Focus:
“Yuppie Farms” and Conservation Easements in San Juan County, Washington
Sharon Baskind-Wing, Pre-Dissertation Fellow ’04, Dissertation Fellow ’05

“What kind of farm is ‘Eastlight Farm?’ A yuppie farm?” One conservation easement property owner told me his friends still jokingly ask him this question, even 10 years after the former Seattle consultant purchased and named his property on Orcas Island, WA. While the farm originally founded on the site in 1896 hasn’t been fully functioning in quite some time, the property’s conservation easement sets guidelines to help maintain its open field and remaining orchard trees. Though the owner recognizes the irony of his productionless ‘farm,’ he also asserts the land is a “Heritage Farm”—one that’s not operating, but is on the sight of a historical farm and still has environmental and archaeological remnants of that era.

I spent the summers of 2003 and 2004 on Orcas Island and returned for a full year in 2005-2006 to conduct my dissertation research there. Orcas is part of the San Juan Islands, an archipelago located midway between Vancouver Island and the coast of Washington State. Though Orcas historically produced fruits and livestock, the island’s agricultural production has dropped greatly over the last century as a result of competition from mainland producers, rising land prices, and a combination of other factors.

In spite of the decline of agriculture, San Juan County’s Comprehensive Plan, residents, and visitors all refer to the island’s “rural character” as a defining feature of the islands that needs to be preserved. I became interested in the meaning of “rural” in a place where an agrarian economy has been largely replaced by tourism and the development of multi-million dollar homes. Does preserving “rural character” mean that the island would look nice, but there wouldn’t be any actual farming on it? Specifically, what role do the island’s approximately 80 conservation easements play in maintaining “rural character,” and what other elements of the rural were not being addressed?

Conservation Easements

In recent years, conservation easements have emerged as the most widely used private conservation tool in the U.S. Although human intervention is usually thought of as a source of environmental change, in many conservation easements people instead work to prevent future modification. Conservation easements consist of “permanently enforceable rights held by a land trust or government agency by which a landowner promises to use property only in ways permitted by the easement.” (1) A common explanation employs the “bundle of rights” metaphor: if we consider property to be a bundle of rights to landownership—like a bundle of sticks—a conservation easement removes some of the sticks from that bundle. When a landowner donates a conservation easement to a land trust, that owner is voluntarily giving up some of the rights to his or her property. An owner may give up the right to further development or mining, for example, while still retaining legal ownership of the land. The IRS grants a tax deduction to owners who donate such rights in perpetuity and can demonstrate a public benefit. When a land trust pays for some of these rights on private lands, a CE can be called a Purchase of Development Rights, or PDR.

The most prominent feature in most CE deeds on Orcas Island is the limitation of built structures. CEs do not prevent all future development, but rather permit the landowner to donate or sell some of his or her building rights. For example, a 40 acre property zoned
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“A farmer sees the beauty in productivity. It takes a certain eye to see beauty in efficiency and not just an open field that isn’t being worked. A working landscape can look a lot different than an open field that’s being mowed, and people need to learn to recognize that aesthetic.”

Pastoral look while remaining hopeful that such efforts are also preserving the potential for future agricultural production. Many residents laud the “faux agro” or “yuppie farm” as a way of maintaining rural character and preserving agricultural lands for a time in the future when agriculture might again become economically feasible. Lands that are mowed yearly to keep out encroaching brush are at least preserving the soil quality and leaving the land clear for agriculture. One resident told me, “mow farms provide the same effect as real farms,” in terms of maintaining the rural feel and preventing other types of development (i.e., housing). This notion that mow farms may not be ideal, but are at least better than more houses is popular on Orcas, as new developments raise both the population as well as property values.

To many Orcas farmers, the notion that mow farms are functionally equivalent to productive farms is absurd. As one longtime farmer asked, “Are we doing agricultural production here or are we just becoming a mowing society for tourists?” The rural look, valued by many for its beauty and associations with America’s yeoman myth, is not necessarily the same visually as a productive farm. Another Orcas farmer explained to me that farmers do indeed have a different aesthetic of the ‘rural’ than non-farmers: “A farmer sees the beauty in productivity. It takes a certain eye to see beauty in efficiency and not just an open field that isn’t being worked. A working landscape can look a lot different than an open field that’s being mowed, and people need to learn to recognize that aesthetic.” This distinction is particularly important should a conflict arise between the productivity of farming and its rural appearance. As one farmer asked, “farming isn’t necessarily neat and tidy—it might look messy. Will people still want it then?”

If rural character is not necessarily dependent upon an agricultural economy, how does one foster it in places where agriculture is not a major economic contributor? What does rural character mean, and what does it look and feel like? On Orcas, the new rural character has many associations. While some residents reply that agriculture is central to maintaining “rural character” in the County, others respond that, economically, agriculture simply will not be able to continue—though rural character can survive without it. Instead, to a number of islanders, rural character is associated with “not seeing any cars on the drive to town,” “privacy,” “roadside views,” “open spaces,” and “the opposite of Disney World,” and is described with adjectives like “pleasant,” “homogenous,” “peaceful,” and “quiet.”

Yet, others acknowledged that while rural character has a visual element, it’s also about community and livelihood—characteristics that conservation easements cannot address. One resident described rural character as “easy access to Moran State Park, seeing the ocean and beach,” but followed with a description of what she called the “people piece.” Knowing people around town and having lives intersect in multiple ways is characteristic of the rural lifestyle she hopes to help preserve on Orcas—for example, while two residents may disagree politically, they may also be allied in raising funds for the local Fire Department. There is clearly a strong visual element of rural character that various methods, including conservation easements, help to preserve. But how is the “people piece” being addressed?

Pastoral landscapes are appealing because they represent simplicity and a nature in which humans make sense, even after the agriculture is gone. Yet, rural character depends upon more than open fields and
an agricultural past. Many residents are involved in efforts to integrate the need for affordable housing and a diversity of economic opportunities that includes sustainable agriculture into the definition of “rural character.” It’s one thing to have land that looks like a farm; but creating a social and economic environment in which a farmer can afford to live and work the land is another, admittedly more complex, challenge. While “yuppie farms” are helping to preserve a look and a history, a more comprehensive approach to rural character is needed to maintain both a healthy landscape and vibrant community.