

Stomatal conductance has a strong dependence upon humidity deficits



There is no universal function between stomatal conductance and humidity deficits. Some plants are more sensitive than others Hall et al 1976.



Other data show complex interactions between humidity deficits, transpiration and stomatal conductance...leading others to consider feedback and feedforward response.



Farquhar and Jones are among those arguing in terms of feedforward rather than feedback effects on stomata, humidity and transpiration.

## Wikipedia

**Feed-forward** is a term describing an element or pathway within a <u>control</u> <u>system</u> which passes a controlling signal from a source in its external environment, often a command signal from an external operator, to a load elsewhere in its external environment. A control system which has only feed-forward behavior responds to its control signal in a pre-defined way without responding to how the load reacts; it is in contrast with a system that also has <u>feedback</u>, which adjusts the output to take account of how it affects the load, and how the load itself may vary unpredictably; the load is considered to belong to the external environment of the system.



Lots of data show that happy leaves have a operating point where stomata open and close to keep the ratio of the internal CO2 concentration to its atmospheric value near 0.7 (for C3 leaves). Our data supports this for as long as the stomata are relatively open. Closure can cause a drawdown in Ci and reduce this ratio. Isotopic ecohydrologists use measures of the stable isotope content of a leaf, del 13C as a measure of ci/ca and infer stomatal conductance.



Stomata are coupled to leaves, coupled to xylem, coupled to roots and the soil. So we need to consider the soil-plant-water-atmosphere continuum to understand stomatal behavior during periods of soil moisture deficits.





There is a tight linkage between the hydraulic plumbing of a plant and stomatal conductance



Also a link between conductance and photosynthesis



Soil water deficits have an impact on the value and diel course of stomatal conductance.



Stomata close with less water in soil. But plants are better measures of the energy that water is held, eg water potential, than moisture content. Available water can differ greatly for sand, clay and loam for the same water content in the soil.



Lots of data, including some from my dissertation, show that stomata stay open until a critical water potential, lik -1.0 to -1.5 Mpa. Then they close, increasing the resistance, or decreasing the conductance.



Trees don't like to have bubbles or embolisms form in their xylem. So as soils dry there can be feedbacks to reduce the hydraulic conductance













## Summary, Key Points From an environmental standpoint, stomata open and close with changes in light, temperature, humidity, CO2, soil moisture, a hormone (ABA) and transpiration. Stomatal conductance scales with photosynthesis and transpiration. As guard cells respond to light, their solute concentration increases. This allows water to enter the guard cell, forcing them to bow and open. As guard cells respond to CO2, there is a solute efflux from the guard cells. This forces the turgor of the guard cells to decrease and the stomata to close. Direct effects on stomata occur by high transpiration rates and high humidity deficits. Water loss from the guard cells force them to close. Soil moisture deficits trigger the product of ABA, which acts as a signal and causes stomata to close. Stomata tend to open and close to maintain Ci/Ca of C3 plants near 0.7 and Ci/Ca of C4 plants near 0.4. From a dynamic standpoint, stomatal movement is relatively slow, changing over the course of a half-hour to a change in light. The time response will be faster if a leaf is induced. The shape of the diurnal pattern of stomatal conductance is a strong indicator as to whether the plant is suffering from soil water deficits. When soil moisture deficits occur, stomatal closure can be patchy. ESPM 129 Biometeorology