Leaf age affects the seasonal pattern of photosynthetic capacity and net ecosystem exchange of carbon in a deciduous forest

K. B. WILSON,¹ D. D. BALDOCCHI² & P. J. HANSON³

¹Atmospheric Turbulence and Diffusion Division, NOAA, PO Box 2456, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA, ²Department of Environmental Science, Policy and Management, University of California, Berkeley, 151 Hilgard Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA and ³Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, PO Box 2008, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

ABSTRACT

Temporal trends in photosynthetic capacity are a critical factor in determining the seasonality and magnitude of ecosystem carbon fluxes. At a mixed deciduous forest in the south-eastern United States (Walker Branch Watershed, Oak Ridge, TN, USA), we independently measured seasonal trends in photosynthetic capacity (using single-leaf gas exchange techniques) and the wholecanopy carbon flux (using the eddy covariance method). Soil respiration was also measured using chambers and an eddy covariance system beneath the canopy. These independent chamber and eddy covariance measurements, along with a biophysical model (CANOAK), are used to examine how leaf age affects the seasonal pattern of carbon uptake during the growing season. When the measured seasonality in photosynthetic capacity is represented in the CANOAK simulations, there is good agreement with the eddy covariance data on the seasonal trends in carbon uptake. Removing the temporal trends in the simulations by using the early season maximum value of photosynthetic capacity over the entire growing season overestimates the annual carbon uptake by about 300 g C m⁻² year⁻¹ – half the total estimated annual net ecosystem exchange. Alternatively, use of the mean value of photosynthetic capacity incorrectly simulates the seasonality in carbon uptake by the forest. In addition to changes related to leaf development and senescence, photosynthetic capacity decreased in the middle and late summer, even when leaf nitrogen was essentially constant. When only these middle and late summer reductions were neglected in the model simulations, CANOAK still overestimated the carbon uptake by an amount comparable to 25% of the total annual net ecosystem exchange.

Key-words: Ameriflux; canopy model, leaf age; maximum carboxylation rate (V_{cmax}) .

Correspondence: Kell B. Wilson. Fax: + 1 865 576 1327; e-mail: wilson@atdd.noaa.gov

INTRODUCTION

Over 100 long-term eddy covariance studies of carbon dioxide exchange between vegetation and the atmosphere are being conducted across the globe (Running et al. 1999; Valentini et al. 2000). To interpret and simulate the temporal behaviour of the net ecosystem exchange of carbon ($N_{\rm EE}$, the net exchange of carbon between vegetation and the atmosphere, with negative values indicating an uptake by vegetation) in these ecosystems requires an understanding of the time evolution of the processes controlling its components: respiration and photosynthesis. The net photosynthetic rate of a canopy is a complex function of leaf area, solar radiation, canopy architecture, canopy microclimate, photosynthetic capacity and stomatal conductance, all of which may vary diurnally, daily, seasonally and annually (Baldocchi, Falge & Wilson 2001). The temporal modulation of these parameters, and the resulting photosynthetic rate, is a major factor in determining the ability of vegetation to exert control on the carbon cycle. It is also vital to understand the precision with which biogeochemical and ecological models need to depict the temporal variability of these parameters at specific locations to accurately simulate gross primary production, and thus net ecosystem exchange of carbon, within an acceptable level of accuracy.

Modelling studies have demonstrated the importance of accurately representing canopy architecture and 'leaf clumping' in estimating $N_{\rm EE}$ (Baldocchi & Harley 1995; Baldocchi & Meyers 1998), but in forests, these characteristics usually change little in time scales of less than 1 year. The relative importance of accurately estimating leaf area and its temporal changes over an annual cycle can be inferred from modelling studies and long-term carbon exchange experiments over different ecosystems (Baldocchi & Meyers 1998; Law et al. 2000). Previous studies in forest canopies have shown the shortterm response of canopy CO₂ fluxes to solar radiation and other meteorological variables (Goulden et al. 1997; Anthoni, Law & Unsworth 1999; Greco & Baldocchi 1996), including several studies demonstrating the temporal evolution of these responses over an annual cycle in evergreen forests (Dang, Margolis & Collatz 1998; Hollinger et al. 1994; Hollinger et al. 1999). In addition to

possible changes in leaf area or climate, these temporal patterns may reflect changes in photosynthetic capacity, which are often neglected in modelling studies in both evergreen and deciduous forests.

Although there is an extensive history of gas exchange measurements on single leaves of tree species, there are fewer studies demonstrating how photosynthesis (Jurik 1986; Reich, Walters & Ellsworth 1991; Sullivan, Bolstad & Vose 1996; Porte & Loustau 1998; Raulier, Bernier & Ung 1999) or photosynthetic capacity (Wilson, Baldocchi & Hanson 2000a, 2000b) of mature species responds to leaf ageing over the season, and only a limited number of studies have evaluated these temporal changes in direct relation to independent measurements of whole-canopy fluxes within an entire annual cycle (Dang *et al.* 1998; Law *et al.* 2000). In particular, there has been little study on how changes in photosynthetic capacity affect $N_{\rm EE}$ in deciduous forests during an entire cycle of leaf development, maturity and senescence.

Previous modelling studies of forested canopies have demonstrated a very high sensitivity of canopy carbon fluxes to the estimated photosynthetic capacity (Aber, Reich & Goulden 1996; Dang et al. 1998; Law et al. 2000). However, in most modelling studies, photosynthetic capacity was not estimated independently from the validation data set (Arenth et al. 1998), was obtained from literature values at different sites or in controlled experiments (Amthor et al. 1994; Williams et al. 1996), was highly empirical (Aber et al. 1996; Frolking et al. 1996), was obtained from very few samples (Harley & Baldocchi 1995) or was assumed from leaf nitrogen estimates (Aber & Federer 1992; Aber et al. 1996). The studies were usually isolated to brief periods in the growing season and/or photosynthetic capacity was assumed invariant with time. Exceptions include Dang et al. (1998) and Law et al. (2000), which are studies in coniferous evergreen forests where photosynthetic capacity was evaluated at the measurement site and was allowed to vary over the season in model simulations.

A previous study in a deciduous forest in the southeastern United States (Walker Branch Watershed, Oak Ridge, TN, USA) simulated $N_{\rm EE}$ using independently measured estimates of parameters, as described by Farquhar, von Caemmerer & Berry (1980), that represent photosynthetic capacity (Harley & Baldocchi 1995). Simulated output was compared with eddy covariance during an isolated measurement period (Baldocchi & Harley 1995). Because sampling of photosynthetic capacity was limited to just two trees and was over only a few weeks, this effort was necessarily limited in addressing the influence of photosynthetic capacity on the magnitude and seasonal variability of $N_{\rm EE}$ in this forest. Also, continuous estimates of soil respiration, which could be used to separate above- and below-ground contributions to $N_{\rm EE}$, were not available.

Subsequent experiments at this site have addressed some of the previous limitations in scaling photosynthetic capacity in time and space and evaluating its effect on net ecosystem exchange. Spatially and temporally extensive leaf gas exchange measurements and A/C_i curves' have been performed over 2 years, characterizing the photosynthetic capacity of this forest more completely (Wilson et al. 2000a). Species inventories have been performed in the region surrounding the eddy covariance tower, to assist in scaling leaf-level measurements to canopy scale (Hanson et al. 2001; Wilson et al. 2001). An eddy covariance system at the forest floor is used to independently estimate soil respiration (Wilson & Meyers 2001). Soil respiration estimates are valuable because the deviation between measured and simulated estimates of $N_{\rm EE}$ may result from differences in either assimilation rate or respiration rate. Soil respiration is typically several times greater than other respiratory sources at Walker Branch (Hanson et al. 1993; Edwards & Hanson 1996) and other forests (Mahli, Baldocchi & Jarvis 1999; Law et al. 2000); thus, it is important to verify that this component is simulated accurately before evaluating whole-canopy fluxes.

This study proceeds in the following manner:

- 1 the temporal evolution of photosynthetic capacity, which was determined by solving for the parameters described by Farquhar *et al.* (1980) from leaf gas exchange data, is incorporated into the biophysical model CANOAK;
- **2** using physiological parameterizations, we evaluate the ability of CANOAK to independently replicate eddy covariance fluxes (above and below canopy);
- **3** we evaluate if including the seasonality in photosynthetic capacity provides sufficient improvement in model prediction to recommend that the effect is an important consideration, and
- **4** we evaluate model performance in predicting seasonal trends during a year with (1998) and without (1997) low soil water content.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site

Measurements were made continuously in a mixed temperate deciduous forest in Oak Ridge, TN, USA (Walker Branch Watershed; 35°57'30" N, 84°17' 15" W, 365 m above sea level) from 1995 to 1999. The site is located in the southern section of the temperate deciduous forest biome in the eastern United States. The canopy height is approximately 26 m above the ground and maximum leaf area index is about 6. Dominant trees range from 60 to 120 years in age. The most prevalent dominant trees in the forest are Quercus spp. (oak) and Acer spp. (maple), and the remainder are primarily Nyssa sylvatica Marsh (blackgum), Liridodendron tulipifera L (tulip poplar), Pinus spp. (pine) and Carya spp. (hickory). The site is in hilly terrain, and the upwind fetch of forest extends several kilometres in all directions. A more detailed description of the canopy architecture, species composition, climate and soil properties are provided

Eddy covariance and environmental measurements

Eddy covariance instrumentation was placed on a scaffold tower 36.9 m above the ground (about 10 m above the canopy). In 1999, a second eddy covariance system was beneath the canopy, 2 m above the forest floor (Wilson *et al.* 2001). This second system was beneath nearly all vegetation, providing estimates of soil respiration. Wind velocity and virtual temperature fluctuations at both locations were measured with three-dimensional sonic anemometers (Applied Technology, Boulder, CO, USA). Fluctuations in water and CO_2 were measured with an open-path, infrared absorption gas analyser, developed at NOAA/ATDD (Auble & Meyers 1992), which was calibrated monthly.

Vertical flux densities were evaluated each half-hour by computing the mean covariance of water and sensible heat fluctuations with the fluctuating vertical velocity (Baldocchi *et al.* 1988; Wilson & Baldocchi 2000). Fluctuations of velocity and scalars from the mean were determined from the difference between the instantaneous values and the mean scalar quantities. Mean scalar values were determined using a digital recursive filter with a 400 s time constant. Corrections were made for the effect of density fluctuations (Webb *et al.* 1980). CO₂ concentrations were measured sequentially at four heights using a LI 6262 (Li-Cor Inc., Lincoln, NE, USA) analyser to compute the storage contribution to $N_{\rm EE}$ (Greco & Baldocchi 1996). The system received a zero and span calibration at least once a day.

Although other independent methods (chambers) have indicated that scalar flux estimates derived from eddy covariance may be biased during nocturnal periods at this site (Baldocchi et al. 2000) and others (Lavigne et al. 1997), daytime estimates of respiration are often in agreement with chamber estimates (Baldocchi et al. 2000). Furthermore, eddy covariance estimates of water fluxes concur well with long-term catchment water balance studies in the watershed (Wilson et al. 2001). The annual water balance is likely to be insensitive to accurate nocturnal estimates of evaporation, which may suggest that the daytime eddy covariance estimates at this site are acceptable. The focus of this study is during periods when carbon exchange is dominated by assimilation (daytime); therefore, nocturnal periods are not considered in the analysis. An energy imbalance of about 20% is present at Walker Branch, but this is not unusual, even in flat terrain (Twine et al. 2000). There was no evidence of changes in the extent of energy budget closure over the growing season, which suggests that any bias in scalar flux estimates applies equally across the growing season.

Environmental and meteorological variables were averaged over half-hour intervals and logged on digital data loggers (model CR-21x; Campbell Scientific, Inc., Logan,

© 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd, Plant, Cell and Environment, 24, 571-583

UT, USA). Temperature and relative humidity were measured with a temperature/humidity probe (HMP-35 A; Vaisala, Helsinki, Finland). Photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) was measured above and below the canopy with a quantum sensor (model LI-190S, Li-Cor). The sensor below the canopy was placed on a moving tram to average PAR over a horizontal transect of 20 m. Soil temperature was measured at five levels using two multilevel thermocouple probes.

Prior to 1998, soil water content was measured weekly in the upper 60 cm from gravimetric measurements and timedomain reflectometers (TDR; Soil Moisture Equipment Corp., Santa Barbara, CA, USA) (Wilson & Baldocchi 2000; Wilson et al. 2000a; Hanson et al. 2001). Subsequently, soil water content was measured continuously using water content reflectometers at four soil depths (Model CS615; Campbell Scientific) and logged on a CR10X data logger (Campbell Scientific). The different instrument types were also collocated as a check against the factory-supplied calibrations. Soil water content was converted to soil water potential based on retention curves developed at the site (Hanson et al. 1998). Soil water content was also simulated using the algorithms in the Terrestrial Ecosystem Hydrology Model (TEHM), which have been validated previously near this site (Luxmoore & Huff 1989), including the period of this study (Hanson et al. 2001).

Because of anomalous turbulence statistics or instrument malfunction, 22% of the daytime carbon flux data in the 2 years of this study were missing or rejected. Statistical methods using the remainder of the data set were used to fill these data gaps, a procedure that is not expected to create a high level of uncertainty in flux estimates (Baldocchi & Wilson 2001).

Two detailed species inventories were conducted. In one study encompassing 635 trees, species composition was determined from 30 inventory plots (10 m radius) within a 700 m radius of the tower used for eddy covariance measurements (Wilson *et al.* 2001). A second study of 729 individual trees was conducted about 2000 m from the measurement tower (Hanson *et al.* 2001). The relative contributions of species were similar in both studies and the results of the two studies were combined.

CANOAK AND PHYSIOLOGICAL PARAMETERIZATIONS

CANOAK is a one-dimensional, multi-layer biosphereatmosphere model that computes water vapour, CO_2 and sensible heat flux densities. The model has been described and tested during the growing season in Baldocchi & Harley (1995) and Baldocchi (1997). Baldocchi & Wilson (2001) discuss simulated carbon and energy fluxes using a 20 year climate record. The model consists of coupled micrometeorological and eco-physiological modules. The micrometeorological modules compute leaf (sunlit and shaded) and soil energy exchange, turbulent (Lagrangian) diffusion, scalar concentration profiles and radiative transfer through the canopy using observed meteorological conditions above the canopy. The physiological modules are driven by physiological parameters that are obtained directly from extensive chamber measurements performed at the site. The predicted micrometeorology drives leaf photosynthesis and respiration, stomatal conductance and transpiration at 40 canopy layers. Canopy leaf area profiles were assumed to follow a beta distribution, with a heavier concentration of leaves near the canopy top (Hutchison & Baldocchi 1989).

 $N_{\rm EE}$ in CANOAK is obtained by summing each component of the carbon flux: bole and soil/root respiration, and leaf photosynthesis and respiration. Physiological and phenological parameterizations are required to estimate each of these canopy source-sink strengths for CO₂ (leaf, bole/stem, soil/root) and these parameterizations are detailed in the following sections.

Leaf-level parameterizations

The photosynthesis parameters described by Farquhar *et al.* (1980) – maximum carboxylation rate ($V_{\rm cmax}$), maximum rate of electron transport ($J_{\rm max}$) and dark respiration ($R_{\rm d}$) – were estimated from measurements on nearly 800 leaves on 65 trees, encompassing 12 different species, in 1997 and 1998 (Wilson *et al.* 2000a, 2000b). Measurements were made within the sunlit crowns of nine mature dominant trees, representing five of the more prevalent dominant species in this forest (Table 1). The methodology and kinetic parameters used to estimate $V_{\rm cmax}$ are discussed thoroughly in Wilson *et al.* (2000a, 2000b).

Three important results are summarized from the study of Wilson *et al.* (2000a) that are directly relevant for the incorporation of measurements into CANOAK. Firstly, V_{cmax} was not typically constant over the growing season, generally increasing rapidly during leaf expansion, reaching an early-season maximum, followed by a slow decline during late summer and a more rapid decline in autumn. Figures 1 and 2 show the values of V_{cmax} at 25 °C in the two mature *Quercus alba* L. and three mature *Acer rubrum* L. trees during the growing seasons in 1997 and 1998. Figure 3 shows a composite mean value of V_{cmax} over the two years for sunlit leaves of the six most commonly measured mature trees (two *Q. alba*, one *Quercus prinus* L., two *A*. *rubrum*, one *Acer saccharum* Marsh.). Secondly, species differences in V_{cmax} were present (Table 1; Figs 1 and 2) and were generally correlated with differences in leaf nitrogen per unit area (N_a) . However, because N_a was constant over much of the season while V_{cmax} often decreased, the relationship between V_{cmax} and N_a was seasonally dependent (i.e. dependent on leaf age).

Three spatial and temporal attributes of $V_{\rm cmax}$ were addressed when the measurements were incorporated into CANOAK. Firstly, the vertical distribution of $V_{\rm cmax}$ was required. $V_{\rm cmax}$ was assumed to decrease linearly with canopy depth from the maximum value at the top of the canopy to just over a third of that value at the forest floor – an assumption generally supported by measurements (Table 2) (Wilson *et al.* 2000a). To simplify the discussion, $V_{\rm cmax}$ in this paper always refers to the values at the top of the canopy, but $V_{\rm cmax}$ scaled linearly with canopy depth from this value.

A second requirement was an estimate of the magnitude of V_{cmax} . Because it was greater in Quercus spp. than in Acer spp., an estimate of the relative species contributions was necessary to approximate the magnitude of a mean canopy value of $V_{\rm cmax}$. The relative species contributions were determined from a composite of two species inventory studies (Wilson et al. 2001; Hanson et al. 2001). The species measured for gas exchange in this study comprised 75% of the cumulative basal area of the larger trees (greater than 0.1 m in diameter) in this forest (Table 1). Of the remaining 25% of basal area in the forest that was not considered in computing a canopy V_{cmax} value, the most important species not measured for gas exchange were L. tulipifera (9.4%) and Pinus spp. (6.3%). Twelve other species represented a total of less than 10% of the canopy basal area. The magnitude of $V_{\rm cmax}$ was determined from the speciesspecific values of $N_{\rm a}$ weighted by the relative species contribution to the total basal area of the measured species (Table 1). The early season value of $V_{\rm cmax}$ estimated in this way was within 2% of the value obtained directly from the mean V_{cmax} estimates shown in Table 1.

The third requirement in CANOAK was an estimate of the temporal change in V_{cmax} over the growing season. Differences in the magnitude of V_{cmax} between *Quercus* spp. and *Acer* spp. were apparent, but tree-to-tree variation and

Table 1. Measured maximum rate of carboxylation (V_{cmax}) and leaf nitrogen per unit area (N_a) during the early summer period (June 1–July 1) for sunlit leaves of five mature tree species. Data was collected at 25 ± 2.5 °C and V_{cmax} was normalized to 25 °C (Wilson *et al.* 2000a). The number of trees (Trees) and total number of leaves sampled (*n*) during this period in 1997 and 1998 are shown. Also shown is the relative contribution of each species to the total canopy basal area (% canopy), for trees >0.1 diameter at breast height

Species	V _{cmax}	Na				
	$(\mu mol m^{-2} s^{-1})$	(g m ⁻²)	Trees	n	% canopy	
Quercus alba	60.0	1.99	2	45	22	
Quercus prinus	63.1	1.92	2	24	32	
Acer Rubrum	37.6	1.50	3	34	10	
Acer saccharum	42.8	1.50	1	9	3	
Nyssa sylvatica	39.2	0.95	1	6	8	

Leaf age and net ecosystem exchange of carbon 575



Figure 1. V_{cmax} for two mature *Q. alba* trees over the 1997 (a) and 1998 (b) growing seasons.



Figure 2. V_{cmax} for three mature *A. rubrum* trees over the 1997 (a) and 1998 (b) growing seasons.

Table 2. Measured maximum rate of carboxylation (V_{cmax}) and leaf nitrogen per unit area (N_a), during the early summer period (June 1–July 1) for leaves of saplings near the forest floor. Data was collected at 25 ± 2.5 °C and V_{cmax} was normalized to 25 °C (Wilson *et al.* 2000a). The number of trees (Trees) and total number of leaves sampled (n) during this period in 1997 and 1998 are shown. Also shown is the relative contribution of each species to the total subcanopy (trees < 0.1 m diameter at breast height) basal area (% subcanopy).

Species	$V_{\rm cmax}(\mu{ m mol}~{ m m}^{-2}~{ m s}^{-1})$	$N_{\rm a}({\rm g~m^{-2}})$	Trees	п	% subcanopy
Fagus grandifolia J.F. Ehrh.	15.2	0.60	1	7	1
Acer rubrum	18.1	0.69	6	10	29
Ouercus rubrum	20.8	0.91	3	9	2
\widetilde{O} xydendrum arboreum L.	21.2	0.66	2	6	8
Cornus florida L.	20.9	NA	4	7	19
Sassafrass albidum (Nutt.) Nees	22.3	0.61	3	6	2
Quercus sp.	23.2	0.99	4	5	3
<i>Liridendron tulipifera</i>	23.3	0.77	1	6	< 1
Carva sp.	26.1	1.04	3	4	1
Prunus serotina Ehrh.	29.9	0.87	2	5	5



Figure 3. Mean V_{cmax} in 1997 and 1998 of the six most commonly measured mature trees in the study (two *Q. alba*, one *Q. prinus* L., two *A. rubrum*, one *A. saccharum* Marsh.). Symbols represent the mean of data collected within a 2 week period.

limited sampling precluded a detailed evaluation of species differences in seasonality. Therefore, although the magnitude of $V_{\rm cmax}$ was estimated based on relative species contributions, the complexity associated with temporal changes in $V_{\rm cmax}$ precluded a detailed analysis of species differences. Instead, seasonal trends relative to the early season maximum were evaluated after grouping all data together, and not computing species-specific contributions. Temporal changes in $V_{\rm cmax}\,$ over the growing season were estimated based on four general periods discussed in Wilson et al. (2000a) (Fig. 4). These periods are: (i) leaf development; (ii) the late spring maximum; (iii) the summer decline, and (iv) autumnal senescence. Although these periods represent a necessary oversimplification, they depict the general trends of measured photosynthetic capacity across species in this forest (Fig. 3).

During the period of leaf development, $V_{\rm cmax}$ was assumed to scale with canopy leaf area index. Figure 5 shows the relationship between $V_{\rm cmax}$ and whole-canopy leaf area index, using data from an extensively measured *Quercus alba*. There was an approximately linear increase in $V_{\rm cmax}$ with leaf area, followed by an additional increase in $V_{\rm cmax}$ over the next week after leaf area had fully developed. Although the relationship was not linear over the whole range of increase in $V_{\rm cmax}$, linearity was assumed as an approximation, partially because $V_{\rm cmax}$ reaches maximum values at least a week earlier in other species, especially maples (Figs 1 and 2; Wilson *et al.* 2000a).

For the remainder of the growing season, $V_{\rm cmax}$ was calculated from the product of three terms that could vary over the growing season:

$$V_{\rm cmax} = V_{\rm cmaxopt} \times A_{\rm fact} \times S_{\rm fact},\tag{1}$$

where V_{cmaxopt} (μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) is the optimal value of V_{cmax} with adequate soil water potential, determined directly from the mean canopy value of N_a (Wilson *et al.* 2000a):

$$V_{\rm cmaxopt} = -15.3 + 39.7 N_{\rm a},$$
 (2)

where N_a is in g m⁻² and A_{fact} and S_{fact} are unitless factors accounting for leaf age and soil water potential (Eqns 3 & 4) independent of changes in leaf nitrogen.

The second period shown in Fig. 4 is of approximately 5– 6 weeks duration, beginning after full leaf expansion, and represents an optimal phase of maximum photosynthetic capacity in late spring when $V_{\rm cmax}$ was determined by the canopy value of $N_{\rm a}$ (1.75 g m⁻²). During this period, it was assumed there was no reduction in $V_{\rm cmax}$ resulting from leaf ageing ($A_{\rm fact} = 1$). Gas exchange data shows some indication that the approximate duration of this period was up to several weeks longer in *Quercus* spp. (Fig. 1) and often shorter in *Acer* spp. (Fig. 2), but an approximate mean duration was chosen, as represented in Fig. 4.

During the third period (between days 175 and 285), the effect of leaf age reduced V_{cmax} through the factor A_{fact} :

$$A_{\text{fact}} = [2.48 - 6.0 \times 10^{-3} D_{\text{ov}}], \tag{3}$$

where D_{oy} is the day of the year, between 175 and 320. The expression A_{fact} was determined from the residual of the regression with N_a as shown in Wilson *et al.* 2000a. Because leaf nitrogen did not change over this period, $V_{cmaxopt}$ (Eqn 2) was identical to the maximum values in the first period.

The fourth period encompassed a more rapid decline in $V_{\rm cmax}$, including the period of autumnal senescence. The decrease in $V_{\rm cmax}$ was partially explained by decreases in $N_{\rm a}$ and $V_{\rm cmaxopt}$ (mean canopy value of $N_{\rm a}$ decreased from 1.75



Figure 4. The seasonal parameterization of $V_{\rm cmax}$ in the CANOAK simulations during a year without (1997, solid line) and with (1998, dashed line) periods of low soil water potential. The parameterizations during period 1 differ slightly between the two years because of differences in leaf area, but is not shown in order to clarify the main points. Data is from Wilson *et al.* (2000a).



Figure 5. Relationship between $V_{\rm cmax}$ and canopy leaf area index during leaf development in 1998. Arrows show the temporal progression. $V_{\rm cmax}$ was estimated from gas exchange data on a Q. alba tree and leaf area index was estimated from radiation transmission through the whole canopy.

to 1.05 g m⁻² between days 285 and 320), but also involved the additional independent effect of leaf age (i.e. both V_{cmaxopt} and A_{fact} decreased during this period).

In addition to the seasonal trends, there was an apparent reduction in $V_{\rm cmax}$ during periods of low soil water potential (Wilson et al. 2000a). The residual between $V_{\rm cmax}$ during days with low soil water potential in 1998 and estimates of V_{cmax} using Eqn 1 were used to formulate a stress function:

$$S_{\text{fact}} = \min \left[1.55 + 0.44 \psi_{\text{soil}}, 1.0 \right], \tag{4}.$$

where ψ_{soil} is the water potential (MPa) in the upper 30 cm of soil. S_{fact} was determined as a function of ψ_{soil} after averaging all data for each day and evaluating the residual, assuming $S_{\text{fact}} = 1$. When the stress function was less than unity, r^2 of Eqn 4 was 0.96 (n = 8 d).

Figure 6 shows the continuous estimates of soil water potential using the TEHM algorithm (Luxmoore & Huff 1989) and the periodically measured values. Soil water content was lower in 1998, and in contrast to 1997, S_{fact} was not always 1 (S_{fact} in 1998 is shown at the bottom of Fig. 6). Figure 4 (dashed lines) shows the value of $V_{\rm cmax}$ used in CANOAK during 1998, which resembles the general characteristics observed in the data (Fig. 3) (Wilson et al. 2000a).

Other important parameters in the model of Farquhar et al. (1980) that could be deduced from the gas exchange measurements were the maximum rate of electron transport (J_{max}) , dark respiration (R_{d}) and the temperature dependencies of V_{cmax} , J_{max} and R_{d} . The ratio between J_{max} and $V_{\rm cmax}$ at 25 °C was set to the mean found in the measurements (2.70), although there was inconclusive evidence that this ratio increases slightly throughout the season (Wilson et al. 2000a). The only evidence of

Leaf age and net ecosystem exchange of carbon 577

seasonality in R_d was the larger magnitude (an increase by a factor of three, from 0.5 to 1.5 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹ at 25 °C) during leaf development, which was incorporated into the simulations during this period. The parameters describing the activation energy for V_{cmax} and R_{d} (Farquhar *et al.* 1980) were determined from temperature response surfaces (Wilson *et al.* 2000a). The temperature response of $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $R_{\rm d}$ were measured periodically and did not change appreciably over the growing season. Because J_{max} was only evaluated at 25 °C, the activation energy for J_{max} was estimated directly from the equations provided by Farquhar et al. (1980) and Leuning (1997).

The second set of important parameters needed to estimate the leaf contribution to the carbon flux in CANOAK are coefficients that compute stomatal conductance from the relationship described in Collatz et al. (1991):

$$g_s = \frac{mAr_{\rm h}}{C_S} + g_0 \tag{5}$$

where g_s is the stomatal conductance (mol m⁻² s⁻¹), A is assimilation rate (mol m⁻² s⁻¹), C_s is the CO₂ concentration at the leaf surface (mol mol⁻¹) and $r_{\rm h}$ is the relative humidity; g_0 and *m* are the intercept and slope, derived from regression. When the Wilson et al. (2000b) data set was used to estimate the slope and intercept in Eqn 5, g_0 was 0.01 mol m⁻² s⁻¹, and *m* was 7.8 ($r^2 = 0.85$), which are the values used in this study. Because of the high degree of scatter, we were not able to definitively conclude statistically significant changes in slope (m) with leaf age or during drought.

Soil and bole parameterizations

Soil respiration is parameterized using response surfaces to soil temperature and soil water content from data collected across Walker Branch Watershed (Hanson et al. 1993):

$$R_{\text{soil}} = W_{\text{fact}} \times R_{\text{base}} \times Q_{10}^{T_{\text{s}}/10}$$
(6)

where R_{base} is 0.50 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹, Q_{10} is 2.47 and T_{s} is the soil temperature (°C) at 16 cm depth. W_{fact} is a factor to account for soil water content:

$$W_{\text{fact}} = \frac{\Psi_{\min} + \Psi_{\text{S}}}{\Psi_{\min}}$$
(7)

 ψ_{\min} was determined empirically (- 7 MPa), and ψ_{s} (MPa) was the measured soil water potential in the upper 30 cm of soil. Bole and stem respiration parameterizations were based on temperature and obtained from measure- ments on 56 trees in the watershed (Edwards & Hanson 1996).

Canopy leaf area

Canopy leaf area index is specified in CANOAK. Leaf area index was inferred from the relative transmission of solar radiation (Wilson & Baldocchi 2000). Independent leaf area estimates were provided from litter baskets. Budburst



Figure 6. Simulated and measured soil water potential of the upper 30 cm of soil in 1997 and 1998. Shown in the bottom of the graph is the stress factor (S_{fact} Eqn 4) in 1998. S_{fact} in 1997 was always 1 and is not shown.

during the two years emphasized in this study (1997 and 1998) began just before day 100 and leaf abscission began in some species between day 290 and day 300, but was not fully completed until several weeks later. Maximum leaf area index was almost identical for the two years and was assumed to be 5.5, and leaf area index was constant after leaf development in early spring until the beginning of abscission in autumn.

To evaluate the impact of seasonal changes in $V_{\rm cmax}$, simulations were performed for the 1997 and 1998 growing seasons, the two years with extensive leaf gas exchange measurements. Three simulations were performed for both years. In the first simulation set, the observed changes in $V_{\rm cmax}$ were used (i.e. Fig. 4). In the second simulation set, the maximum value of $V_{\rm cmax}$ was used throughout the season (i.e. the early season peak in Fig. 4 was assumed to apply throughout growing season). In the third simulation set, $V_{\rm cmax}$ was set to an 'average' growing season value.

RESULTS

Measured and simulated estimates of seasonal $N_{\rm EE}$

In 1999, when an eddy covariance system beneath the canopy was available to estimate soil respiration, the magnitude and seasonality of daytime soil respiration during the growing season was similar for the independently measured and simulated estimates (Fig. 7). Both methods showed some response to drought following day 250. The total soil respiration estimated by CANOAK during the daytime growing season (days 100–315) in 1999 (313 g C m⁻²) was close to that measured by eddy covariance (321 g C m⁻²).

In the first set of simulations, $V_{\rm cmax}$ in CANOAK was allowed to track the measured seasonal pattern shown Fig. 4. The seasonal trend of $N_{\rm EE}$ in these CANOAK

simulations follows that measured by eddy covariance fairly well – especially in 1997, the year without low soil water potential (Fig. 8). The cumulative measured annual daytime $N_{\rm EE}$ during the growing season (days 100–315) was –1225 g C m⁻² year⁻¹ in 1997 and –1196 g C m⁻² year⁻¹ in 1998. The simulated values were within 5%: –1241 g C m⁻² year⁻¹ in 1997 and –1251 g C m⁻² year⁻¹ in 1998.

 $N_{\rm EE}$ was summed cumulatively starting from day 100. Figure 9 (top two curves) shows the difference between CANOAK estimates of cumulative growing season $N_{\rm EE}$ and eddy covariance estimates of cumulative growing season $N_{\rm EE}$ for each of the two years (i.e. CANOAK cumulative $N_{\rm EE}$ minus eddy covariance cumulative $N_{\rm EE}$; negative values indicate a model bias for more carbon uptake). CANOAK slightly overestimated (i.e. difference is negative) cumulative $N_{\rm EE}$ relative to measurements before day 150 during both years. After this period early in the year, the cumulative growing season bias in CANOAK relative to the eddy covariance measurements was even smaller during both years.

Effects of seasonality in V_{cmax} on N_{EE}

A second set of simulations was performed in both years to quantify the importance of the observed seasonality in $V_{\rm cmax}$. In these simulations, $V_{\rm cmax}$ was assumed constant and set to the early season maximum value (i.e. value during the second period in Fig. 4) over the entire growing season. This approach substantially overestimated $N_{\rm EE}$ during both growing seasons, except during the late spring and early summer, when $V_{\rm cmax}$ was observed to be near the maximum value (Fig. 10, and compare with Fig. 8). The mean annual overestimate in $N_{\rm EE}$, defined as the difference between simulations using the maximum value of $V_{\rm cmax}$ to simulations that more closely matched the observed seasonality in $V_{\rm cmax}$, was 278 g C m⁻² year⁻¹ in 1997 and 388 g C m⁻² year⁻¹



Figure 7. Measured and simulated daily daytime soil respiration during the 1999 growing season.

1998 (Fig. 9), or more than half the total estimated annual $N_{\rm EE}$ at this site (Greco & Baldocchi 1996). A little more than half of this overestimate occurred during leaf development (between days 100 and approximately day 135) and during the period of final senescence (between days 286 and 315). However, the overestimate was 131 (1997) and 188 (1998) g C m⁻² year⁻¹ between days 176 and 285, a period when leaf nitrogen content was not changing and no visible changes in leaf pigmentation were observed.

A second possible simple seasonal representation of $V_{\rm cmax}$ was to assign a single mean value (approximately 39 μ mol m⁻² s⁻¹) over the entire growing season, instead of the maximum value. Compared to using the early season maximum value of $V_{\rm cmax}$, this simple approach reduced the annual bias in simulated $N_{\rm EE}$ (to within 10% of the annual total). However, on a daily time scale, the performance of this approach shows appreciably less skill relative to the simulations, where V_{cmax} follows the observed temporal pattern. When a single mean $V_{\rm cmax}$ was used instead of the observed seasonality, the mean absolute difference between CANOAK and eddy covariance estimates of $N_{\rm EE}$ increased by 23% (from 1.22 g C m⁻² d⁻¹ to 1.50 g C m⁻² d^{-1}) and the coefficient of variation (r^2) between simulated and observed daily daytime $N_{\rm EE}$ decreased from 0.70 to 0.57.

Seasonality of N_{EE} during drought

When the maximum value of $V_{\rm cmax}$ was used during periods of low soil water potential in 1998 (after day 230; Fig. 6), the overestimate of $N_{\rm EE}$ was even greater than in 1997 (Fig. 10). Including the leaf age and soil water potential factor in $V_{\rm cmax}$ improved the predictions in 1998 considerably, but still frequently overestimated $N_{\rm EE}$ after day 260 (Fig. 8b). Although CANOAK reduced $N_{\rm EE}$ at the daily time scale during periods of low soil water potential, the approach of

© 2001 Blackwell Science Ltd, Plant, Cell and Environment, 24, 571-583

simply decreasing $V_{\rm cmax}$ often did not simulate the more extreme midday depression of photosynthesis frequently observed during periods of low soil water content (not shown).

DISCUSSION

Effects of temporal trends in V_{cmax}

Including the observed effect of leaf age on photosynthetic capacity decidedly improved the agreement between independently simulated and measured estimates of $N_{\rm EE}$ over the entire growing season. A similar agreement between simulated and eddy covariance-derived estimates of soil respiration further increases our confidence that CANOAK accurately simulates the individual components of $N_{\rm EE}$ and that it is necessary to consider the seasonal variation in $V_{\rm cmax}$. Using the early season value of $V_{\rm cmax}$ over the



Figure 8. Eddy covariance and CANOAK estimates of daily daytime carbon flux between days 100 and 315, in 1997 (a) and 1998 (b).



Figure 9. Difference in cumulative $N_{\rm EE}$ between CANOAK and eddy covariance during the growing season, which starts on day 100 (i.e. cumulative $N_{\rm EE}$ simulated by CANOAK minus cumulative $N_{\rm EE}$ measured by eddy covariance).

entire season substantially overestimates the carbon flux and is not a practical modelling approach for this forest. However, this approach is often implicitly used when modellers extrapolate estimates of $V_{\rm cmax}$ from a short time period to other periods in the growing season (Aber *et al.* 1996; Williams *et al.* 1996; Zeller & Nikolov 2000). Simulations using a single 'mean' value of $V_{\rm cmax}$ over the season inaccurately predict the seasonality of carbon uptake. Furthermore, if a mean representative $V_{\rm cmax}$ over the growing season is known, it is implied that measurements have been performed over the season, and the seasonality should be approximated and incorporated directly into the simulations.

Accurate simulations of $N_{\rm EE}$ over an annual cycle in this deciduous forest require $V_{\rm cmax}$ to vary during leaf development and final senescence, periods in which photosynthetic capacity is expected to change. However, an almost equally large bias – of more than a quarter of the estimated total annual $N_{\rm EE}$ (Greco & Baldocchi 1996) – was introduced if declines in photosynthetic capacity were not considered during the middle and late growing season. During this period, $V_{\rm cmax}$ decreased but leaf development had ceased, leaves remained green, no frost events occurred and there was essentially no reduction in canopy leaf nitrogen content (Wilson *et al.* 2000a). Furthermore, no important changes in dark respiration ($R_{\rm d}$) or temperature kinetics of $V_{\rm cmax}$ and $R_{\rm d}$ were observed.

This study stresses the need to consider temporal changes in photosynthetic capacity in this forest, but no simple method was found to estimate the temporal changes throughout much of the growing season without resorting to extensive gas exchange experiments. $V_{\rm cmax}$ correlated with leaf mass per unit area, and apparently somewhat with whole-canopy leaf area, during leaf development (Wilson *et al.* 2000a). Changing photosynthetic capacity during leaf expansion and senescence can be potentially diagnosed

from direct measurements of leaf area or from satellitederived products that indicate changes in absorbed or reflected radiation within particular wavelengths (White et al. 1997). However, the temporal decline in $V_{\rm cmax}$ during middle and late summer, when leaf area is nearly constant, is not correlated with changes in leaf nitrogen, or with other easily measured leaf characteristics over most of the growing season, precluding a simple predictor of temporal patterns in V_{cmax} during the period of leaf maturity. Seasonal changes in the photosynthetic parameters described by Farquhar et al. (1980) have not been documented for other deciduous forests, but studies in other deciduous forests suggest that photosynthesis does not decline until a period much closer to senescence (Jurik 1986; Reich et al. 1991; Sullivan et al. 1996; Raulier et al. 1999). Therefore, the widespread importance of reductions in $V_{\rm cmax}$ on $N_{\rm EE}$ during the middle and late growing season in deciduous forests may not be a general result. Alternatively, the need to consider the trends in $V_{\rm cmax}$ associated directly with leaf development and final senescence applies to all deciduous forests.

Effects of drought

CANOAK quantitatively replicated part of the response of $N_{\rm EE}$ to low soil water potential at a daily time scale, simply by reducing $V_{\rm cmax}$ using a stress factor that was related to soil water potential. The inability of CANOAK to depict the more extreme observed diurnal variation in $N_{\rm EE}$ during drought, such as the afternoon depression, emphasizes that this study was not designed to adequately address the suite of physiological processes associated with low soil water content and/or high vapour pressure deficit. The model's difficulties in consistently simulating the diurnal variation during drought also suggests that the relative humidity response in the Collatz *et al.* (1991) relationship may not



Figure 10. Eddy covariance and CANOAK estimates of daily daytime carbon flux between days 100 and 315 in 1997 (a) and 1998 (b). In these simulations, $V_{\rm cmax}$ was assumed to be constant throughout the growing season at the early season maximum.

sufficiently describe the regulation of stomatal functioning during periods of low soil water content. In the simulations presented here, the slope of the relationship of Collatz et al. (1991) algorithm, describing the constraints between stomatal conductance and assimilation rate, was not assumed to vary diurnally or over the season. However, this assumption was based on limited data of leaf response to changes in vapour pressure deficit or relative humidity and is in contrast to the assumption made by Baldocchi (1997). Significant stomatal limitation of single leaves during periods of low soil water content has been deduced quantitatively (Wilson et al. 2000b), suggesting that the model may be overestimating stomatal conductance during drought. The regulation of stomatal conductance and gas exchange during drought depends on hormonal signals, the increased resistance for water transport to the roots and/or hydraulic limitations within the tree (Jones 1992; Lowenstein &

Pallardy 1998). Insightful algorithms on how to include the effects of hydraulic limitations have been proposed (Williams *et al.* 1996), but are hypothetical and require the parameterization of all the complexities associated with tree hydraulic conductivity and capacitance.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Funding for this project was through the Department of Energy (DOE/TCP) and NASA/GEWEX and is a contribution to the Ameriflux program. We thank D. Matt for the global solar radiation data and M. Hall, M. Brewer and D. Auble for technical assistance. Funding for P.J.H was provided by the NSF/DOE/NASA/USDA/EPA/NOAA Interagency Program on Terrestrial Ecology and Global Change (TECO) through NASA's Earth Science Enterprise Program under Interagency Agreement no. 2013-K057-A1, and the Program for Ecosystem Research, Environmental Sciences Division, Office of Health and Environmental Research, US Department of Energy, under contract DE-AC05-00OR22725 with University of Tennessee-Battelle LLC. Research was conducted on the Oak Ridge National Environmental Research Park. We thank S. Wullschleger for reviewing an earlier version of this manuscript.

REFERENCES

- Aber J.D. & Federer C.A. (1992) A generalized, lumpedparameter model of photosynthesis, evapotranspiration and net primary production in temperate and boreal forest ecosystems. *Oecologia* **92**, 463–474.
- Aber J.D., Reich P.B. & Goulden M.L. (1996) Extrapolating leaf CO₂ exchange to the canopy: a generalized model of forest photosynthesis compared with measurements by eddy correlation. *Oecologia* **106**, 257–265.
- Amthor J.S., Goulden M.L., Munger J.W. & Wofsy S.C. (1994) Testing a mechanistic model of forest-canopy mass and energy exchange using eddy correlation: Carbon dioxide and ozone uptake by a mixed oak-maple stand. *Australian Journal of Plant Physiology* 21, 623–651.
- Anthoni P.M., Law B.E. & Unsworth M.H. (1999) Carbon and water vapour exchange of an open-canopied ponderosa pine ecosystem. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 95, 151–168.
- Arenth A., Kelliher F.M., McSeveny T.M. & Beyers J.N. (1998) Assessment of annual carbon exchange in a water-stressed *Pinus* radiata plantation: an analysis based on eddy covariance measurements and an integrated biophysical model. *Global Change Biology* 5, 531–545.
- Auble D. & Meyers T.P. (1992) An open path, fast response infrared absorption gas analyser for H₂O and CO₂. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 59, 243–256.
- Baldocchi D.D. (1997) Measuring and modelling carbon dioxide and water vapour exchange over a temperate broad-leafed forest during the 1995 summer drought. *Plant, Cell and Environment* **20**, 1108–1122.
- Baldocchi D., Falge E. & Wilson K. (2001) A spectral analysis of biosphere–atmosphere trace gas flux densities and meteorological variables across hour to year time scales. *Agriculture and Forest Meteorology* **107**, 1–27.

- Baldocchi D., Finnigan J., Wilson K., Paw U.K.T. & Falge E. (2000) On measuring net ecosystem carbon exchange over tall vegetation on complex terrain. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 96, 257–291.
- Baldocchi D.D. & Harley P.C. (1995) Scaling carbon dioxide and water vapour exchange from leaf to canopy in a deciduous forest. II. Model testing and application. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 18, 1157–1173.
- Baldocchi D.D., Hicks B.B. & Meyers T.P. (1988) Measuring biosphere-atmosphere exchanges of biologically related gases with micrometeorolgical methods. *Ecology* 69, 1331–1340.
- Baldocchi D.D. & Meyers T.P. (1998) On using ecophysiological, micrometeorological and biogeochemical theory to evaluate carbon dioxide, water vapour and trace gas fluxes over vegetation: a perspective. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 90, 1–25.
- Baldocchi D.D. & Wilson K.B. (2001) Modelling CO₂ and water vapour exchange of a temperate broadleaved forest on daily to decadal time scales. *Ecological Modelling*, in press.
- Collatz G.J., Ball J.T., Grivet C. & Berry J.A. (1991) Regulation of stomatal conductance and transpiration: a physiological model of canopy processes. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology* 54, 107–136.
- Dang Q.L., Margolis H.A. & Collatz G.J. (1998) Parameterization and testing of a coupled photosynthesisstomatal conductance model for boreal trees. *Tree Physiology* 18, 141–153.
- Edwards N.T. & Hanson. P.J. (1996) Stem respiration in a closed-canopy upland oak forest. *Tree Physiology* **16**, 433–439.
- Farquhar G.D., von Caemmerer S. & Berry J.A. (1980) A biochemical model of photosynthetic CO₂ assimilation in leaves of C₃ species. *Planta* **149**, 78–90.
- Frolking S., Goulden M.L., Wofsy S.C., Fan S.-M., Sutton D.J., Munger J.W., Bazzaz A.M., Daube B.C., Crill P.M., Aber J.D., Band L.E., Wang X., Savages K., Moore T. & Harriss R.C. (1996) Modelling temporal variability in the carbon balance of a spruce/moss forest. *Global Change Biology* 2, 343– 366.
- Goulden M.L., Daube B.C., Fan S.-M., Sutton D.J., Bazazz A., Munger J.W. & Wofsy S.C. (1997) Physiological responses of a black spruce to weather. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 102 (D24), 28987–28996.
- Greco S. & Baldocchi D.D. (1996) Seasonal variations of CO₂ and water vapour exchange rates over a temperate deciduous forest. *Global Change Biology* **2**, 183–197.
- Hanson P.J., Todd D.E. & Amthor J.S. (2001) A. six year study of sapling and large-tree growth and mortality responses to natural and induced variability in precipitation and throughfall. *Tree Physiology* **21**, 345–358.
- Hanson P.J., Todd D.E., Huston M.A., Joslin J.D., Croker J.L. & Auge R.M. (1998) Description and field performance of the Walker Branch throughfall displacement experiment: 1993–96 ORNL/TM-13586. Environmental Sciences Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN.
- Hanson P.J., Wullschleger S.D., Bohlman S.A. & Todd D.E. (1993) Seasonal and topographic patterns of forest floor CO₂ efflux from an upland oak forest. *Tree Physiology* **13**, 1–15.
- Harley P.C. & Baldocchi D.D. (1995) Scaling carbon dioxide and water vapour exchange from leaf to canopy in a deciduous forest. I. Leaf model parameterization. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 18, 1146–1156.
- Hollinger D.Y., Goltz S.M., Davidson E.A., Lee J.T., Tu K. & Valentine H.T. (1999) Seasonal patterns and environmental control of carbon dioxide and water vapour exchange in an ecotonal boreal forest. *Global Change Biology* 5, 891–902.

- Hollinger D.Y., Kelliher F.M., Byers J.N., Hunt J.E., McSeveny T.M. & Weir P.L. (1994) Carbon dioxide exchange between an undisturbed old-growth temperate forest and the atmosphere. *Ecology* **75**, 134–150.
- Hutchison B.A. & Baldocchi D.D. (1989) Forest meteorology. In Analysis of Biogeochemical Cycling Processes in Walker Branch Watershed (eds D.W. Johnson & R.I. van Hook), pp. 21–95. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Johnson D.W. & van Hook R.I. (1989) Analysis of Biogeochemical Cycling Processes in Walker Branch Watershed. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Jones H.G. (1992) *Plants and Microclimate*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Jurik T.W. (1986) Seasonal patterns of leaf photosynthetic capacity in successional northern hardwood tree species. *American Journal of Botany* **73**, 131–138.
- Lavigne M.B., Ryan M.G., Anderson D.E., Baldocchi D.D., Crill P.M., Fitzjarrald D.R., Goulden M.L., Gower S.T., Massheder J.M., McCaughey J.H., Rayment M. & Striegl R.G. (1997) Comparing nocturnal eddy covariance measurements to estimates of ecosystem respiration made by scaling chamber measurements at six coniferous boreal sites. *Journal of Geophysical Research* 102, 28977–28985.
- Law B.E., Williams M., Anthoni P.M., Baldocchi D.D. & Unsworth M.H. (2000) Measuring and modelling seasonal variation of carbon dioxide and water vapour exchange of a *Pinus ponderosa* forest subject to soil water deficit. *Global Change Biology* 6, 613–630.
- Leuning R. (1997) Scaling to a common temperature improves the correlation between the photosynthesis parameters J_{max} and V_{cmax} . Journal of Experimental Botany **48**, 345–347.
- Lowenstein N.J. & Pallardy S.G. (1998) Drought tolerance, xylem sap abscisic acid and stomatal conductance during soil drying: a comparison of canopy trees of three temperate deciduous angiosperms. *Tree Physiology* **18**, 421–430.
- Luxmoore R.J., Grizzard T. & Patterson M.R. (1981) Hydraulic properties of Fullerton cherty silt loam. Soil Science Society of America Journal 45, 692–698.
- Luxmoore R.J. & Huff D.D. (1989) Water. In Analysis of Biogeochemical Cycling Processes in Walker Branch Watershed (eds D.W. Johnson & R.I. van Hook), pp. 164–196. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- Mahli Y., Baldocchi D.D. & Jarvis P.G. (1999) The carbon balance of tropical, temperate and boreal forests. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 22, 715–740.
- Porte A. & Loustau D. (1998) Variability of the photosynthetic characteristics of mature needles within the crown of a 25-year-old Pinus pinaster. *Tree Physiology* **18**, 223–232.
- Raulier F., Bernier P. & Ung C.-H. (1999) Canopy photosynthesis of sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*): comparing big-leaf and multilayer extrapolations of leaf-level measurements. *Tree Physiology* **19**, 407–420.
- Reich P.B., Walters M.B. & Ellsworth D.S. (1991) Leaf age and season influence the relationships between leaf nitrogen, leaf mass per area and photosynthesis in maple and oak trees. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 14, 251–259.
- Running S.W., Baldocchi D.D., Turner D.P., Gower S.T., Bakwin P.S. & Hibbard K.A. (1999) A global terrestrial monitoring network integrating tower fluxes, flask sampling, ecosystem modelling and EOS satellite data. *Remote Sensing of Environment* **70**, 108–127.
- Sullivan N.H., Bolstad P.V. & Vose J.M. (1996) Estimates of net photosynthetic parameters for twelve tree species in mature forests of the Southern Appalachians. *Tree Physiology* 16, 397– 406.

- Twine T.E., Kustas W.P., Norman J.M., Cook D.R., Houser P.R., Meyers T.P., Prueger J.H., Starks P.J. & Wesely M.L. (2000) Correcting eddy-covariance flux underestimates over a grassland. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 103, 279–300.
- Valentini R., Matteucci G. & Dolman A.J. *et al.* (2000) Respiration as the main determinant of carbon balance in European forests. *Nature* **404**, 861–865.
- Webb E.K., Pearman G.I. & Leuning R. (1980) Correction of flux measurements for density effects due to heat and water vapour transfer. *Quarterly Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society* **106**, 67–90.
- White M.A., Thorton P.E. & Running S. (1997) A continental phenology model for monitoring vegetation responses to interannual climatic variability. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* **11**, 217–234.
- Williams M., Rastetter E.B., Fernandes D.N., Goulden M.L., Wofsy S.C., Shaver G.R., Melillo J.M., Munger J.W., Fan S.-M. & Nadelhoffer K.J. (1996) Modelling the soil-plantatmosphere continuum in a *Quercus-Acer* stand at Harvard Forest: the regulation of stomatal conductance by light nitrogen and soil/plant hydraulic properties. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 19, 911–927.
- Wilson K.B. & Baldocchi D.D. (2000) Seasonal and interannual variability of energy fluxes over a broadleaved temperate

deciduous forest in North America. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology **100**, 1–18.

- Wilson K.B., Baldocchi D.D. & Hanson P.J. (2000a) Spatial and seasonal variability of photosynthetic parameters and their relationship to leaf nitrogen in a deciduous forest. *Tree Physiology* 20, 565–578.
- Wilson K.B., Baldocchi D.D. & Hanson P.J. (2000b) Quantifying stomatal and non-stomatal limitations to carbon assimilation resulting from leaf ageing and drought in mature deciduous tree species. *Tree Physiology* 20, 787–797.
- Wilson K.B., Hanson P.J., Mulholland P.J., Baldocchi D.D. & Wullschleger S.D. (2001) A comparison of methods for determining forest evapotranspiration rates across scales: sapflow, soil moisture budget, eddy covariance and catchment water balance. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology 106, 153–168.
- Wilson K.B. & Meyers T.P. (2001) The spatial variability of energy and carbon fluxes at the floor of a deciduous forest. *Boundary-Layer Meteorology* 98, 443–473.
- Zeller K.F. & Nikolov N.T. (2000) Quantifying simultaneous fluxes of ozone, carbon dioxide and water vapour above a subalpine forest ecosystem. *Environmental Pollution* 10, 1–20.

Received 12 October 2000; received in revised form 31 January 2001; accepted for publication 2 February 2001