is something broken?




The A-Ci curve lets us explore how and why stomatal conductance decreases with CO2 and photosynthesis increases. Gs is related to the ratio between A/(Ca-Ci). If this difference is zero, gs goes to infinity. But in practice Ci is about 0.7 Ca for C3 plants so the slope decreases as Ci differs from Ca more and more. We also see a change in slope if photosynthetic capacity decreases. Hence stomatal conductance is less for leaves with lower photosynthetic capacity.




Photosynthetic capacity scales with leaf nitrogen, so we see an increase in stomatal conductance with more leaf nitrogen

| vegetation type | nitrogen content | specific leaf area | max stomatal conductance |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| | mg/g | m2/kg | mm/s |
| broadleaved crops | 38.4 | 23.6 | 12.2 |
| cereals | 33.6 | 25.3 | 11 |
| deciduous conifers | 20.7 | 11.3 | 3.8 |
| evergreen conifers | 11 | 4.1 | 5.5 |
| monsoonal forest | 11.4 | 4.3 | 3.5 |
| sclerophyllous shrubland | 11.4 | 6.9 | 4.8 |
| temperate deciduous forest | 19.6 | 11.5 | 4.6 |
| temperate deciduous fruit trees | 23.8 | 10.1 | |
| temperature broadleaved evergreen | 13.4 | 5.7 | 5.1 |
| temperate grassland | 25.5 | 16.9 | 8.2 |
| tropical deciduous forest | 27.1 | 14.1 | 6.6 |
| tropical plantation | 13.6 | 6.8 | |
| tropical grassland | 10.7 | | |
| tropical rainforest | 16.5 | 9.9 | 5 |
| tundra | 20.5 | | 5.9 |
| | ESPM 12 | 9 Biometeorol | ogy |



Towards a New Synthesis.. What drives stomata? Is it environmental variables like light, temperature, humidity differences or the Flux of water?



Pieruschuka and Berry performed some clever work by changing the IR flux with a cold mirror and not sunlight. This change in energy changed leaf evaporation and stomatal conductance. This elegant experiment confirms some earlier contentions by Monteith and others that stomatal conductance better scaled with Transpiration than environmental variables

| Summary | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Carriery | | | | |
| Stomata are active pores on leaves that regulate the transfer of carbon dioxide and water vapor between plants and the atmosphere | | | | |
| Though covering a small fraction of the surface of a leaf, their distribution allows three-dimensional transfer to enhance the area- weighted one-dimensional flux, hence the pore paradox. | | | | |
| Water vapor, CO2 and air bump into each other as they pass through the small diameter of the stomatal pore. Hence, ternary diffusion needs to be considered when computing leaf level trace gas fluxes (especially leaf photosynthesis) and properties (Ci) properly. | | | | |
| a metabolically mediated feedback response of stomatal guard cells to the water status in their immediate vicinity ('hydro-active local feedback') remains the best explanation for many well-known features of hydraulically related stomatal behaviour | | | | |
| A-Ci curve helps diagnose how stomata respond to environmental drivers like light, CO2 and leaf nitrogen | | | | |
| ESPM 129 Biometeorology | | | | |