

THE CONTROVERSY OVER LIVING FORCE: LEIBNIZ TO D'ALEMBERT

A thesis submitted to the Graduate School of
the University of Wisconsin in partial fulfillment
of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of
Philosophy.

by

merchants

Carolyn [^]Ilitis

Degree to be awarded

January 19—

June 19⁶⁷

August 19—

To Professors: Hiebert ✓

Weinberg

Siegfried ✓

This thesis having been approved in respect
to form and mechanical execution is referred to
you for judgment upon its substantial merit.

Robert M. Beck

Dean

Approved as satisfying in substance the
doctoral thesis requirement of the University of
Wisconsin.

Edward N. Hitt

Major Professor

Julius R. Winters
Robert Siegfried

Date of Examination, March 22, 1967

THE CONTROVERSY OVER LIVING FORCE
LEIBNIZ TO D'ALEMBERT
BY
CAROLYN ILTIS

A thesis submitted in partial fulfillment of the
requirements for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
(History of Science)
at the
UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN

1967

AWB

I299

C2924

v. 1

COPYRIGHT BY
CAROLYN MERCHANT ILLIS
1967

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

It is a pleasure to record my gratitude to Professor Erwin N. Hiebert who supervised the writing of this dissertation. His many very valuable suggestions, his patience and thoroughness have made this study exciting and its completion possible. I am also deeply indebted to Professor Julius Weinberg for his criticisms and suggestions regarding the philosophical aspects of this dissertation, to Professor Keith Symon for his comments on aspects of Leibniz's physics and to Dr. Joan Bromberg for her critical reading and sustained encouragement. Thanks and acknowledgement are extended to the E. B. Fred Fellowship Committee and the Carnegie Corporation of New York who provided the funds supporting the research.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
LIST OF DIAGRAMS.	v
INTRODUCTION	1
Chapter	
I. DESCARTES: THE HETEROGENEITY OF MOTION AND DIRECTION	11
II. THE LAWS OF IMPACT: WALLIS, WREN, AND HUYGENS (1669).	36
III. LEIBNIZ'S DYNAMICS AND THE INITIATION OF THE CONTROVERSY (1686-1716)	69
IV. THE DEFENSE OF THE CARTESIAN HYPOTHESIS: CATALAN, ARNAULD, MALEBRANCHE, PAPIN (1687-1691)	107
V. THE EMERGENCE OF THE EXPERIMENTAL VERIFICATION OF <u>VIS VIVA</u> : 'S GRAVESANDE AND POLENI (1718- 1722)	146
VI. BRITISH REACTIONS AND 'S GRAVESANDE'S REPLY (1722-1729)	188
VII. PRIZE WINNING ESSAYS OF THE FRENCH ACADEMY (1720-1726)	235
VIII. JEAN BERNOULLI AND THE FRENCH REACTIONS (1727-1729)	275
IX. RE-EXAMINATION OF LIVING FORCE IN THE 1740's. .	324
CONCLUSION	374
APPENDIX	385
BIBLIOGRAPHY	394

LIST OF DIAGRAMS

Diagram	Page
1. Descartes, <u>Principia Philosophiae</u> , 1644 . . .	16
2. Descartes, <u>La Dioptrique</u> , 1637.	20
3. Wren, <u>Phil. Trans.</u> , 1668	46
4. Huygens, <u>Journal de scavans</u> , 1669	49
5. Huygens, <u>Phil. Trans.</u> , 1669	51,52
6. Huygens, <u>De Motu Corporum ex Percussione</u> , 1703	55
7. Huygens, <u>Ibid.</u>	57
8. Huygens, <u>Ibid.</u>	60
9. Huygens, <u>Ibid.</u>	63
10. Huygens, <u>Ibid.</u>	64
11. Leibniz, <u>Acta Eruditorum</u> , 1686	73
12. Leibniz, "Specimen Dynamicum", 1695	100
13. Leibniz, <u>Correspondance with Arnauld</u> , 1686	112
14. Leibniz, <u>Acta Eruditorum</u> , 1690	133
15. Leibniz's Arguments Against Quantity of Motion.	144,145
16. 'S Gravesande, <u>Mathematical Principles</u> , 1719	152
17. 'S Gravesande, <u>Ibid.</u>	157
18. Poleni, <u>De Castellis</u> , 1718	159
19. 'S Gravesande "Nouvelle Theorie sur le choc".	171
20. Desaguliers, <u>Phil. Trans.</u> , 1724	194

21.	Desaguliers, <u>Phil. Trans.</u> , 1724	197
22.	Maziere, <u>Recueil des Pièces</u> , 1726	266
23.	W. Scott, "The Significance of Hard Bodies," 1960	274
24.	Bernoulli, <u>Recueil des Pièces</u> 1727.	282
25.	Bernoulli, <u>Ibid.</u>	287
26.	Camus, <u>Paris, Mem. Acad. Sci.</u> , 1728	295
27.	Mairan, <u>Paris, Mem. Acad. Sci.</u> , 1728.	316
28.	Du Châtelet, <u>Institutions de Physique</u> , 1740 . . .	327
29.	Deidier, <u>Nouvelle refutation</u> , 1741	348
30.	D'Alembert, <u>Traité de dynamique</u> , 1743	355-357
31.	D'Alembert, <u>Traité de dynamique</u> , 1758. . . .	361-363