

## **Topics Covered**

- Principles
  - Water Balance
  - Water Potential
- · Water in the Soil
- Water in the Air
- Evaporation
- BioPhysical Controls on Evaporation

ESPM 111 Ecosystem Ecology



What are the sources and losses of water through and ecosystem?

Sources of water are through precipitation, snow and fog interception; in dry environments like Chile or the redwoods during the summer fog interception is a significant source of water. Other gains are through access to the water table, phraetic plants, and the soil moisture reservoir through roots and hydraulic lift. Losses include soil evaporation, transpiration, evaporation from water surfaces and sublimation from snow. Sinks tap water through roots



What controls the water cycle. Again Supply and Demand are themes. I also want to stress the coupling and interconnection between the water, energy, carbon and nutrient fluxes and cycles in regulating water loss. While climate may affect supply and demand, ecology and ecophysiology affects on the controls on the supply and demand. Energy from the sun is primary, but it is absorbed radiation, not radiation per se. So the amount of leaf area index has a profound effect on how much solar energy is absorbed. Plant area also affect the control of water loss through regulating the stomatal aperature. And because stomatal conductance and photosynthesis are closely linked, factors that control photosynthesis have an impact on stomatal conductance (eg leaf nitrogen) and vice versa ( humidity deficits and CO2). Of course the link to N brings in the nitrogen cycle as a secondary control on evaporation, but one that can have big impacts on how and why one ecosystem in a certain climate ecological zone uses water differentlt than another. Together ecosystem ecology helps use describe ecohydrology and have a handle on short and long term feedbacks between plants and the water cycle.



We must study water through the energy that makes it move. Hence we have to introduce chemical water potential. Because we express it in terms of unit volume it has units of pressure, force per unit area.



Total pressure is the additive sum of its components, some you may know (like pressure and gravity) and others that may be new (like osmosis and matric)



We use a Scholander pressure 'bomb' to measure total leaf water potential. The leaf is placed in a sturdy metal chamber and the pressure of air is increased. At equilibrium sap will start to exude out the cut petiole. Domestic plants tend to wilt when the water potential is below -1.5 MPa, or -15 atmospheres. Drought adapted oaks can function as low as -6.0 MPa.



**Definitions and Terminology** 



Let's deconstruct these ideas of water potential. Let's first consider pure water. By definition its water potential is zero. So if you are deep in a pool the pressure potential you feel is the opposite of the gravitation potential that is pushing down on you.



Pressure potential can be positive or negative. Think of the case of the stomatal guard cells, the movement of water into these cells makes the turgid as pressure potential is positive. This leads to their opening. In the reverse case, they can experience negative pressure potential as they become water leaves and they become flaccid. The actual potential of a sample of pure water in water is defined as zero



Solutes in water reduce its free energy and make its water potential more negative. So a plant in salty water is exposed to a more negative water potential, hence it is harder for it to extract water for its biological use than if its roots were exposed to pure water.



Matric water potential is exerted on water in soils, as well as in cells and the plant xylem. So water can be tightly bound to the surfaces of soils. There is moisture there, but a low water potentials it is not biologically available. More over different soil textures will experience different water potentials at the same volumetric water content. This is why it is so important to think about water availability to microbes and plants in terms of water potential than water content. We will explore this later with the topic of water retention curves.



We are most associated with gravitational potential. Its value depends on the position relative to a reference, known as head



How does a Straw work? Does Paris suck the water out of the glass?; The technical answer is no. Sucking evacuates the atmospheric pressure acting on the water column under the straw. With it evacuated of air pressure, the pressure on the water exposed in the glass pushes it up the straw to a new equilibrium.



This is an important limit as it also establishes the limit of lifting ground water with a suction pump. If you are interested in doing work for the Peace corp and bring water to underserved people you should know this fact. It is a reason companies like Jacuzzi develop submersible pumps.



While plants cells and microbes sense water potential, we tend to measure volumetric water content, the cubic volume of water in a cubic volume of soil. The relation between water potential and volumetric water content is very non linear. When soils are dry, they hold little water and it takes lots of energy to extract even a little more. When soils are wet, moisture is less tightly bound and is lost with less energy. PedoTransfer functions, like this, describe the relation between these two variables and differ for each soil, like clay, sand, loams, peats.



Consequently, due to energetic arguments different soils hold different amounts of water and gain and lose it at different volumetric water contents. The constant is that most plants function between the field capacity and permanent wilting point, which have well set and quasi university water potentials. Granted a drought adapted plant like an oak leaf will wilt at a much lower water potential than a hydric plant like lettuce.



As mentioned above it takes energy to move water. This leads us to define the soilplant-atmosphere continuum, SPAC. This continuum can be described like an electrical network with resistors in parallel and series, ground states and nodes. We all know water moves down, but in terms of SPAC water moves physically upward, but downward energetically. The key resistances are exerted by the roots, the xylem of the stem, the stomata of leaves and the boundary layer of the atmosphere. This is a very very fundamental concept of this chapter, one that will be tested

Stomatal control is key to prevent plants from evaporating like open water plates they exert control; on a summer day the water potentil of a leaf may be -0.7 MPa and it may be exposed to a dry atmosphere with a water potential of -50 MPa. This is a strong gradient unless something is retarding this transfer.

Water potential of pure water is zero by definition



The idea of matric water potential enables the adhesion-cohesion theory to lift water up the column of xylem cells. Water is polar, so bonds allow adjacent water moleculars cohesion with one another, tied together like a long string. Adhesion with the surface of vessels and tracheids in the xylem enable the string of water to work its way upward to the demand by the atmosphere.



Drought is a reality. In recent years, the Western US and California have experienced prolong and wide-spread Drought. What does this do to ecosystems, who depend on water?



Drought is a reality and constant in California, so knowing how evaporation varies with water content of the soil is critical. The response tends to follow a threshold response.



Here are our data for the oaks and grasslands.



The oaks I work tend to be isohydric in the early phase of summer drought. As the soil gets drier and drier, the stomata close to reduce more water loss and keep the predawn water potential in a narrow range. This reduces evaporation, but occurs at the cost of reduced carbon uptake. In the later stage the oaks seem Anisohydric, but it is known that their roots tap ground water.



Pinyon experience 50% loss of conductivitity at much higher (less negative) water potentials than Juniper (-4 vs -7 Mpa)



Why would trees take a conservative strategy to close their stomata, reduce transpiration and potential photosynthesis? They have invested in woody biomass with a relatively expensive conducting xylem. If the atmospheric pull is too great it may cause bubbles, air pockets, that would break the thin line of water being pulled through the transpiration stream. Too many embolisms and the tree dies. Angiosperms are less conservative than gynosperms, hence angiosperms are more vulnerable to death when severe drought occurs. They operate on a tight margin between critical values, like the water potential defining 50% of conductive loss

See Sperry, Tyree and Cochard, Psi 50 is water potential where 50% loss of hydraulic conductivity occurs



Evaporation consists of evaporation from the soil and transpiration from plants. This is the green water flows



Flux of water is very important. We can conceptualize it using an analogy to Ohm's law where the flux is determined by the difference in the potential divided by the sum of resistances. The potential is defined by the vapor pressure of the atmosphere and the saturation vapor pressure as the surface temperature of a leaf (the interior of the leaf is essentially wet). The resistances are imposed by the stomata, a physiological resistance, and the boundary layer resistance of the leaf, a function of its size and wind velocity.



The amount of possible water loss is determined by the energy balance and the energy consumed by latent heat exchange, LE.



Potential evaporation is important for managing crops and understanding the limitations imposed by physiological control



Grasslands don't have enough water to operate full bore at their potential rates. They do so in the spring when there is water, then the plants dry up and die during the period with the greatest evaporative demand.





This is the most famous and important equation in biometeorology. It blends physiological control of evaporation, as defined by Ohms law, with meteorological control, as defined by the energy balance. It is a highly non linear function but in essence it tell us how evaporation changes with available energy, the vapor pressure deficit of the atmosphere and resistances (the inverse is conductance, G) imposed by the surface and atmospheric boundary layer and turbulence.



The big leaf conductance is affected by the amount of leaf area index sustained and the opening of stomatal. These are functions of soil moisture, photosynthetic capacity, leaf nitrogen.



Here are data I collected showing the ranking of the surface conductance with different plant types. Through evolution and limitations in environmental conditions they impose different resistances to water loss. The wheat is most open and the jack pine and oak savanna are most conservative. C4 corn has a greater resistance than C3 wheat for physiological reasons.



Same world different ways of operating. The oak and grass are 2 km away and yet they evaporate much differently.



This is the ecophysiological logic on how and why surface conductance can rank amount plant types. Stomatal conductance scales with photosynthesis. So plants with the greatest capacity will have greater conductances. This capacity is a function of N which dominates the photosynthetic enzyme Rubisco.



Does biodiversity affect evaporation? Should it, based on the discussion we had? We have to think of scaling on a plant vs plant level vs plant vs land area. Energy is available at the land area basis and plants fill this niche occurdingly. Because species is a human construct and it says nothing about plant traits, we find little correlation between water loss and biodiversity, per se. Not to say diversity is not important. Just that the number of species may not affect water loss.



What about grasses vs forests? The conventional wisdom was that forests used more water, so water managers in the UK would favor deforestation to increase water yield. Yet this long term study shows the results depend upon the age of the forest. Over 30 years the trends intersected and crossed. Here is an important set of evidence supporting the need for long term ecological studies.



Globally annual evaporation can be ranked with limitations imposed by limited precipitation, or in wet areas limted energy.



This gives one a sense how much water is evaporated by forests across the world.



















ESPM 111 Ecosystem Ecology













McDowell et al. Tansley Review

Anisohydric plants operate at a tighter safety margin to the point of hydraulic failure.

Isohydric plants are more vulnerable to embolism, but they are more adept at avoiding hydraulic failure, but at the cost of carbon starvation.