# **USE OF HIGH RESOLUTION LIDAR AND HYPERSPECTRAL DATA TO DETECT CHANGES IN ENERGY BALANCE AND** WATER USE CAUSED BY HETEROGENEITY IN FOREST **STRUCTURE**.

Eva van Gorsel<sup>a</sup>, N. Kljun<sup>b</sup>, J.A.J. Berni<sup>a</sup>, L. Chasmer<sup>c</sup>, A. Cabello-Leblic<sup>a</sup>, H. Cleugh<sup>a</sup>, A. Held<sup>a</sup>, V. Haverd<sup>a</sup>, J. Lovell<sup>a</sup>, D. Jupp<sup>a</sup>, J. Hacker<sup>d</sup>, Ch. Hopkinson<sup>e</sup>, R. Leuning<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup> CSIRO CMAR, ACT, Australia <sup>b</sup> Swansea University, Swansea, UK, <sup>c</sup> Wilfrid Laurier University, Waterloo, Canada <sup>d</sup> Flinders University, SA, Australia <sup>e</sup> Applied Geomatics Research Group, Lawrencetown, Canada

Introduction: Forest ecosystems modulate the climate through carbon sequestration but also through alterations of the surface roughness and the albedo which lead to changes in the energy and water balance. We test the use of variables derived from remotely sensed data as input into the Penman-Monteith (P-M) model to quantify these changes.



leaf area index (LAI)



albedo  $\alpha$ 

 $\alpha$  = 0.1385e<sup>-0.0050</sup> 0.25 0.20

Calibration of LiDAR returns ( $f_{cover}$ ) with digital hemispheric photography leads to LAI product [1,2]. *ul* and *ll* stand for upper and lower height limit (here 8 and 44 m).

#### 0.15 0.10 $\alpha(\mathbf{X},\mathbf{Y},t)$ 0.05 >0.35 0.30-0.35 0.25-0.30 0.20-0.25 0.15-0.20 0.10-0.15 0.05-0.10 0.00-0.05 0.00 hyperspectral solar elevation angle ( $\theta$

To create a time series of the spatial distribution of  $\alpha$  the average  $\alpha$  of the image is scaled to the site albedo as  $f(\theta)$ .

#### 350 measued modelled 5 day daytime average 300 250 200 λE (Wm latent hea (Wm<sup>-2</sup>) >400 43-47 38-43 33-38 28-33 23-28 19-23 14-19 9-14 4-9 0-4 150 350-400 300-350 250-300 100 200-250 $\lambda E(x, y, t_i)$ footprint function $f_t(x, y, t_i)$ [3] 150-200 100-150 50-100 50 30/12/2009 1/10/2009

Hourly maps of  $\lambda E$  are calculated. They are then footprint weighted and subsequently compared to  $\lambda E$  measured at the tower (slope=1.00, r=0.73, fractional bias (fb)=0.04 and nmse=0.13). This is very similar to  $\lambda E$ derived with an average LAI and A from the tower (slope = 1.18, r=0.75, fb=-0.14, nmse= 0.13 ). Aggregation of  $\lambda E$  to 5 day daytime averages leads an improved correlation of r=0.88, indicating that heat storage should be accounted for at smaller time scales. The equilibrium evapotranspiration for the time period is 1.58 times  $\lambda E$ .



We can then investigate how inhomogeneities in forest structure caused e.g. by selective logging impact on the energy balance and water use. This is quantified for 3 example areas logged in 1984, 1991 and 2008 and confirms that less energy goes into evapotranspiration in the less recovered areas. Not only is less energy available in those areas (higher reflectance) but of that energy a relatively larger fraction goes into sensible heat (amber dots in scatter plot). Therefore the increase in water use is strongest for an increase in low LAIs (blue dots).

## model validation and performance

# **Conclusions**

•The P-M model provides a robust estimate of the latent heat flux derived from remote sensing<sup>[4]</sup> and - if optimised for c, the site specific mean surface conductance per unit LAI - allows the quantification of the impact of surface heterogeneity and disturbance on the energy balance and on the water use in high spatial resolution.

## Outlook

•Successful modeling of the albedo with a radiative transfer model will reduce the needed remote sensing data to lidar data.

•Successful modeling of the heat storage will lead to an improved model performance on an hourly basis.



### References \*http://www.ozflux.org.au/monitoringsites/tumbarumba/index.html

[1] Berni, J.A.J. et al. (2011): Mapping Pigment Concentration and Leaf Area Index from High Spatial Resolution LiDAR and Hyperspectral Airborne Data. Applications in Land Surface Modeling. FLUXNET and Remote Sensing Open Workshop, June 7-9, Berkeley, CA

[2] Chasmer L. et al. (2011): Using a Flux Footprint Model and Airborne LiDAR to Characterize Vegetation Structure and Topography Frequently Sampled by Eddy Covariance: Implications for MODIS GPP and Scaling. FLUXNET and Remote Sensing Open Workshop, June 7-9, Berkeley, CA [3] Kljun, N. et al. (2011): Airborne LiDAR and Hyperspectral Data for Flux Tower Sites.

[4] Cleugh, H. et al. (2007): Regional evaporation estimates from flux tower and MODIS satellite data. Remote Sensing of Environment 106, 285-304. 

## **Further information**

contact: Eva van Gorsel +61 02 6246 5611 phone: eva.vangorsel@csiro.au email: www.cmar.csiro.au web:

#### www.csiro.au