Francis Bacon and the 'vexations of art': experimentation as intervention

CAROLYN MERCHANT

Abstract. Francis Bacon's concept of the 'vexations of art' (*vexationes artium*) entailed experimentation as an intervention into nature for the purpose of extracting its secrets. Although the standard edition of Bacon's works by Spedding, Ellis and Heath and the new Oxford edition by Graham Rees translate the phrase *vexationes artium* as the 'vexations of art', a significant number of scholars, translators and editors from the seventeenth century to the present have read Bacon's Latin as the 'torments' or 'tortures of art'. Here I discuss these latter interpretations and speculate on the reasons for their association of the term with experimentation. While it may not be possible to say with certainty what Bacon meant by 'vexation', the context of his thought, the rich set of metaphors on which he drew and the interpretations of dozens of scholars over four centuries would seem to favour assigning a robust, interventionist meaning to *vexare*.

... the secrets of nature reveal themselves more readily under the *vexations of art* than when they go their own way.

Francis Bacon, *Novum Organum*, 1620, Book I, Aphorism 98

Francis Bacon's concept of vexation, as an intervention into a nature confined and 'in bonds' for the purpose of extracting its 'secrets', holds a major key to his idea of experimentation and the role it plays in his philosophy and attitude toward nature. Known as the 'father of experimental philosophy', Bacon was admired for his emphasis on experimentation as a way both to know and to obtain power over the natural world. His contrast between experimentation and the speculative deduction that had dominated the thought of his predecessors was welcomed as the foundation for a new philosophy. In linking vexation to the idea of nature confined and in bonds, Bacon anticipated the idea of the contained, controlled experiment. That idea, nascent in Bacon's thought, was realized even more fully in the meaning given to the word 'vexation' and its association with experimentation pursued by his followers.
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1 Francis Bacon, *Novum Organum*, in *Idem*, *Works* (ed. James Spedding, Robert Leslie Ellis and Douglas Devon Heath), 14 vols., London: Longmans, 1868–1901, vol. 4, Book 1, Aphorism 98, p. 98. Thomas Fowler, in his introduction to *Bacon's Novum Organum*, 2nd edn., Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1889, p. 147 n. 78, notes, 'Mr. Spedding... informed me that the translation was originally made by an Undergraduate of Trinity College, Cambridge, but that he was himself responsible for the form which it ultimately assumed.'
The meaning Bacon himself gave to the phrase ‘vexations of art’ (vexationes artium) has been intensely debated by scholars of his work. Although the standard edition of his works by Spedding, Ellis and Heath and the new Oxford edition by Graham Rees translate the phrase vexationes artium as the ‘vexations of art’, a significant number of scholars over the past four centuries have read and translated Bacon’s Latin as the ‘tortment’ or ‘tortures of art’. Since the mid-1990s, a lively, sometimes contentious debate over Bacon’s use of the word ‘vex’ and his attitudes toward science and nature has arisen. The defenders of Bacon (see below), relying primarily on the English translations by Spedding and Rees, have argued that by ‘vexation’ Bacon meant only ‘annoy’, ‘irritate’, or ‘harass’, rather than ‘tortment’ or ‘torture’. In this article, I examine the language used by scholars, translators and editors of Bacon’s work from his own time to the present and speculate on the reasons for their interpretations of vexation and its association with experimentatio. While we may never know the precise meaning that Bacon himself gave to the Latin word vexare, a stronger, interventionist meaning for the term is consistent with the significance Bacon and his followers gave to the experimental method.


4 Mary Tiles, following Ian Hacking, has argued that experimental science since Francis Bacon has emphasized the role of intervention in nature through ‘vexation’. Scientists, she writes, have not sufficiently
Bacon on vexation

Bacon began using the term ‘vexations of art’ in *The Advancement of Learning*, written in English in 1605, in relation to the sea god Proteus, in language that presaged his interventionist approach to nature. Bacon wrote,

> For like as a man’s disposition is never well known till he be crossed, nor Proteus ever changed shapes till he was straitened and held fast; so the passages and variations of nature cannot appear so fully in the liberty of nature, as in the trials and vexations of art [added emphasis; see Table 4, column 1].

In his discussion of Proteus, Bacon drew on Natale Conti’s *Mythologiae* (1551), which, along with Lilio Gregorio Giraldi’s *History of the Gods* (1548) and Vicenzo Cartari’s *Images of the Gods* (1556), was a well-known Renaissance work on mythology. But while Conti related the ancient myth of the sea god Proteus, he did not use the terms ‘vexation’ or ‘binding and straitening’, but stated merely that ‘Idothea told Menelaus to grab Proteus while he was sleeping, and then hold on to him as he went through his various changes, until he went back to his original shape’. This was the phrase ‘binding and straitening’ that was to become an integral part of Bacon’s approach to experimentation.

Bacon’s reference to Proteus seems, instead, to come from the poet Virgil. George William Kitchin, in his 1860 edition of the 1605 *Advancement of Learning*, provides a footnote citing Bacon’s source for the phrase ‘straitened and held fast’ as Virgil’s *Georgics*, IV, lines 387 ff. (see Table 4, column 1, note 1). Virgil wrote,

> In Neptune’s Carpathian flood there dwells a sea, Proteus, of sea-green hue, who traverses the mighty main in his car drawn by fishes and a team of two-footed steeds... To him we Nymphs do reverence, and aged Nereus himself; for the sea has knowledge of all things—what is, what is appreciated ‘the respect in which modern science intervenes in nature to further its inquiries—the respect in which it relies on, as well as generates, new forms of human artefact’. See Mary Tiles, ‘Experiment as intervention’, *British Journal for the Philosophy of Science* (1993) 44, pp. 463–475, 463; Ian Hacking, *Representing and Intervening*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1983.

5 Natale Conti, *Mythologiae* (1551), tr. and annotated by John Mulryan and Steven Brown, 2 vols., Tempe: Arizona Center for Medieval and Renaissance Studies, 2006, vol. 2, Chapter 8, ‘On Proteus’, pp. 724–730: ‘since Proteus used to come ashore around noon to have an afternoon nap with the seals, Idothea told Menelaus to grab Proteus while he was sleeping, and then hold on to him as he went through his various changes, until he went back to his original shape’ (p. 725). Conti also included the Latin version of the fourth book of Homer’s Odyssey (Loeb translation included by Mulryan and Brown) as follows: ‘First he will count the seals, and go over them; but when he has told them all off by fives, and beheld them, he will lay himself down in their midst, as a shepherd among his flocks of sheep. Now so soon as you see him laid to rest, thereafter let your hearts be filled with strength and courage, and do you hold him there despite his striving and struggling to escape. For thy will, and will assume all manner of shapes of all things that move upon the earth, and of water, and of wondrous blazing fire’ (393–418, Loeb tr.) See also Lilio Gregorio Giraldi, ‘Marini Dei’, in *Idem, De Deis Gentium*, New York: Garland Publishing, 1976; originally published Basel, 1548, pp. 117–118. Vicenzo Cartari’s *Images of the Gods* (1556) appeared in an abridged English paraphrase by Richard Linche as *The Fountain of Ancient Fiction Wherein is Lively Depicted the Images and Statues of the Gods...*, London: Islip, 1599. Paolo Rossi, *Francis Bacon: From Magic to Science*, tr. Sacha Rabino-vitch, Chicago: University of Chicago Press, 1968, p. 155 n. 20, writes, ‘Conti’s *Mythologiae* ran to 19 eds. between 1551 and 1627... The *Images* by Cartari had 24 eds. between 1556 and 1699... This will give some idea of the popularity of such works in Europe.’
hath been, what is in train before long to happen — for so has it seemed good to Neptune, whose monstrous herds and unsightly seals he pastures beneath the wave. Him, my son, you must first take in fetters vinclis capitandus], that he may unfold to you all the cause of the sickness and bless the issue. For without force [vix] he will give you no counsel, nor shall you bend him by prayer. With stern force and fetters [vím duram et vinclae capitae tende] make fast the captive; thereon alone his wiles will shatter themselves in vain. I myself, when the sun has kindled his noontide heat, when the grass is arid, and the shade is now welcome to the flock, will guide you to the aged one's retreat, whether when weary he retires, so that you may assail him with ease as he lies asleep. But when you hold him in the grasp of hands and fettlers [manibus vincisque tenebitis], then will manifold forms baffle you, and figures of wild beasts. For of a sudden he will become a bristly boar, a deadly tiger, a scaly serpent, or a lioness with tawny neck; or he will give forth the fierce roar of flame, and thus slip from his fettlers [vinclis], or he will melt into fleeting water and be gone. But the more he turn himself into all shapes, the more, my son, should you tighten his fettlers [tenacia vincla], until after his last changes of body he become such as you saw when he closed his eyes at the beginning of slumber.6

Thus in his earliest use of Proteus, in 1605, as being 'straitened and held fast', Bacon, following Virgil, already implied a forceful intervention for the purpose of extracting information. In his next work, the 1609 De Sapientia Veterum (The Wisdom of the Ancients), written in Latin, and again relying on Virgil's account, Bacon went a step further to include the use of handcuffs and chains (fettlers) for the binding of Proteus: 'if any one wanted his help in any matter, the only way was to secure his hands with handcuffs, and then to bind him with chains' (nisi eum manici comprehensum vinclis constringeret) (Table 1, column 6). This idea he analogized to vexation:

Nevertheless if any skilful Servant of Nature shall bring force to bear on matter, and shall vex it and drive it to extremities [vexet, atque surgeat] as if with the purpose of reducing it to nothing, then will matter (since annihilation or true destruction is not possible except by the omnipotence of God) finding itself in these straits, turn and transform itself into strange

6 Virgil, Eclogues, Georgics, Aeneid I–VI (Loeb Classical Library), with an English translation by H. Rushton Fairclough, revised by G.P. Goold, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1999, vol. 1, pp. 246–249, Book IV, 11. 387–414. Emphasis added. John Briggs (like G.W. Kinchin) has pointed out that Bacon's source for the term 'fettlers' (vinclis) was actually Virgil, who included the means by which Proteus was constrained. John Briggs, Francis Bacon and the Rhetoric of Science, Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 1989, pp. 34–35, 34: 'Virgil's adaptation of the episode for the Georgics permits Aristaeus to confine Proteus in chains, but not before seizing him in his own arms (manibus vincisque). His mother stresses the importance of capturing Proteus with chains and strength together (vín duram et vinclae capitae / Tende). Concerning Conti's Mythologie, Briggs notes (p. 259 n. 30), 'Jean Baudouin's wordy 1627 translation dilutes but does not erase the intellectual and physical import of [Conti's] verb ... A later sentence in Baudouin's paragraph, claiming that Proteus' feet were then bound, is an interpolation. Neither [Conti] nor Horner makes the capture explicitly violent. In Baudouin's case, the verb garrotter strongly suggests mechanical torture'. See also Vickers, op. cit. (3), pp. 18–19. Like Kinchin and Briggs, Vickers notes (pp. 18–19), 'Although most modern readers know this story from Horner, Bacon used Virgil, who closely imitated the Odyssey's account in Book 4 of the Georgics. Where the oral poet(s) had not specified the means by which Memnon and his men held Proteus fast, the practical Roman added some realistic details. His enquirer is advised to catch Proteus "in fettlers" (396: vinclis capitandus), "For without force he will give you no counsel, nor shall you bend him by prayer. With stern force and fettlers make fast the captive (vím duram et vinclae capitae / tende)" (359–400). Proteus's power to turn himself "into all wondrous shapes" (441) can only be overcome by holding him "in the grasp of hands and fettlers" (manibus vincisque tenebitis, 405) until the "serax" (oases) reveals the truth.' (Virgil, Georgics, ibid.) On Bacon's use of the term 'bonds and handcuffs' in the Paracelsus see Vickers, p. 19.
shapes, passing from one change to another till it has gone through the whole circle and
finished the period; when if the force be continued, it returns at last to itself. And this constraint
and binding will be more easily and expeditiously effected, if matter be laid hold on and secured
by the hands; that is, by its extremities [Table 1, column 6].

In the Parasceve, written in 1620, Bacon again used the term ‘handcuffs’, now
coupling it with the word ‘bonds’:

The vexations of art [vexationes artis] are certainly as the bonds and handcuffs [vincula et
manicae] of Proteus, which betray the ultimate struggles and efforts of matter. For bodies will
not be destroyed or annihilated; rather than that they will turn themselves into various forms
[see Table 3, column 4].

Then, in 1623, in the expanded version of the Advancement, written in Latin under
the title De Augmentis Scientiarum, Bacon retained Virgil’s terms ‘straitened and held
fast’, again coupling them with the ‘vexations of art’:

For like as a man’s disposition is never well known or proved till he be crossed, nor Proteus ever
changed shapes till he was straitened and held fast [mis manicis arcte comprehensus], so nature
exhibits herself more clearly under the trials and vexations of art [arte irritata et vexata] than
when left to herself.

It is thus Bacon’s use of the terms ‘straitened and held fast’ in the Advancement and De
Augmentis, the terms ‘handcuffs’ and ‘chains’ (fetters) (manics comprehendum vinculis
constringeret) in the Wisdom of the Ancients, and ‘bonds and handcuffs’ (vincula et
manicae) in the Parasceve that together imply a strong interventionist approach to
extracting the secrets of nature. While Bacon’s use of Virgil may help us to understand
Bacon’s source for the terms ‘handcuffs’, ‘chains’, ‘bonds’ and ‘fetters’, it does not explain
why he chose the words ‘vexations of art’ as the means for extracting those secrets.

Of the possible words Bacon could have used to describe the state of nature in
constraint, he chose the term ‘vexation’ (vexare). In the 1620 ‘Plan of the Work’ for the
Instauratio Magna, in contrasting nature at liberty with nature ‘under constraint and
vexed’, he wrote, ‘the nature of things betrays itself more readily under the vexations of
art [vexationes artis] than in its natural freedom’ (Table 2, column 6). And in Book 1,
Aphorism 98, of the 1620 Novum Organum, he wrote, similarly, ‘so likewise the secrets
of nature reveal themselves more readily under the vexations of art [vexationes artium]
than when they go their own way’ (Table 3, column 5; emphasis added).

7 See Table 1, column 6 (tr. Spedding), italics added. These ‘strange shapes’ may refer to the marvels or
monsters that Bacon includes in his second state of nature (i.e. nature ‘in error’). See Bacon, Advancement of
Learning, 1605, Works, vol. 3, p. 330, ‘Nature erring or varying’, and Bacon, De Augmentis (1623), Works,
vol. 1, p. 496 and Works, vol. 4, p. 294. Others translate Bacon’s Latin in the Proteus myth (omnes formas
atque rerum miracula and in miras rerum transformationes et effigies) (see Table 1, Latin, column 1) as ‘all
kinds of shapes and miraculous forms’ and ‘a strange variety of Shapes and Appearances’ (Table 1, Shaw,
column 2); ‘all manner of forms and prodigies’ and ‘a wonderful variety of shapes and transformations’
(Table 1, Merivale, column 3), and ‘all manner of forms and wonders of nature’ and ‘divers strange forms and
shapes of things’ (Table 1, Montagu, column 5). For a discussion see Merchant, The Scientific Revolution and
8 All translations cited here are by Spedding.
9 See Table 4, column 8 (tr. Spedding). Emphasis added.
The word ‘vexation’ had been used in several contexts that were familiar to Bacon: alchemy, witchcraft, the Bible and the Inquisition, and Bacon


On the use of vexation in the context of witchcraft see John Svan, A True and Brief Report, of Many Grovers Vexation, and of her Deliverance by the Means of Fasting and Prayer, in Michael MacDonald (ed.), Witchcraft and Hysteria in Elizabethan London: Edward Jorden and the Mary Glover Case, London: Tavistock/Routledge, 1991. James VI of Scotland who became James I of England in 1603 and under whom Bacon rose to power had written the Daemonologie in 1597 (pp. New York: Dutton, 1924), a work consisting of dialogues between Philomathes and Epistemon, the latter of whom represents the opinions of King James (see note in Bacon, De Dignitate, in Works, op. cit. (1), vol. 1, p. 498). James did not use the terms ‘sorcery’ or ‘vexation’, but did write that the devil could torment people by two kinds of possession, one inwardly, the other outwardly. Daemonologie, Book III, Chapter 2, pp. 62–64.

Perhaps the most historically significant use of the word ‘vexation’ in the Bible occurs in the Old Testament, Book of Isaiah. The Catholic version of the Bible, the Vulgate, in which the Latin appears, states the following verse from Isaiah 28:19: Sola vexatio dabit intellectum audire. English translations are: ‘Only pain shall give understanding.’ Or alternatively, ‘Only tribulation alone will give understanding to the hearing.’ The King James version, published during the time of Francis Bacon, translates the Latin vexatio as ‘vexation’. ‘And it shall be a vexation only to understand the report.’ Alternatively, ‘And it shall be a vexation only when he shall make you to understand doctrine.’ The Latin usage as ‘pain’ and ‘tribulation’ implies that vexation is more than agitation or irritation. The Foxe translation is ‘Vexation greeve understanding.’ The phrase vexatio dabit intellectum was of major significance in the era of the Inquisition.

probably appropriated it from his acquaintance with these instances. The idea of 'the vexations of art' imparted a strong interventionist connotation to experimentation as the extraction of the secrets of nature by constraining it in bonds.

Nature, for Bacon, existed in three states—at liberty, in error and in bonds—elaborated in the 1623 De Augmentis.14 The third state, in which nature is 'put in constraint [constringitur], molded [fingitur], and made as it were new by art and the hand of man [arte et opera humana]’, forms the core of his experimental philosophy. This image prefigured the idea of the contained, controlled experiment in which a hypothesis could be tested in an isolated setting by manipulating and controlling the conditions and processes to which it was subjected. It anticipated the experiments of the 1660s done on living things as air was evacuated from a container by means of the air pump (see discussion below). Confining nature in bonds (‘the vexations of art’) was a means for extracting knowledge from nature.

In the four centuries following Bacon's work, a significant number of commentators, translators and editors used the words 'torture' or 'torment' to characterize the meaning that Bacon gave to vexation. In what follows, I examine those uses and relate them to the idea of experimentation.

Seventeenth-century translators and commentators

During the seventeenth century, a strong interventionist meaning for vexare emerged among translators and commentators. In 1624, the year after Bacon had published, in Latin, the expanded text of his 1605 Advancement under the title De Augmentis Scientiarum, a French translation by A. Mavgars was published in Paris. Mavgars rendered the relevant portion of the Latin, 'similibet etiam natura arte irritata et vexata se clarissum prodit, quam cum sibi libera permittitur' as 'ne peuvent pas apparaître si pleinement dans la liberté de la nature, comme dans les essais et travaux de l'art' (see Table 4, column 3). Roger Hahn has modernized Mavgars original French phrasing and translated it as: 'so nature never reveals herself more clearly than when tortured'. The French travaux/travailler/travail stems from the word tripalium, an instrument of torture (see Webster's 2nd edition), which the Oxford English Dictionary (OED) gives as 'an instrument or engine of torture...the etymological sense was thus “to put to torture, torment,”: s.v. travail' (see Table 4, column 4).

14 In his 1623 Latin revision of The Advancement of Learning (De Dignitate et Augmentis Scientiarum), Bacon stated that nature existed in three states—at liberty, in error and in bonds. See De Augmentis, in: Works, op. cit. (1), vol. 4, Book II, Chapter 2, p. 294: 'She is either free and follows her own course of development as in the heavens, in the animal and vegetable creation, and in the general array of the universe; or she is driven out of her ordinary course by the perverseness [pravitatis], insolence [insolentias], and forwardness of matter [materiae contumacies] and violence of impediments [impedimentorum violentia], as in the case of monsters [monstros]; or lastly she is put in constraint [constringitur], molded [fingitur], and made as it were new by art and the hand of man [arte et opera humana], as in things artificial.' Bacon's three states of nature were implicitly reflected in the 1609 Proteus myth. See Table 1, column 6: Here Proteus (matter) 'unconstrained and at liberty' or 'the universe with its several species according to their ordinary frame and structure' (i.e. nature at liberty); matter which 'turn[s] and transform[s] itself into strange shapes' is nature in error, while the 'force [brought] to bear on matter' by 'vex[ing] it is nature in bonds.
In 1640, Gilbert Wats translated the De Augmentis into English. He rendered the phrase *arte irritata et vexata* as ‘provoked and vexed by Arte’, but translated the Latin, ‘neque Proteus se in varias rerum facies vertere solitus est, nisi manicis arce comprehensus’ as ‘nor Proteus ever changed shapes, until he was straitened and held fast with cords’, thus emphasizing the cords (fetters) in the binding and constraint that Bacon associated with the Proteus myth (see Table 4, column 5).

By the late 1670s, however, commentators had begun explicitly to associate Bacon’s Latin with the word ‘torture’. It is not clear why this strong and forceful meaning was given to Bacon’s language, but it may have been associated with the idea of experimentation as a means of extracting information (secrets) from nature. Torture implies a response to intervention associated with the widely held view that nature was sentient and everywhere alive. The term ‘torture’ in reference to Bacon may have been circulating in letters passed among the cognoscenti in an age when much communication was done through shared letters.

By the end of the century animal experimentation was used to extract nature’s secrets. Bacon’s work had been the inspiration for the formation of European scientific societies, such as the Accademia del Cimento (1657), the Royal Society of London (1662) and the Paris Academy of Sciences (1666), which, in emphasizing the experimental method, performed experiments on living things. In 1667, Thomas Sprat reported on experiments done by the Royal Society on living creatures (such as chickens, snakes, frogs and fish) in the rarefied air of the bell jar, on injecting dogs with liquid infusions, and on blood transfusions. In the 1640s and 1650s, Descartes and Hobbes had conceptualized the bodies of animals as machines.15 Objections to this idea were raised by Thomas More, Thomas Willis, John Locke, John Keill, John Ray, David Hartley and David Hume.16 Bacon’s use of ‘vex’, which over the ensuing decades became associated with torture, was not limited to ideas about animals or even living things, however, but referred more broadly to nature itself—still widely considered to be alive. Nature harboured secrets that could be revealed by experiments. In whatever way torture, as a method of extracting information from nature, may have been viewed, Bacon’s emphasis on experimentation, as the foundation of knowledge, made his approach innovative and compelling to his followers.

The first of the references in English that explicitly associated the idea of torture with Bacon’s concept of experimentation occurred in 1679 in an edition published under the title *Baconiana*, compiled by the Archbishop of Canterbury, Thomas Tennison. In his

---


introduction, Tennison referred to Bacon’s *Sylva Sylvarum* as a history of nature, using the word ‘torture’ in reference to the third of Bacon’s three states of nature and the art of experiment. He wrote, ‘It is a History not only of Nature freely moving in her course (as in the production of meteors, plants, minerals); but also of Nature in constraint, and *vexed and tortur’d* by humane [human] Art and Experiment’ (emphasis added; see Table 2, column 3).

Two additional scholars who associated the word ‘torture’ with Bacon and experimentation wrote at the turn of the seventeenth century. Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz, in a letter of 1696 to Gabriel Wagner (publisher of the Hamburg weekly *Vernunftübungen*) concerning the nature of logic, used the word *folterband*. The word *folter* in German meant ‘torture’ and *folterband* was translated as the ‘torture bench’ or ‘rack’. In this major letter on logic, Leibniz wrote of ‘the art of inquiry into nature itself and of putting it on the rack [die folterband]—the art of experiment (Ars Experimentandi) which Lord Bacon began so ably’. An alternative translation by Niklaus Largier that renders *folterband* as the ‘torture bench’ is, ‘Part of this is the art of questioning nature and to put it—so to speak—on the torture bench, which Verulamius [Lord Bacon] in his *Ars Experimentandi* initiated’ (see Table 6). Although opposed to human torture, Leibniz seems to have associated the term with experimentation as a means of gaining information from nature. In 1696, Leibniz had begun using the term ‘monad’ to represent a substance endowed with perception and activity, existing in a state of accommodation and consensus with other substances. He considered all of nature to be animated and alive, composed of living forces (*vis viva*), while the world around us consisted of ‘well-founded’ phenomena. Experimentation was the method for gaining an understanding of the phenomenal world.17

The other turn-of-the-century scholar who believed that Bacon was referring to the torture of nature was secretary of the Paris Academy of Sciences Jean Baptiste du Hamel, writing in 1700. Referring implicitly to Bacon and his first and third states of nature, Hamel wrote, ‘we discover the mysteries of nature much more easily when she is tortured [*torqueatur*] by fire or some other aids of art than when she proceeds along her own road’ (see Table 6). The secrets, or mysteries, of nature could be understood through ‘art’ (technology) such as fire.

These seventeenth-century scholars, while presumably opposed to human torture, and potentially to animal torture as well, were writing close to the time when torture had a vivid physical meaning. While in no way implying that Bacon advocated human torture, they nevertheless associated his Latin usage of *vexare* with the torture of nature as a means of experimentation and hence of gaining knowledge of the natural world. This reading of Bacon as a founder of the experimental method was to continue into the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries.

---

Eighteenth-century translators and interpreters

The first comprehensive English translation of Bacon's works was made in the eighteenth century by Peter Shaw (1694–1763), chemist and physician-in-ordinary to Kings George II and George III. A devoted follower and translator of Francis Bacon (1733), Shaw had also edited and popularized the work of Robert Boyle (1725 and 1738) and Herman Boerhaave (1727). He was a strong advocate of the experimental method and of the advancement of the 'useful arts'. He designed a portable laboratory and in 1734 (revised 1755) published a volume entitled *Chemical Lectures Publically Read at London in the Years 1731 and 1732*. In 1733 he published his three-volume edition of Francis Bacon, entitled *The Philosophical Works ... Methodized and made English, from the Originals, with Occasional Notes, to explain what is obscure...* A second printing appeared in 1737. In this, the first and most comprehensive English edition and translation of Bacon's works, Shaw consistently rendered *vexare* and its variants as 'torture'.

In four of Bacon's works, *The Wisdom of the Ancients* (1609), the *Instauratio Magna* (1620), and the *De Augmentis Scientiarum* (1623) in volume 1 and the *Novum Organum* (1620) in volume 2, Shaw consistently used the word torture in his translations. (Subsequent editions of Shaw's translation of the *Novum Organum* appeared in 1802, 1813 and 1845 and of the *De Augmentis* in 1803.)

First, Shaw translated the Proteus passages in the 1609 *Veterrum sapientium*, which he entitled *Physical Mythology*, as follows, using the word 'torture' in three places:

And thus far the Fable reaches of Proteus, and his Flock, at liberty and unrestrained. For the Universe, with the common Structures and Fabricks of the Creatures, is the Face of Matter, not under constraint; or as the Flock wrought upon, and tortured, by human means. But if any skilful Minister of Nature shall apply force to Matter; and by design torture and vex it, in order to its Annihilation; it, on the contrary, being brought under this Necessity, changes and transforms it self into a strange Variety of Shapes and Appearances; for nothing but the Power of the Creator can annihilate, or truly destroy it: so that at length running thro' the whole Circle of Transformations, and compleating its Period, it in some degree restores itself if the Force be continued. And that method of binding, torturing, or detaining, will prove the most effectual

and expeditious, which makes use of Manacles and Fetters; that is, lays hold and works upon Matter in extremest Degrees [see Table 1, italics added].

Second, in the 1620 ‘Plan of the Work’ to the Instauratio Magna, which Shaw translated as the ‘Preliminaries’, where Bacon used the Latin phrase vexationes artis, Shaw gave the translation as ‘the torturings of Art’:

With regard to its collection, we propose to show Nature not only in a free state, as in the History of Meteors, Minerals, Plants, and Animals, but more particularly as she is bound, and tortur’d, press’d, form’d, and turn’d out of her course by Art and human Industry. Hence we would set down all apposite experiments of the mechanic and liberal Arts; with many others not yet formed into Arts: for the nature of things is better discover’d by the torturings of Art, than when they are left to themselves [see Table 2, italics added to ‘torture’ and its variants].

Third, in Aphorism 98, Book I, of the Novum Organum (1620), where Bacon’s Latin is vexationes artium, Shaw rendered the translation as: ‘so the Secrets of Nature are better gotten out by the Torturing of Arts, than when suffer’d to take their own course’ (see Table 3; italics added).

Finally, in the 1623 De Augmentis Scientiarum, where Bacon’s Latin is arte irritata et vexata, Shaw’s translation was, ‘For as a man’s Temper is never well known till he is cross’d; in like manner the Turns and Changes of Nature cannot appear so fully, when she is left at her liberty, as in the Trials and Tortures of Art’ (see Table 4, italics added).

Shaw’s three-volume set, as the first major English edition of Bacon’s Works, would become an important milestone, both for its usage and treatment of Bacon’s ideas and as a point of comparison and refinement for nineteenth-century editors and translators. It is not clear why Shaw so consistently translated vexare and its variants as ‘torture’, even inserting the word in places where vex itself did not appear. But Shaw was an ardent advocate of experimentation and of Bacon’s emphasis on the useful arts as a means of understanding nature. He was also intimately familiar with Boyle’s experiments, having, in 1725, translated a volume of his Works, and had himself performed numerous public experiments in chemistry. Shaw’s Lectures on Chemistry covered the characteristics of the four traditional elements, fire, air, water and earth; Boerhaave’s ideas on putrefaction and fermentation; and lectures on the useful arts and their applications in order to ‘apprehend what lies in the Verulamian Method’.19

Although Shaw associated the Verulamian (Baconian) method with experimentation, his Lectures do not contain experiments on living things. Indeed concerns about experimentation on live animals were prevalent in the cultural milieu of the period. In the years following Shaw’s translation, William Hogarth painted The Four Stages of Cruelty (1751), depicting the torture of dogs, cats, chickens, sheep, horses, donkeys, cattle and humans. James Ferguson’s lecture on ‘Experiments with the Air Pump’ (1761) warned that experiments in which living things expired as the air was extracted from a receiver might prove too shocking for most audiences to view. And in 1768, Joseph Wright of

---

Derby painted *An Experiment on a Bird in the Air Pump*, in which a pet cockatoo is placed in a bell jar from which an experimenter prepares to evacuate the air. Such experiments from the 1660s onward may have raised public awareness about animal experimentation and the idea of torture as a method of extracting information about the natural world.\(^{20}\)

**Nineteenth-century translators and interpreters**

In the nineteenth century, several writers and translators continued the tradition of associating the torture or torment of nature with Francis Bacon. These included Johann Wolfgang von Goethe, William Wood, Herman Merivale, Basil Montagu, J.A.C. Buchon, Joseph Devey, James Creighton and Thomas Fowler. James Speeding, who along with Robert Leslie Ellis and Douglas Devon Heath produced the fourteen-volume English edition of Bacon's works (London, 1857–1874), was perhaps the first consistently to translate *vexare* and its variants as ' vexation', rather than 'torture' or 'torment'.

The first of these writers, Johann Wolfgang von Goethe, seemingly influenced by Francis Bacon's ideas, strongly objected to the very idea of the torture of nature, although his thoughts were not published until 1833, just after his death. In Maxim 115 of his *Maxims and Reflections*, he wrote, 'Nature grows dumb when subjected to torture [folter]; the true answer to honest questioning is yes! yes! no! no! All else is idle and basically evil'. In Maxim 430 he stated the idea thus: 'Phenomena must once and for all be removed from their gloomy empirical–mechanical–dogmatic torture chamber [marterkammer] and submitted to the jury of plain common sense' (see Table 6).

Several translators in the early nineteenth century used the word 'torment' rather than 'torture' in their translations of Bacon's writings. Between 1825 and 1834, Basil Montagu edited a new edition of Bacon's *Works*, printed as a sixteen-volume set, published by William Pickering in London and reprinted in Philadelphia in three volumes in 1844, 1852, 1857 and 1859.\(^{21}\) In it, he included two translations that rendered *vexare* as 'torment'. He also included a very extensive set of notes on Bacon that listed the various editions and translations of his writings. In volume 16, Note BBB, of the William Pickering edition, Montagu stated, 'In 1733 Peter Shaw, M.D. published a translation of the *Novum Organum*. In the year 1830, the translation published in this edition was by my friend William Wood.' Wood translated Aphorism 98, Book 1, of the *Novum Organum*, as: 'so the secrets of nature reveal themselves more readily

---


when tormented by art than when left to their own course’ (see Table 3, columns 3 and 5, italics added).

In his 1840 edition of the Essays or Counsels Civil and Moral and Wisdom of the Ancients, Montagu used Herman Merivale’s translation of De Sapientium Veterum which likewise rendered vex as ‘torment’:

Nevertheless if a skilful handler of nature apply force to matter, and torment and press it, as if with intent and determination to reduce it to nothing, matter on the contrary (since its utter annihilation and destruction can never take place except by the omnipotent will of God), being placed in these straits, twists and changes itself into a wonderful variety of shapes and transformations (see Table 1, column 3).

It is not clear why both Wood and Merivale chose to translate Bacon’s Latin as ‘torment’, but, like ‘torture’, it carried connotations of harshness, intervention and violence as a means of extracting information about the natural world.

In addition to Montagu in England, another major edition of Bacon’s Works appeared in France that consistently used the word ‘torment’ to characterize Bacon’s experimental philosophy. J.A.C. Buchon’s edition of François Bacon’s Oeuvres Philosophiques, Morales et Politiques was published in Paris, in 1840. Buchon compiled his edition of the Oeuvres from several French translations, primarily those of Antoine de la Salle, published in Dijon in fifteen volumes in 1800. Buchon and La Salle used both ‘torment’ and ‘torture’ in the translation of Aphorism 98 (Book I) of the 1620 Nouv Organum (Nouvel Organe): ‘Nature… releases her secret more readily when tormented [tournemée] or tortured [torturée] by human agency than when left to its normal course.’ They translated Bacon’s Latin in the 1620 ‘Plan of the Work’ (Distribution de l’ouvrage) in two places as torment—‘Nature reveals herself better when tormented [tournemée] by art (human agency) than when abandoned to herself and left completely alone’—and when writing of the third state of nature, that is, of ‘nature bound and tormented [tournemée], that is to say, of nature, when by means of art and the ministry of man, she is put out of her own state, pressed or forged’. Tournemée was also the translation used in the Proteus passage in the 1623 De Augmentis (De La Dignité et De L’Accroissement des Sciences): ‘just as nature also is irritated [irritée] and tormented [tournemée] by human agency’. And in the section on Proteus in the 1609 ‘The Wisdom of the Ancients’ (De La Sagesse Des Anciens), the translation particularly emphasized the torment associated with the binding of Proteus. The garrote, a rope or iron collar used around the neck for torture and hanging, was used to describe the capturing of Proteus: ‘Those who wanted to question him could not extract any response without choking him with a garrotte [garrottant très étroitement].’ Once captured, the questioning of Proteus could only be done with torment, violence and handcuffs, achieving answers only ‘if a minister of nature, enlightened and guided by the spirit, takes care to administer force [violence] and torment [tournemée] by all kinds of means’, and ‘if one places him in handcuffs [menottes], that is by using extreme measures’.22

22 François Bacon, Oeuvres Philosophiques, Morales et Politiques, 15 vols. (ed. J.A.C. Buchon et al., Compiled from translations by Antoine de la Salle (Dijon, 1800)), Paris: Auguste Desnez, 1840; see Buchon’s
Bacon’s English writings soon began to appear in smaller editions intended for the general reader. A major contributor to this new market was an editor named Joseph Devey. Devey edited a two-volume set that appeared in London as part of Bohn’s Scientific Library in the 1850s. All of Devey’s editions that contained translations of vexare and its variants used either Peter Shaw’s translation as ‘torture’ or William Wood’s translation as ‘torment’.

The first of these two volumes was The Physical and Metaphysical Works of Lord Bacon, Including the Advancement of Learning and Novum Organum, edited by Joseph Devey, M.A. In this volume, Devey used Wood’s translation of the Novum Organum (1620), in which Aphorism 98, from Book I, read, ‘so the secrets of nature reveal themselves more readily when tormented by art than when left to their own course’ (see Table 3, column 4, italics added). For the ‘Distribution of the Work’ (‘Plan of the Work’, 1620), from the Instauratio Magna, he used Peter Shaw’s translation (modernizing the spelling and changing the capitalization):

With regard to its collection, we propose to show nature not only in a free state, as in the history of meteors, minerals, plants, and animals, but more specifically as she is bound, and tortured, pressed, formed, and turned out of her course by art and human industry. Hence we would set down all opposite experiments of the mechanic and liberal arts, with many others not yet formed into arts; for the nature of things is better discovered by the torturings of art, than when they are left to themselves [see Table 2, column 3, italics added].

He also used Shaw’s translation of the De Augmentis Scientiarum (1623), again changing the capitalization. ‘For as a man’s temper is never well known until he is crossed, in like manner the turns and changes of nature cannot appear so fully, when she is left at her liberty, as in the trials and tortures of art’ (see Table 4, column 7, italics added).

Devey’s volume was published in London by Pickering in 1844. It was then taken up by Henry Bohn for his Scientific Library and reprinted by Bell & Daldy in 1853, by

通知书 at p. xiv. Thomas Fowler confirms that the translation of the Novum Organum is that of La Salle; see Fowler, op. cit. (1), p. 147. Quotations from Novum Organum, p. 302: ‘Il en est de même des mystères de la nature; elle laisse plus aisément échapper son secret lorsqu’elle est tourmentée et comme torturée par l’art, que lorsqu’on l’abandonne à son cours ordinaire, la laissant dans toute sa liberté’ (italics added); Distribution de l’Ouvrage, p. 13: ‘attendu que la nature se décele mieux par les tourments que l’art lui fait subir que lorsqu’elle est abandonnée à elle-même et laissée dans toute sa liberté’, and in discussing the three states of nature, ‘mais bien plus celle de la nature liée et tourmentée’; De La Dignité et De L’accroissement des Sciences, p. 58: ‘de même aussi la nature, irritée et tourmentée par l’art’; De La Sagesse Des Anciens, sec. XI, p. 554: ‘Ceux qui voulaient le consulter ne pouvaient tirer aucune réponse de lui qu’en le garrottant très étroitement’ (italics added); ‘mais si un ministre de la nature, éclairé et guidé par le génie, prend pein à lui faire une sorte de violence et à la tourmenter des toutes les manières’ and ‘elle semble revenir à son premier état si l’on continue à lui faire violence’; ‘c’est de lui mettre pour ainsi dire des menottes, c’est-à-dire d’employer les moyens extrêmes’ (italics added). A 1997 French translation of La Sagesse des Anciens by Jean-Pierre Cavaille, Paris: J. Vrin, 1997, also used the terms tourments and violence in the section on Proteus, see p. 101: ‘Mais si quelque Ministre éprouvé de la Nature soude de violence avec la matière, la tourmente et la presse comme si son intention et son but était de la ramener au néant, alors celle-ci (qui ne peut être annihilée ou véritablement détruite, sinon par l’omnipotence divine), placée dans une telle extrémité, prend les formes et les apparenues des choses le plus étranges, passe de l’une à l’autre comme en cercle, achève le cycle et revient en quelque sorte à elle-même, si la violence persiste.’
Henry Bohn in 1858, and by George Bell and Sons in 1904, all located in London. The 1858 edition contained the following preface by Joseph Devey (immediately following the title page):

Of the De Augmentis, though one of the greatest books of modern times, only three translations have appeared, and each of these strikingly imperfect... In the present version, our task has been principally to rectify Shaw's mistakes, by restoring the author's own arrangement and supplying the omitted portions... The version of the Novum Organum contained in this volume is that of [William] Wood [1830], which is the best extant... [D]

But in 1902 the two works were printed separately by P.F. Collier & Sons in New York. While the Advancement of Learning contained Devey's 'Preface', acknowledging Shaw as the source for the translation of the Advancement (actually the 1623 De Augmentis), the separately printed edition of the Novum Organum lacked Devey's preface in which William Wood was acknowledged as the translator.

Efforts to reach a popular audience soon perpetuated the unacknowledged appropriation of Peter Shaw's translations of Bacon. In 1899, James Edward (Edwin) Creighton, Sage Professor of Logic and Metaphysics at Cornell University, American editor of Kants-Studien, and soon to be president of the American Philosophical Society and dean of the Graduate School, edited The Advancement of Learning and Novum Organum, by Francis Bacon (Lord Verulam). It was printed in New York by the Colonial Press and reprinted by Willey Book Co. in 1900 and 1944. Creighton's sources for his translations—without acknowledgement—were identical to those of Devey, namely Shaw for the Advancement (actually Bacon's De Augmentis), which used 'torture', and Wood for the Novum Organum, which used 'torment'. Moreover, Creighton's notes were identical to those of Devey, but placed at the end of the volume as opposed to the foot of the page (see Table 4, column 9 and Table 3, column 8). It is not clear why these translations and notes were published under Creighton's name, but the demands of the popular market for books of the 'World's Great Classics', assembled together in the crimson-covered volumes of the Colonial Press series, may have been the reason.

The second volume in Devey's set, entitled Bacon's Moral and Historical Works, was published by Bohn in 1857, and contained The Wisdom of the Ancients. Unlike the first volume, however, it did not acknowledge the source of the translation. The translation, however, is clearly that of Peter Shaw, with the capitalization modified. Devey's passages from the Proteus myth that contain the word 'torture' are as follows:

And thus far the fable reaches of Proteus, and his flocks, at liberty and unrestrained. For the universe, with the common structures and fabrics of the creatures, is the face of matter, not under constraint, or as the flock wrought upon and tortured by human means. But if any skilful minister of nature shall apply force to matter, and by design torture and vex it, in order to its annihilation, it, on the contrary, being brought under this necessity, changes and transforms

itself into a strange variety of shapes and appearances; for nothing but the power of the Creator can annihilate, or truly destroy it; so that at length, running through the whole circle of transformations, and completing its period, it in some degree restores itself, if the force be continued. And that method of binding, torturing, or detaining, will prove the most effectual and expeditious, which makes use of manacles and fetters; that is, lays hold and works upon matter in the extremest degrees [see Table 1, column 4, italics added].

Subsequent printings of Devey’s Moral and Historical Works, containing The Wisdom of the Ancients, without acknowledging Peter Shaw as the translator, appeared in 1837 and 1862 by Henry Bohn, publisher, and in 1874, 1882 and 1894 by George Bell & Sons, the latter at the same London address as Henry Bohn – a replica of the 1837 edition was published in 2006 by Elibron Classics’ Replica (see Table 1, columns 4 and 8). In 1884 an edition of Bacon’s Essays and Wisdom of the Ancients was printed in Boston by Little, Brown, & Company. The advertisement stated, ‘In preparing the present volume for the press, use has been freely made of several publications which have recently appeared in England’, and noted that ‘the Notes, including the translations of the Latin, are chiefly copied from Bohn’s edition, prepared by Joseph Devey, M.A.’ (see Table 1, column 7). Again, however, no notice was given that the translation of the Wisdom of the Ancients was that of Peter Shaw made in 1733. All these popular mass-market volumes helped to disseminate the work of Francis Bacon as the father of experimental philosophy and to illustrate the importance of the experimental method.

One other major nineteenth-century scholar used the word ‘torture’ in conjunction with Bacon’s Novum Organum. Thomas Fowler employed it in his Latin edition of the Novum Organum (published in 1889), both in his notes to Aphorism 98 (Book I) and in his introduction, associating it with experimentation. In his footnote to Aphorism 98, Fowler gave the translation as: ‘Nature best discovers her secrets when tortured by art’ and further noted, ‘This is an excellent illustration of the advantage which Experiment, at least in many cases, possesses over Observation’ (see Table 3, column 7, italics added). In his introduction to the volume, Fowler reiterated what he thought to be one of Bacon’s singular influences on science: ‘He insisted, both by example and precept, on the importance of experiment over observation. Nature like a witness when put to the torture would reveal her secrets’ (see Table 6). Fowler, therefore, like his predecessors, interpreted Bacon’s experimental method for obtaining secrets (information) in terms of the torture of nature.

These editions of Bacon’s works, spanning the nineteenth century from the 1820s to 1900 (with reprints as late as 1944 and 2006), continued the tradition begun by Peter Shaw of viewing vexare in a strong, interventionist manner, interpreting Bacon’s meaning as ‘torture’ or ‘torment’. They are indicative of the process of confining nature in bonds in order to extract useful information from it, a method associated by Bacon’s followers with experimentation. By now advances in science associated with the experimental method had become sufficiently powerful and well known in fields such as electricity and magnetism, hydrodynamics and thermodynamics that interventionist techniques for isolating and operating on phenomena in closed systems for the purposes of discovery and harnessing the forces of nature were widely accepted. Soon, perhaps associated with the establishment and acceptance of the experimental
method, the language of torture and torment would begin to recede in translations and editions of Bacon's work. This reassessment would begin with Bacon's myth of Proteus.

The myth of Proteus in the nineteenth century

The case of Proteus is of particular interest as it has become a point of contention between the defenders of Bacon, who in the mid-1990s argued that he did not use harsh language when talking about nature, and those who maintain that Bacon's objective was to re-establish human dominion over nature, thereby advancing its control and domination (see discussion below). The several instances in which Bacon compared the vexations of art to the constraint of Proteus lend credence to the importance of the Proteus myth in Bacon's thinking. Whether, in choosing the term 'vexation', Bacon himself meant the harsher connotations of the torture or torment of nature (as his earlier translators seem to believe), or the milder implications of agitation or annoyance (as his defenders argue), is central to the debate. The large number of printings of Bacon's works disseminated in the nineteenth and twentieth centuries through popular outlets to a general audience (for example, by Montagu, Devey and Creighton, using the translations of Shaw, Wood and Merivale) convey an interventionist and harsher reading of Bacon than the defenders acknowledge. They continue the idea of experimentation as an interventionist approach to extracting information from nature.

By 1857, however, it appears that both Basil Montagu and Spedding, Ellis and Heath were moving away from the use of the words 'torture' and 'torment'. Both Montagu's 1857 and Spedding's 1878 translations of the Proteus myth in The Wisdom of the Ancients use the word 'vex' as opposed to 'torture'. The three instances in which Shaw and Devey use the word 'torture' can be compared to the three places in that same passage as translated by Montagu and Spedding (see Table 1, italics added). In the first instance, where Shaw and Devey have 'the Face of Matter, not under constraint; or as the Flock wrought upon, and tortured', Montagu (using Gorges, 1619; see Table 1, column 5) has 'The face of matter not limited and constrained and of the flock also of material beings', and Spedding has 'the face of matter unconstrained and at liberty, with its flock of matter creatures'. In the second instance, where Shaw and Devey have 'torture and vex it' and Merivale has 'torment and press it', Montagu (Gorges) uses 'vexing and urging her', while Spedding has 'vex it and drive it'. Finally, in the third instance, Shaw and Devey have 'binding, torturing, or detaining', while Montagu (Gorges) uses 'constraint or binding' and Spedding uses 'constraint and binding'.

Bacon's comparison between the vexations of art and the constraint of Proteus in Montagu's (1857) and Spedding's (1858, 1873) editions is particularly striking in Aphorism 5 of the 1620 Parasceve, or 'Aphorisms on the Formation of the Primary History' (see Table 5, italics added). Here Peter Shaw's 1733 translation uses the 'tortures of art', Montagu's uses 'the attacks of art', and Spedding's uses 'the vexations of art'. The comparison with Proteus is to 'the Bonds and Shackles of Proteus' (Shaw),
the very fetters and miracles of Proteus’ (Montagu) and ‘the bonds and handcuffs of Proteus’ (Spedding). Another place where Bacon compares the vexations of art with the ‘straitening’ of Proteus is in the De Augmentis Scientiarum of 1623. Here he repeats the passage from the 1605 Advancement discussed above, but writes in Latin. Shaw, Devey and Creighton, however, omit the Proteus phrase from their translation of the Latin, stating simply, ‘the Turns and Changes of Nature cannot appear so fully, when she is left at her liberty, as in the Trials and Tortures of Art’ (see Table 4, italics added).

With respect to Aphorism 98 in Book I of the Novum Organum, a more complex picture emerges. Where Buchon (1840) uses both tourmentée and torturée, Kitchin (1855) uses ‘provoke’ and Johnson (1859) uses ‘ vexation’, Montagu (1859) retains Woods’s translation, using ‘ torment’, and Fowler (Latin edn., 1889) uses ‘torture’ both in his notes and in his introduction (see also Table 3, columns 5 and 7).24 Graham Rees (although not writing in reference to the term ‘torture’), states that Fowler’s 1889 edition of the Novum Organum was the greatest of them all. Who would now dare to follow Fowler and publish the Latin text without a translation...? [In an age when knowledge of Latin slumped, only two new translations of Novum Organum appeared and these not until the very end of the [twentieth] century, and long after the ingenious, mock-Jacobean “Spedding” translation had begun to look embarrassingly dated.25]

In interpreting Bacon’s intentions, therefore, a spectrum of meanings exists depending on the translation.


25 Graham Rees, ‘The reputation of Francis Bacon’s philosophy’, Huntington Library Quarterly (2002) 65, pp. 379–394, 386–387 and n. 30. The two twentieth-century translations to which Rees referred were (1) Francis Bacon, Novum Organum and Other Parts of the Great Instauration (tr. Peter Urbach and John Gibson), Chicago: Open Court, 1994, see ‘The Plan of the Work’, p. 25: ‘but much more of Nature constrained and vexed; by which I mean when, by art and intervention of man she is forced out of her natural state and is pressed and moulded’, and Novum Organum, Book I, aphorism 98, p. 108: ‘And just as in ordinary life, the true personality of a person and his hidden thoughts and motives show themselves more clearly when he is under stress than at other times, so things in nature that are hidden reveal themselves more readily under the vexations of art than when they follow their own course.’ See also ‘Preparation Towards a Natural and Experimental History’, p. 306: ‘In short the vexatious of art much resemble the bonds and manacle of Proteus which betray the ultimate struggles and efforts of matter.’ (2) Francis Bacon, The New Organon (tr. Lisa Jardine and Michael Silverthorne), Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2000, see ‘Plan of the Work’, p. 21: ‘Nature reveals herself more fully through the harassment of art than in her own proper freedom.’ See also New Organon, Book I, aphorism 98, p. 81: ‘For just as in politics each man’s character and the hidden set of his mind and passions is brought out when he is in a troubled state than at other times, in the same way also the secrets of nature reveal themselves better through harassments applied by the arts than when they go on in their own way.’ See also ‘Outline of a Natural and Experimental History’, p. 227: ‘And the manipulations of art are like the bonds and shackles of Proteus, which reveal the ultimate strivings and struggles of matter.’
It is not clear why Montagu and Spedding published editions that moved away from associations with torture and torment. One possibility is that over time language loses its sharper edges and vivid associations, taking on more moderate meanings. Another is that in the new age of industrialization nature itself was no longer considered everywhere alive, animate and able to give up its secrets. A third is the continued awareness of the abilities of animals to suffer and feel pain and the beginnings of an animal-rights movement. Thus Jeremy Bentham, in his *Introduction to the Principles of Morals and Legislation*, wrote, ‘The question is not, Can they Reason? Nor, Can they talk? But, Can they suffer?’ Nevertheless, Francis Bacon was still held in high esteem for his experimental method, a method that depended on the constraint of nature through the vexations of art. In the twentieth century, however, a different critique of experimentation rooted in the torture of nature would emerge.

**Twentieth-century translators and interpreters**

Graham Rees argues that during the twentieth century Bacon’s star declined. While held in high esteem from the seventeenth century onward, in the 1900s scepticism over Bacon’s experimental method arose. In 1748, Colin MacLaurin had written, ‘Sir Francis Bacon Lord Verulam, who was cotemporary [sic] with Galileo and Kepler, is justly held amongst the restorers of true learning, but more especially the founder of experimental philosophy’, such that ‘all theory was to be laid aside that was not founded on experiment’. But, according to Rees, ‘in the twentieth century, Lord Verulam, once regarded as one of the greatest philosophers of Western tradition, was relegated to an intellectual salon des refusés from which he has been hard put to escape’. While Rees associates this decline with attacks on Bacon’s inductive method, I argue that scepticism over the implications of Bacon’s experimental method, as a means of extracting information from nature, is of equal or greater significance. From characterizations of coercion and interrogation to the rape of female nature, Bacon’s language and metaphors were subjected to an intense scrutiny that undermined his once-lauded reputation.

In the twentieth century, numerous scholars continued the tradition of reading Bacon’s Latin as ‘torture’ or ‘torment’ and of interpreting Bacon’s idea of experimentation in a strong interventionist manner. Yet the sharp edge now given to these readings evinced a scepticism over the character of the experimental method itself. Thus Ernst Cassirer in *Die Platonische Renaissance in England und die Schule von Cambridge* (1932, English translation 1953) described Bacon’s experimental method as akin to the interrogation of witnesses through coercive measures, quoting from Bacon’s 1623 *De Augmentis Scientiarum* (Book II, Chapter 2): ‘For like as a man’s disposition is never well known or proved till he be crossed ... so nature exhibits herself more clearly under


the trials and vexations of art than when left to herself.' Perhaps following Leibniz, Cassirer interpreted Bacon's meaning as 'torture'. Like Leibniz, he used the German words 'auf die Foller spannen', meaning the torture bench or rack: 'Not infrequently he says that one must resort to force to obtain the answer desired, that nature must be "put to the rack" ("auf die Foller spannen")' (see Table 6; for Cassirer's German see Table 6, note 5). The goal was thus to extract information from nature by coercive means through experimentation.

In his 1947 *Eclipse of Reason*, Max Horkheimer discussed Bacon's experimental method as an extraction of knowledge by instrumental means, akin to interrogation by the police, to be put purely to pragmatic use. Horkheimer, who with Theodor Adorno had fled the coercions of Nazi Germany, pinned the rise of instrumentalism on Bacon's philosophy. Quoting Bacon's concept of vexation and his comparison to Proteus (in Latin) from the *De Augmentis* (citing Montagu's 1827 edition of Bacon's *Works*), Horkheimer maintained that in experimentation, nature itself becomes identical to the laboratory. The interests of society become those that can be verified through the practices and apparatus of the laboratory, similar to the methods of interrogation employed by the metropolitan police. Objective knowledge (that of the philosopher) gives way to instrumental knowledge (that of the pragmatist). He wrote,

In accordance with the pragmatists' worship of natural sciences, there is only one kind of experience that counts, namely, the experiment. The process that tends to replace the various theoretical ways to objective truth with the powerful machinery of organized research is sanctioned by philosophy, or rather is being identified with philosophy. All things in nature become identical with the phenomena they present when submitted to the practices of our laboratories, whose problems no less than their apparatus express in turn the problems and interests of society as it is. This view may be compared with that of a criminologist maintaining that trustworthy knowledge of a human being can be obtained only by the well-tested and streamlined examining methods applied to a suspect in the hands of metropolitan police. Francis Bacon, the great precursor of experimentalism, has described the method with youthful frankness: 'Quaeadmodum enim ingenium alicujus hand bene noris aut probaris, nisi eum irritaveris; necque Proteus se in varias rerum facies vertere solitus est, nisi mancis arete comprehensus; similiter etiam Natura arte irritata et vexata se clarius prodict, quam cum sibi libera permittitur'.

Both Cassirer and Horkheimer, influenced by Second World War methods of interrogation, compared the questioning of human witnesses to the interrogation of nature, seeing a parallel between the coercive methods used to extract information from humans to that of the experimental laboratory.

In the 1970s, another type of criticism of the experimental method emerged, also in the context of war and the kinds of research it fostered. These critiques followed in the wake of post-war scepticism over the atomic bomb and nuclear power that threatened to

annihilate both nature and humanity by extracting the secrets of nature. Although not overtly tied to scepticism over those particular projects, both Charles Webster and Thomas Kuhn evinced concerns about submitting nature to Baconian-style vexation. In his 1975 volume *The Great Instauration: Science, Medicine, and Reform, 1626–1660*, Webster drew on Bacon’s comparison to Proteus, interpreting his meaning as torture, and writing,

> Nature would be forced to reveal her full potentialities when ‘forced out of her natural state, and squeezed and moulded’ in the workshops of craftsmen. In this she was like Proteus, who was induced to reveal his true shape only when straightened and held fast. Similarly it was necessary to submit nature to the trials and vexations of art. As a lawyer Bacon naturally applied familiar professional terminology to the activities of the craftsman. By ‘interrogation’ applied with extreme determination and cunning, nature would be ‘tortured’ into revealing her secrets; she would then submit to voluntary ‘subjugation’ [See Table 6].

The following year, in his discussion of the mathematical versus the experimental traditions, Thomas Kuhn (incorrectly interpreting Bacon as having advocated ‘twisting the lion’s tail’) continued the view that Bacon’s approach to experimentation was extractive, forceful and strongly interventionist:

> The attitude towards the role and status of experiment is only the first of the novelties which distinguish the new experimental movement from the old. A second is the major emphasis given to experiments which Bacon himself described as ‘twisting the lion’s tail.’ These were the experiments which constrained nature, exhibiting it under conditions which it could never have attained without the forceful intervention of man. The men who placed geese, fish, mice, and various chemicals seriatim in the artificial vacuum of a barometer or an air pump exhibit just this aspect of the new tradition [see Table 6].

The critiques by Webster and Kuhn were published during the time of the internalist–externalist debates in the history of science. While the internalist approach was associated with efforts to understand the history of science from within its own developments in mathematics and experimentation, the externalists emphasized the role of economic, social and cultural forces, often with an overt criticism of the social consequences of those influences. The implications of the experimental method for nature itself needed to be taken into consideration.

In the 1980s, a new critique of Bacon’s experimental method arose. Feminist historians and philosophers criticized Bacon’s science as dedicated entirely to the domination and control of a nature gendered as female, comparing the experimental method to the inquisition of nature. Carolyn Merchant, in *The Death of Nature* (1980), while not claiming that Bacon used the word ‘torture’, argued that Bacon’s ‘imagery . . . treats nature as a female to be tortured through mechanical inventions and strongly suggests the interrogations of the witch trials and the mechanical devices used to torture witches’. She quoted the Proteus passage from the 1623 *De Augmentis*, viewing it as ‘an analogy to the torture chamber’. She concluded, ‘the interrogation of witches as symbol for the interrogation of nature, the courtroom as model for its inquisition, and torture through mechanical devices as a tool for the subjugation of disorder were fundamental to the scientific method as power’ (see Table 6, italics added). Evelyn Fox Keller, in *Reflections on Gender and Science* (1985), wrote, ‘It is important . . . to see how deeply
Bacon's use of gender is implicated in his conception of mastery and domination.' And Sandra Harding, in *The Science Question in Feminism* (1986), wrote, 'Bacon uses bold sexual imagery to explain key features of the experimental method as the inquisition of nature... Both nature and inquiry appear conceptualized in terms of rape and torture... and this modeling is advanced as a reason to value science.' Thus Bacon's method was now implicated in a patriarchal, sometimes sexist, scientific culture devoted to the domination and control of nature and human society.

By the early 1990s, more scholars had made a negative comparison between Proteus, vexation, torture and the rack, the goal of which was the interrogation of nature by means of experimentation. John Briggs, in *Francis Bacon and the Rhetoric of Nature* (1989), wrote, 'Still the lesson that Bacon draws from the myth turns upon the wise man's power to chain Proteus to the rack so as to force matter "to extremities, as if with the purpose of reducing it to nothing".' Michelle Le Doeuff, in 1990, said of Bacon's experiments in the *New Atlantis* (1627), 'the "preparations and instruments" are these... but... being instruments of science they are not just like the telescope or the microscope. They must be conceived of as objects which contain a phenomenon under a tortured form'. And Julian Martin, in 1992, wrote, 'Whenever judicial torture was employed, its purpose was to force responses to interrogatories from the prisoner, and we can recall Bacon's insistence that nature best revealed herself when "vexed", and "tortured"' (see Table 6, italics added). These critiques sufficed Bacon's reputation as the father of an experimental method, now seen to hold negative implications for nature and for human society.

In the twenty-first century, yet another critique of Bacon's philosophy of experimentation emerged, this one rooted in a deep dichotomy between a Promethean (Baconian) attitude dedicated to revealing secrets through technology, and an Orphic (Goethean) view, dedicated to unveiling secrets through discourse, poetry and art. French scholar Pierre Hadot continued the tradition of reading Bacon's Latin as 'torture', drawing on a new 1986 French translation of the *Novum Organum*, by Michel Malherbe and Jean-Marie Poussetiere. Malherbe and Poussetiere translated Spedding's Latin text (from volume 1 of Bacon's *Works*) into French, rendering the Latin * vexare* into the French *tortumenter* and also supplying a glossary noting the equivalency of 'tournement: vexatio'. In the *Distribution de L'Oeuvre*, they translated the Latin as *la nature contrainte et tourmentée* and *les tourments de l'art* (see Table 2, column 8). Their translation of Aphorism 98, Book 1, of the *Novum Organum* was, 'de même les opérations cachées de la nature se

29 Evelyn Fox Keller, *Reflections on Gender and Science*, New Haven: Yale University Press, 1985, Chapter 2, pp. 33-42, 35; see also 34: 'What was Bacon's vision? It was without a doubt of a science leading to the sovereignty, dominion, and mastery of man over nature'. Sandra Harding, *The Science Question in Feminism*, Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1986, see pp. 113-116 on rape and torture metaphors in Francis Bacon's thought, esp. p. 116: 'Bacon uses bold sexual imagery to explain key features of the experimental method as the inquisition of nature... [This is Bacon's way of explaining the necessity of aggressive and controlled experiments in order to make the results of research replicable... The severe testing of hypotheses through controlled manipulations of nature, and the necessity of such controlled experiments if such manipulations are to be repeatable is here formulated by the father of scientific method in clearly sexist metaphors. Both nature and inquiry appear conceptualized in terms of rape and torture-on men's most violent and misogynist relationships to women—and this modeling is advanced as a reason to value science'.

livrent mieux sous le tourment des arts que dans leur cours ordinaire' (see Table 3, column 9, italics added.)

Hador's discussion of Bacon in La Voie d'Isis (2004) was based on Malherbe and Pousset's translation, which he modified slightly and into which he substituted the phrase la torture des arts: 'de même les secrets (occulte) de la nature se découvrent mieux sous la torture des arts [mécaniques] que dans se cours naturel' (Table 3, column 10, italics added). Michael Chase's English translation of Hador's book, The Veil of Isis (2006), made clear the connection between torture and experimentation: 'the secrets of nature are better revealed under the torture of experiments than when they follow their natural course' (see Table 3, column 11, italics added). Hador and Chase thus emphasized the relationship between torture and experimentation that lay at the root of earlier readings of Bacon's Latin.

Hador's analysis, like those of the twentieth-century scholars discussed above, was sharply critical of Francis Bacon's attitude toward experimentation and its implications for human dignity. He wrote, 'Francis Bacon, for instance, declared that Nature unveils her secrets only under the torture of experimentation'. And elsewhere: 'It is true that Bacon uses the vocabulary of violence, constraint, and even torture as he sketches the program of modern experimental science' (see Table 6). Of Goethe, he wrote, 'Goethe thus contradicts Francis Bacon, who sought to force Nature to talk under the torture of experimentation. For Goethe, rather than talk, "Nature keeps silent under torture"' (see Table 6). The two traditions hold different destinies for humankind. In the Prometheus tradition, nature in 'her' hostility toward humans refuses to hand over the secrets. They need to be stolen by trickery and treachery, affirming 'mankind's right to dominate nature' and to 'submit it to a judicial procedure and even to torture in order to make it hand over its secrets - Francis Bacon's famous metaphor would still be used by Kant and by Cuvier'. In the Orphic tradition, on the other hand, knowing nature through aesthetics, philosophy and rational discourse provides an authentic access to knowledge.\(^{30}\)

Numerous scholars in the twentieth and twenty-first centuries, therefore, continued to read Bacon's Latin as 'torture' or 'torment'. In understanding nature through experiment, they interpreted Bacon as meaning that nature should be constrained and manipulated by technology in order to extract its truths. Together they criticized the implications of experimentation, technology and the mechanical view of nature on instrumental, feminist and environmental grounds, undermining Bacon's once-lauded reputation.

By the mid-1990s, a defence of Francis Bacon's status, along with new English translations of his works, would transform the landscape of vexation. Peter Urbach and John Gibson (1994), like Spedding, along with Graham Rees and Maria Wakely (2004), used the term 'vexations of art', while Lisa Jardine and Michael Silverthorne (2000) translated the Latin as 'the harassment of art', 'harassments applied by the arts', and 'the manipulations of art'.\(^{31}\) These translations were consistent with scholarly defences of

---

\(^{30}\) Hador, Veil of Isis, quotation on p. 317.

\(^{31}\) See note 25 above and Table 2, columns 9 and 10.
Defenders of Bacon

Despite the long tradition of reading Bacon's Latin as meaning 'torture' or 'tortment', a contentious debate arose in the mid-1990s about the meaning that Bacon himself gave to the word 'vex'. A number of scholars argued that Bacon did not intend to use harsh language when discussing nature. These included physicists Peter Pesic and Alan Sokal, philosophers Alan Sokle and Iddo Landau, literary scholar Brian Vickers, and historical biographer Nieves Mathews. They mainly used Speeding when citing Bacon's works, but made different arguments as to Bacon's meanings. Here I discuss the points made by these defenders regarding Bacon's use of the term 'vexation'.

Both Pesic and Sokal were physicists who took up the defence of Bacon as a defence of science itself. Defending Bacon against the implications of the torture of nature was vital to the integrity of science as a means of understanding nature. The laboratory and experimentation were not dedicated to altering and controlling the entities of nature, but gateways to discerning its deepest functions.

Pesic focused several papers on Proteus as emblematic of the heroic struggle between the 'scientist' and nature to uncover its secrets. In 'Wrestling with Proteus: Francis Bacon and the "torture of Nature"' (1999), 'Proteus rebound: reconsidering the torture of Nature' (2008) and 'Shapes of Proteus in Renaissance art' (2010), Pesic defended Bacon against the implications of torture. He identified a number of post-Baconian scholars (some of whom are discussed above) who had attributed a language of torture to Bacon.32 By Proteus and the 'vexations of art', Pesic maintained, Bacon meant the 'interrogations of a divine minister worthy of respect and reverence'. Such 'interrogation requires handcuffs and chains, but it is not a scene of torture'.33 He argued that

Bacon does not use the crucial term 'torture' here, nor are its legal cognates tortura or quaestio used in the Latin text. The Latin root vexare suggests shaking, agitation, disturbance; the English uses of 'vexation' contemporaneous with Bacon pertain to conditions that are troubling, afflicting, or harassing.34

Pesic examined instances of vexation in Bacon's cultural milieu, pointing out cases where vexation refers to the agitation of the soul or spirit in Shakespeare's King Lear and A Midsummer Night's Dream, John Donne's prose and Robert Burton's Anatomy of Melancholy, as well as the biblical book of Ecclesiastes. He did not discuss cases where vexation meant physical restraint or manipulation.35

Experiment, for Bacon, Pesic believed, was not an exploitation of the natural world, but a means of understanding its functions through examination and evidence: 'By the

32 Pesic, 'Wrestling with Proteus', op. cit. (3); idem, 'Proteus rebound', op. cit. (3). Pesic used the translations of Speeding Ellis and Heith and of Graham Rees in his defense of Bacon.
33 Pesic, 'Wrestling with Proteus', op. cit. (3), quotations on pp. 84 and 85.
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"vexation" of nature Bacon meant an encounter between the scientist and nature in which both are tested and purified. He saw Bacon as an exemplar of the heroic scientist working to uncover the truths of nature through investigation and experimentation. ‘Bacon’, he asserted, ‘held that experiment should be a heroic struggle that will ennoble humanity.’ He ‘never speaks of experiment expressly as the "torture of nature." In contrast, he uses "vexation" to indicate agitation or disturbance within legitimate limits’. Pesic concluded his essay with the statement, ‘Close examination shows that Bacon did not conceive of experiment as torture. The time has come to dismiss this idol.’

Pesic’s analysis is scholarly and thorough, but he does not provide textual evidence that for Bacon experiment was a ‘heroic struggle’ or that Bacon held that vexation was an encounter between the ‘scientist and nature’ in which both are ‘tested and purified’. Nor does he give credence to scholars or translators closer to the period in which Bacon lived who read his Latin as meaning ‘torture’ or ‘torment’. Those who lived in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries presumably had a more immediate context for interpreting variants of vexare than those more distant from Bacon’s era. Additionally, language itself tends to soften over time so that ‘vexation’ itself may have lost its sharper edge by the time the defenders initiated their crusade.

In his 2010 article ‘Shapes of Proteus in Renaissance art’, Pesic offered an analysis of Renaissance images of Proteus between 1542 and 1663, four of which show Proteus in bonds and chains. Consistent with his earlier analysis of Proteus, Pesic interprets these as ‘divine wrestling’ and a ‘dance’, at once benign and delicate. Concerning Giulio Buonasoni’s Proteus and Aristaeus (1555), Pesic argues that ‘to be sure Proteus is bound, but the restraints seem rather slight, perhaps only a couple of turns of rope’, and that although ‘Aristaeus is adjusting or applying the bonds to Proteus, he is doing so with some delicacy and care’; ‘their encounter is mutually liberating, not a scene of slavish torture or hostile interrogation’. Of a fresco at the Villa Farnese at Caprarola (1579) he observes that ‘Aristaeus’s hands calmly rest on Proteus’s wrist and shoulder, suggesting not struggle but balance achieved through mutual support’. Pesic thus reads into the images his own assumptions about the Proteus myth as a gentle, non-violent encounter between the scientist (seeker) and nature. The goal of the paper is once again to show that Francis Bacon did not advocate violence or the torture of nature.

39 Pesic, ‘Shapes of Proteus’, op. cit. (3), pp. 66, 70 and 71. Four images (Figures 6, 1555, p. 69; 7, 1575, pp. 72–73; 8, before 1638, p. 76; and 9, 1663, p. 77) depict Proteus in bonds. On Proteus and the dance see Pesic’s section on ‘Dancing with Proteus’, op. 66 ff., esp. 70–71: ‘The two main figures are seen wrestling in so stylized a fashion that they seem to dance together. The balletic quality of their pose recalls also Lucian’s Dialogue on the Dance, cited by a number of Renaissance mythographers. There, Lucian speculates that Proteus was really a master dancer whose many shapes were the various characters he could portray.’ On ‘divine wrestling’ see p. 81: ‘The images that come after Bacon drew increasing attention to the beauty transfiguring the victorious seeker, both a condition and a consequence of his divine wrestling in which the seeker feels himself tested, called to respond.’ The images of Proteus, states Pesic, ‘seem also to show a growing willingness to subject the Old Man of the Sea (and the matter he represents) to ever greater duress. Even so, this
Physicist Alan Sokal is the author of the so-called 'Sokal hoax', an article published in *Social Text* in 1996 which he later revealed to be a spoof on social constructivism, hermeneutics and postmodernism. Sokal's follow-up book, *Beyond the Hoax: Science, Philosophy, and Culture* (2008), was aimed at 'a new and more radical breed of critique, which aims at the scientific method itself'. These new challenges, he said, were directed at the natural sciences, which were viewed as permeated with relativism and contaminated by prejudices stemming from sexism and Eurocentrism. At the core of these radical critiques was feminism and central to them were those feminists who 'mine[d] the works' of Francis Bacon in an effort to show that the scientific method itself was misogynist.\(^{40}\)

Those feminists whose work most threatened the very character of science, Sokal believed, were Carolyn Merchant, Sandra Harding and Evelyn Fox Keller, whose books 'have by now attained the status of canonical texts in Women's Studies courses'.\(^{42}\) Metaphors of torture, rape and sexual dialectics found in these books seemed to Sokal to undermine the integrity of scientific inquiry itself.\(^{42}\)

While Sokal defended science as a method of inquiry against 'the feminist wing of social constructivism', philosophers Alan Soble and Iddo Landau defended Bacon himself from those same feminists. Soble, in his 1995 essay 'In defense of Bacon', asserted that 'even though Bacon's use of "vex" is occasionally strong, "vex" does not always or usually carry a pernicious connotation but is meant, innocuously, along the lines of his "hound" and my "pester."'\(^{43}\) Soble also maintained that Bacon's association of the 'vexations of art' with Proteus did not pertain to nature in the female gender because Proteus was a 'guy'.\(^{43}\) There is, however, no contradiction between Bacon's use of male mythological figures, such as Pan, Proteus and Prometheus, and his comparison to and use of nature in the female gender, an association and perceived reality that goes beyond the fact that *natura* in Latin and the Romance languages is a feminine noun.

Landau's 1998 essay was entitled 'Feminist criticisms of metaphors in Bacon's philosophy of science'. Like Soble, he criticized the association of the 'vexation of arts' with Proteus in Bacon's phrase, 'so nature exhibits herself more clearly under the trials and vexations of art', on the grounds that Proteus was male: 'nature here is compared not to a woman but to a man, and then to Proteus who, as we learn from the Odyssey, was a male mythological creature who knew everything, but was reluctant to impart his knowledge'. Comparing nature as female to Proteus as male, however, and both to the vexations of art is not a contradiction.

---

\(^{40}\) Sokal, op. cit. (3), pp. 116-118, 118 and 119, original emphasis.

\(^{41}\) Sokal, op. cit. (3), pp. 118-122, 126-129, 119. Carolyn Merchant, *The Death of Nature*, op. cit. (3) (see Table 6); Harding, op. cit. (29); Keller, op. cit. (29). Note: Merchant did not argue that science or the scientific method were masculine.

\(^{42}\) Sokal, op. cit. (3), pp. 119-120, 126.

Bacon's reference to nature in the female gender, Landau stated, was a 'dead metaphor', one that had lost its original connotations:

In his Latin texts Bacon had, of course, to employ feminine pronouns when referring to nature, since *natura* is feminine. In English these would normally be translated as 'it' and 'its', rather than 'she' and 'her', since in English 'nature' is neuter. However, Spedding, Ellis and Heath decided correctly to employ feminine pronouns when referring to nature in their English translations, since this is how Bacon himself frequently refers to nature and some other concepts in his English writings.¹⁴⁴

While it is clearly appropriate today to refer to nature as 'it', Landau does not acknowledge the rich historical tradition from ancient times through that of Bacon in which nature was literally considered to be a mother, virgin or witch. Moreover, Spedding's rendering of nature as 'she' rather than 'it' reflected more than just Bacon's use of nature as she, but was commonplace usage in the medieval and early modern periods.

Vickers, in 'Francis Bacon, feminist historiography, and the dominion of Nature' (2008), argued that 'the trials and vexations of art' in Bacon's 1605 *Advancement of Learning* referred to 'experiments that disturb nature's order' [no citation given] from which 'axioms', higher-order generalizations, can be established (no citation given), and that (following Pesic) 'the Latin version does not refer to *tortura* but to *vexatio*, which we might translate as a frustration or provocation, a state in no way comparable to torture'.¹⁴⁵ Vickers frequently cited Gilbert Wats (1640) in defence of Bacon's language and meaning, but did not do so in this instance. Wats indeed translated Bacon's 1623 *De Augmentis* as 'nature provoked and vexed by Arte' (*similiter etiam natura arte irritata et vexata*), thus giving 'vex' a milder meaning, although he rendered Bacon's phrase concerning Proteus, *manicus arce comprehensus*, as 'straitned (sic) and held fast with cordes' (Table 4, column 5). In 'The Distribution of the Work', Wats translated Bacon's Latin as 'Nature straightned and vexed (*naturae constrictae et vexatae*) when by the provocations of Art and the ministry of Man (*cum per artem et ministerium humanum*), she is put out of her commune road, distressed and wrought' (Table 2, column 2).¹⁴⁶ Thus Wats translated *irritata et vexata* as 'provoked and vexed' and

---

¹⁴⁵ Vickers, op. cit. (3), p. 134. On p. 134, Vickers also cites Spedding's translation of the passage in the *Paracelsus* (see Table 5, column 4): 'Finally, the vexations of art are certainly as the bonds and handcuffs (*tangam vincula et manicae*) of Proteus, which [display] the ultimate struggles and efforts of nature', changing Spedding's 'betray' to 'display', but does not discuss Shaw's or Montagu's translations of the same passage (Table 5, columns 2 and 3). On p. 135 he cites the passage on Proteus from *The Wisdom of the Ancients* (see Table 1), citing only Spedding's translations and ignoring those of Shaw and Merivale. He also dismisses the long history of association of nature with the female gender as an artefact of language: feminist 'accusations started from the fact that Bacon referred to Nature as "she." But he could hardly have done otherwise, since in Latin *natura* is a feminine noun, a gender it retains in modern European languages, both Romance and Germanic. However, as every language student knows, there is no necessary correlation between grammatical gender and sex, and the feminist appropriation of "Nature" does injustice to men, who are equally capable of nurturing crops and animals, albeit (until recently) excluded from the care of children" (p. 122).
¹⁴⁶ Although Vickers did not cite Wats with respect to his association of 'vex' with 'straitning' or with Proteus 'held fast with cordes', he did cite Wats in relation to Bacon's use of the word 'bound' ("for it is no more but by following and as it were hounding Nature in her wanderings to be able to lead her afterwards to the"
constrictae et vexatae as ‘straightened and vexed’, but gratuitously inserted ‘provocations’ into the Latin phrase per artem. Given Bacon’s own association of the Proteus myth with bonds, fetters and force, it is not clear that Bacon’s use of vexare meant merely ‘frustration or provocation’.

In her provocative essay ‘Francis Bacon: slave driver or servant of Nature’, Nieves Mathews argued that Bacon’s reputation suffered at the hands of leftist, feminist and ecological thinkers who laid the ills of a polluted age at his doorstep. Marcuse and Heidegger in the 1940s identified him with the evils of technology, while philosophers such as Karl Popper labelled him a sham philosopher. Bacon was made the scapegoat for the pitfalls of science and the ecological decline of the planet by the ‘friends of Gaia’. Far from the ‘racking or torture’ of nature, Mathews (following Pesci) asserted that Bacon’s ‘trials and vexation of art…indicate[d] the agitation or provocation of nature in the course of an experiment aimed at verifying the evidence of the senses. Bacon depicted this vexing or crossing of nature with vivid images related to discovery or pursuit—never to torture.

Following and hounding nature in her wanderings, according to Mathews, was Bacon’s model for the controlled experiment: ‘If you “follow and as it were, hound nature in her wanderings, you can drive her afterwards to the same place again”: this is Bacon’s description of controlled experiments aimed at making results replicable’. Mathews does not explain, however, how ‘following and hounding nature’ provides an example of the controlled experiment or how ‘agitation and provocation’ can be used to verify evidence of the senses during experimentation.

Vexation, Mathews argued, referred to the ancient crafts that gently moulded and changed nature into new forms:

On the role played by man in vexing nature, within these limits, Bacon is quite clear. He gives examples from the age-old crafts—baking, brewing, pruning—by which nature is ‘forced out of her natural state, and squeezed and moulded’, and he cites experiments such as: “confining the spirits of wine in a sealed vessel, or making a rainbow in a spray of water.”

But while the ancient crafts of baking, brewing and pruning were clearly examples of the arts, so were harsher activities such as hammering metals on the anvil, operating forges and crucibles, and milling grains. Vexationes artium refers to all types of craft and art, not just the milder activities of baking bread and brewing beer.


Mathews asserted that the Proteus myth was Bacon’s way of explaining the gentle holding of nature as ‘she’ revealed her secrets:

He described experimentation as a wrestling-match with that indestructible ‘thrice-great prophet, Proteus, or Matter’—a matter permeated with spirit—who has to be gently but firmly held in place with the ‘handcuffs’ of mechanical aids, as he wriggles from one shape to another—or disappears for a time, as a gas; until, having rung all his changes, he finally discloses some of nature’s secrets, thus assisting her to ‘achieve her ends’.

Mathews made a strong case that Bacon did not advocate the torture of human beings, and produced many phrases that showed the softer side of Bacon’s character and writing. She also agreed that Bacon could not be held responsible for the ills of today’s world. Whether Bacon intended vexation to be a gentle handcuffing of nature or a mild coaxing-out of ‘her’ secrets is nevertheless debatable given the constraint of nature needed under experimentation.49

Despite the assertions of Soble, Landau, Vickers and Mathews that feminist historiography had brought down Bacon and even philosophy itself, a recent article by Frederick Amrine maintains that the feminists have not gone far enough.50 He asserted,

Bacon has been sharply criticized by feminist historians of science, such as [Carolyn] Merchant, Sandra Harding, and Evelyn Fox Keller, for describing his experimental methods in metaphorical terms that ‘strongly suggest’ the interrogation of witches using torture… Their case against Bacon is, if anything, grossly understated. In The Advancement of Learning, Bacon praises his new patron [James I] for conducting his inquisitions in Scotland because from them “light may be taken, not only for the discerning of the offences, but for the further disclosing of nature”—not ‘science should as it were torture nature’s secrets out of her’, but rather: ‘Bravo, James: the actual torturing of witches might contribute directly to the cause of science.’51

---

50 Frederick Amrine, ‘The unconscious of Nature: analyzing disenchanted Faust I’, in Daniel Purdy (ed.), Goethe-Yearbook 17, Rochester: Camden House, 2010, pp. 117–132. Amrine writes (p. 121), ‘After this direct and unmistakable reference to James’s avid prosecution of witches, Bacon continues immediately: “Neither ought a man to make scrupe of entering and penetrating into these holes and corners, when the inquisition of truth is his sole object—as you [s] Majesty has shown in your own example.” Why might one “make a scrupe”, except if Bacon means by “entering and penetrating” the “holes and corners” exactly what we suspect he must mean by this cryptic allusion: the violation of women’s private parts by violent forensic examination. James’s Novus from Scotland had proudly reported just that: witches bodies had been inspected by male inquisitors, who shaved all their body hair, including the privates, looking for a secret mark (any mole or birthmark or welt would do) signifying that the Devil had copulated with the witch and branded her as his own… [It is always preferable to avoid the bother of arguing in court by procuring a confession. So the witches were tortured—“thrown [twisted] with a rope”—until they admitted to having done everything of which they had been accused.’ Note: The tract Novus from Scotland, although it discusses James VI’s (James I) encounter with witches, was not written by James VI, but has been attributed to James Carmichael (1542 or 1543–1628), minister of Haddington. See George Scott, The Memoires of Sir James Melville, London, 1683, pp. 194–195, and David Webster, A Collection of Rare and Curious Tracts on Witchcraft…, D. Webster, 1820, p. 38.
Bacon, as a panderer to James I, Amrine argued, tied much of his career to gaining James's favour for his own personal gain and advancement. 'No Goethean "zarte Empirie" for Bacon', he wrote: 'Scientific investigation is to be conducted as an "inquisition" with no holds barred: [Men] should omit no way of "vexing and working it, if they would detect and bring out its ultimate powers of resistance"'; 52 We are told that nature is 'by all proper methods vexed' only 'when every way of escape is cut off'. 53

Amrine, in contrast to the defenders of Bacon, reads the Proteus myth as permeated with violence. 'If we want to know nature's secrets, Bacon advises us to treat her like Proteus: "the only way was first to secure his hands with handcuffs and then to bind him with chains"'. 54 And Amrine, drawing on his background as a Goethe scholar, likewise sees no contradiction between Proteus and the torture chamber, as Bacon's metaphors are filled with violence. 'Even where Bacon's language is not directly that of the torture chamber', he states, 'it is one of violence. We are to "hound nature in her wanderings" and we are advised that "[T]he secrets of nature reveal themselves more readily under the vexations of art than when they go their own way"'. 55 Contrary to Pesic's citations from the OED, Amrine cites the OED as follows:

According to the Oxford English Dictionary, vexation meant in the sixteenth century 'the action of troubling or harassing by aggression or interference' and the action of subjecting to violence or force.' It was a strong word: Bacon's contemporary, Ben Jonson, equates 'vexation' with 'martyrdom'; elsewhere, a plow is described as 'vexing' the earth (OED). 56 Everywhere [in the New Atlantis] we see the language of violence and violation. 57

In contrast to Pesic and Mathews, therefore, Amrine reads many of Bacon's phrases far more harshly than do the defenders, and he interprets vexation as implying force and violence.

Amrine also contradicts the conclusions of Sobel and Landau that Bacon's language is mild and innocent with no real consequences for the domination of nature. 'Recent

52 Amrine, op. cit. (50), p. 121, quotation from Bacon, 'Cogitationes De Natura Rerum', in Translations of the Philosophical Works, Works, op. cit. (1), vol. 5, p. 427: '... when men consider the inexorable necessity there is in the nature of matter to sustain itself, and not to turn or dissolve into nothing, they should omit no way of vexing and working it, if they would detect and bring out its ultimate operations and powers of resistance.'

53 Amrine, op. cit. (50), p. 121, quotation from Bacon, 'Cogitationes De Natura Rerum', in Translations of the Philosophical Works, Works, op. cit. (1), vol. 5, p. 428: 'Now there are two kinds of separation: a part of the matter either escapes, as in decoction, or at least withdraws itself, as in cream. The intention therefore of a profound and radical change of bodies is no other than this, that matter be by all proper methods vexed, and yet both these separations in the meantime prevented. For then only does matter suffer real constraint, when every way of escape is cut off.'

54 Amrine, op. cit. (50), p. 121, from Bacon, 'XIII, Proteus, or Matter', in Wisdom of the Ancients, Works, op. cit. (1), vol. 6, p. 725: 'And if any one wanted his help in any matter, the only way was first to secure his hands with handcuffs, and then to bind him with chains. Whereupon he on his part, in order to get free, would turn himself into all manner of strange shapes--fire, water, wild beasts, &c., till at last he returned again to his original shape.'


56 Amrine, op. cit. (50), 121-122.
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apologists’, he states, ‘have attempted to explain away Bacon’s language as “dead metaphors” [Landau] or “mere literary embellishments” [Soble], but the major figures in the subsequent history of science understood Bacon to mean what he wrote: science should assault nature violently, to the end of controlling it.’57 Landau and Soble see Bacon’s language as literary metaphor; Amrine takes it not only as descriptive of reality, but also as prescriptive for action and intervention.

Looking at Bacon through the lens of Goethe, Amrine—the Goethe scholar—sees a science and technology inspired by Bacon that led (following Faust’s fall) to a Faustian control of nature, a control radically rejected by Goethe. First published in 1604 by Christopher Marlowe, in Bacon’s own era (and performed in public for some twenty years prior to its publication), Dr Faustus, who sells his soul to the devil in exchange for power and knowledge, becomes the basis for later renderings such as that of Goethe. But Amrine notes that (before Faust’s fall) ‘it is just this notion of science as the torture and violation of nature that Goethe’s Faust explicitly rejects in the first scene of the drama.’ ‘Goethe rightly identifies Baconian Entzauberung as the dominant paradigm of modernity, and he rejects that project so radically that he felt the need to devise an entirely new, alternative scientific method.’58 Pushing the comparison further it would seem that Goethe’s critique of the ‘gloomy empirical-mechanical-dogmatic torture chamber’ was indeed a denunciation of a Baconian ‘nature that grows dumb when subjected to torture’ (see Table 6).

Conclusion

From the 1620s to the present, numerous scholars and translators of Bacon’s texts have interpreted the meaning of ‘vexation’ (vexare) to mean ‘torture’ or ‘torment’. Whether based on their own reading of the Latin; on translations such as those of Peter Shaw, Herman Merivale, William Wood and Basil Montagu; or on popular editions such as those of Joseph Devey and James Creighton, commentators have perpetuated the idea that vexation implied the torture or torment of nature. Despite the standard translation by Spedding, Ellis and Heath in the late nineteenth century, which used the word ‘vexation’, the idea has persisted. The comparison made by Bacon between the bonds, fetters and shackles of Proteus and the vexations of art is sufficiently powerful to suggest a harsher meaning than mere annoyance or irritation.

Beyond the translations, however, is the significance of experiment as Bacon, the ‘father of experimental philosophy’, conceptualized it. Nature constrained and in ‘bonds’ would allow it to be understood, imitated and altered by technology (art) so that its secrets could be unveiled. Through the arts and sciences, humanity could recover the dominion over nature lost in the Fall from Eden. Through metaphor and myth, Bacon attempted to convey his nascent idea of the controlled experiment that could lead to the control of nature. Admired for four centuries for his experimental method, during

57 Amrine, op. cit. (50), p. 125.
58 Amrine, op. cit. (50), p. 125, italics added.
Carolyn Merchant

the twentieth century Bacon's approach came under critique for its instrumental, environmental and anti-feminist implications.

Bacon's defenders attempted to rehabilitate his reputation by defining 'vexation' in milder terms, such as 'annoyance' or 'provocation'. But for Bacon's experimental method to be successful, a more robust meaning was needed that implied interference, intervention and injection into the processes of nature. Such an ambitious goal could not be achieved by merely agitating, pestering, coaxing or nipping at the heels of nature as 'she' wandered. Although it may not be possible to say with certainty what Bacon meant by 'vexation', the context of his thought, the rich set of metaphors on which he drew, and the interpretations of dozens of scholars over four centuries would seem to favour assigning a stronger rather than milder meaning to vexare.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ibi ei nos erat sub meridiem gregem suum pheacum immunes, atque deinde somno se dice. Qui autem opera ejus aliquam in re uti voluit, in non aliis modo apud suum valere poterat, nisi cum omnibus comprehensus vinculis constringeret. Illa contra, ut se liberaret, in omnibus formarum arqurum miracula, ignem, lympham, feras, se vertere solebat; dextra tandem in pristinae formae reinstauravit... Fecit autem, in se prope non aliud videtur esse, quam species ordinaria animalium plantarum, metallicum, in quaquis materia videtur se diffundere et quasi consumisse; adeo ut postquam istas species effluero in solvis, aliterque quinam omnis prope neque nunc, neque nunc atque nunc. Quamquam, si quisque ex ipsis se moli, tentare, aut parare... Aquae intempe saepe quodam suam de</td>
<td>He lived in a vast cave, where his custom was to tell over his herd of sea-calves at noon, and then to sleep. Whoever consulted him, had no other way of obtaining an answer, but by binding him with manuscles and fetters; when he, endevouring to free himself, would change into all kinds of shapes and miraculous Forms; as of Fire, Water, solid Beasts, &amp;c; till at length he resumed his own shape again. The Head, or flock of Protesus, seems to be no other than the several kinds of Animals, Plants, and Minerals, in which Matter appears to diffuse and spend itself; so that after having formed these several Species, and as it were finished its Task, it seems to sleep and repose... And thus</td>
<td>He lived in a vast cave, where his custom was to tell over his herd of sea-calves at noon, and then to sleep. Whoever consulted him, had no other way of obtaining an answer, but by binding him with manuscles and fetters; when he, endevouring to free himself, would change into all kinds of shapes and miraculous Forms; as of Fire, Water, wild beasts, &amp;c; till at length he resumed his own shape again. The herd, or flock of Protesus, seems to be no other than the several kinds of animals, plants, and minerals, in which Matter appears to diffuse and spend itself; so that after having formed these several Species, and as it were finished its task, it seems to sleep and</td>
<td>His dwelling was under an immense cave. There it was his custom every day at noon to count his flock of seals and then go to sleep. And if any one wanted his help in any matter, the only way was first to secure his hands with handseafs, and then to bind him with manuscles and fetters. Whereupon he on his part, in order to get free, would turn himself into all manner of strange shapes—fire, water, wild beasts, &amp;c; till at length he resumed his own shape again. The herd, or flock of Protesus, seems to be no other than the several kinds of animals, plants, and minerals, in which Matter appears to diffuse and spend itself; so that after having formed these several Species, and as it were finished its task, it seems to sleep and</td>
<td>He lived in a vast cave, where his custom was to tell over his herd of sea-calves at noon, and then to sleep. Whoever consulted him, had no other way of obtaining an answer, but by binding him with manuscles and fetters; when he, endevouring to free himself, would change into all kinds of shapes and miraculous Forms; as of Fire, Water, wild beasts, &amp;c; till at length he resumed his own shape again. The herd, or flock of Protesus, seems to be no other than the several kinds of animals, plants, and minerals, in which Matter appears to diffuse and spend itself; so that after having formed these several Species, and as it were finished its task, it seems to sleep and</td>
<td>He lived in a vast cave, where his custom was to tell over his herd of sea-calves at noon, and then to sleep. Whoever consulted him, had no other way of obtaining an answer, but by binding him with manuscles and fetters; when he, endevouring to free himself, would change into all kinds of shapes and miraculous Forms; as of Fire, Water, wild beasts, &amp;c; till at length he resumed his own shape again. The herd, or flock of Protesus, seems to be no other than the several kinds of animals, plants, and minerals, in which Matter appears to diffuse and spend itself; so that after having formed these several Species, and as it were finished its task, it seems to sleep and</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Proteo libero et solano cum pescro suo complectentur, Nam universitas rerum, cum structuris et fabrictiis specierum ordinariis, est materiae non constantia et deiectiis, et gregis materiarum facies. Nulla omnis sequitur natura minister vina adhibitis materiar, et materiar veris, et peacropus, quare ipso destinato est prepositum, ut tibi in nihilam reduci; illa contra (cum annhiliarum, aut interitus vestris, nisi per Dei omnipotentiam fieri non posse), in tali necessitate posse, in mira rerum transmutatione et effigies se vertic ad eam aut tandem vehit in orbem se munire, et peccatum implecat, et quas se redimidit, si vis continuare. Eius autem constitutionis sed aedificationis ratio magis facile est et expedita, si materia per comprehenderat, id est, per excentricitates. | far the Fable reaches of Proteus, and his flock, at liberty and unrestrained. For the Universe, with the common Structures and Fabricks of the Creatures, is the Face of Matter, not under constraint; or as the Flock wrought upon and tortured, by human means. But if any skilful Minister of Nature shall apply Force to Matter, and by design torture and vex it, in order to its Annihilation, it, on the contrary, being brought under this Necessity, changes and transforms itself into a strange Variety of Shapes and Appearances; for nothing but the Power of the Creator can annihilate, or truly destroy it; so that at length running through the whole Circle of Transformations, and completing its or, that when it has formed and perfected these species, then, as having finished its task, it appears to live in a quiescent state, and cease to essay, imagine, or form any further species. Thus far the fable completes the relation of Proteus while he remains free and unbound, together with his flock. For the universe, with its ordinary forms and structures of species, is the form of matter not bound or chained, and of the flock of material things. Nevertheless if a skilful handler of nature apply force to matter, and by design torture and vex it, in order to its annihilation, it, on the contrary, being brought under this necessity, changes and transforms itself into a strange variety of shapes and appearances; for nothing but the power of the Creator can annihilate, or truly destroy it; so that at length running through the whole circle of transformations, ordinary species of sensible creatures, plants, and animals, in which matter seems to diffuse; and, as it were, spend itself, so that after the forming and perfecting of these kinds, having ended as it were her task, she seems to sleep and take her rest, not attempting the composition of any more species. And thus far we have the narration of Proteus, free and unbound, together with his flock complete, for the universality of things, with their ordinary structures and compositions of species, bears the face of matter not limited and constrained, and of the flock also of material matter may be said to diffuse and use itself up; immersing that having once made up and finished those species it seems to sleep and rest... And here the story is complete, as regards Proteus free and at large with his herd. For the universe with its several species according to their ordinary frame and structure, is merely the face of matter unconstrained and at liberty, with its flock of material creatures. Nevertheless if any skilful Servant of Nature shall bring force to bear on matter, and shall see it and drive it to extremities as it with the purpose of... And thus far the fable reaches of Proteus, and his flock, at liberty and unrestrained. For the universe, with the common structures and fabrics of the creatures, is the face of matter, not under constraint, or as the flock wrought upon and tortured by human means. But if any skilful minister of nature shall apply force to matter, and by design torture and vex it, in order to its annihilation, it, on the contrary, being brought under this necessity, changes and transforms itself into a strange variety of shapes and appearances; for nothing but the power of the Creator can annihilate, or truly destroy it; so that at length running through the whole circle of transformations,
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Montagu's preface, pp. xxvii-xxviii states, "In the year 1619, this tract was translated by Sir Arthur Gorges… For the translation of this little volume I am indebted to the learned Mr. Herman Merivale." See also p. 228: *The Wisdom of the Ancients, Written in Latin by Lord Bacon and translated into English by Herman Merivale*.


Francis Bacon, *Wisdom of the Ancients*, in *idem*, (ed. Basil Montagu), 3 vols., Philadelphia: Carey & Hart and Perry & McMillan, 1830-1859, vol. 1, pp. 297-299. In the editor's preface to *The Wisdom of the Ancients*, Montagu writes, "In the year 1619 this tract was translated by Sir Arthur Gorges… As this translation was published during the life of Lord Bacon, by a great statesman of his country, and as it is noticed by Archibald Tanser, I have inserted it in this volume" (p. 273). Note: this translation is by Sir Arthur Gorges, made in 1619, was reprinted in English some twenty-five times during the seventeenth century, as well as into French, Italian, Dutch, and German. See Francis Bacon, *The Wisdom of the Ancients*, written in Latin (1609) by the Right Honorable Sir Francis Bacon, Knight, Baron of Verultum, and Lord Chancellor of England. Done into English by Sir Arthur Gorges Knight. Printed for A. Swale, and T. Child, at the Unicorn, in St. Paul's Church Yard, 1656, *Proteeus*, or *Matter*, pp. 47-49 (bound with *The Essays or Conciliatian*). The Moral and Moral of Sir Francis Bacon... *Nevertheless if any expert Minister of Nature, shall encounter Matter by main force, wielding and urging her with intent and purpose to reduce her to nothing; she contrariwise, seeing annihilation and absolute destruction cannot be effected [but] by the Omnipotency of God being thus caught in the straits of necessity, doth change and turn her self into divers strange Forms and Shapes of things, so that at length (by pitching a circuit as it were) betakes her self to her former being. The reason of which constraining or binding, will be more facile and expedit, if matter be laid hold on by Manacles, that is Extinctions* (pp. 48-49). See also Francis Bacon, *The Wisdom of the Ancients and the New Atlantis*, London: Cassell & Co., 1890, pp. 63-66. Note: this edition contains an Introduction by "HM" (Henry Morley), editor of the Cassell's National Library, new series, in which the translation of the Wisdom of the Ancients is stated to be that of Sir Arthur Gorges (p. 5). It also corrects the omission of the word "but" in the phrase "but by the Omnipotency of God" (p. 65).


Francis Bacon, *Bacon's Essays and Wisdom of the Ancients*, with a Biographical Notice by A. Spiers, Preface by B. Montagu, and Notes by Different Writers, Boston: Little, Brown, & Company, 1884, pp. 361-367. Advertisement: "In preparing the present volume for the press, use has been freely made of several publications which have recently appeared in England. The Biographical Notice of the author is taken from an edition of the Essays, by A. Spiers, Ph.D. To this has been added the Preface to Pickering's edition of the Essays and Wisdom of the Ancients, by Basil Montagu, Esq. Parker's edition, by Thomas Mackby, M.S., has furnished the arrangement of the Table prefixed to the Essays, and also "the references to the most important quotations." The Notes, including the translations of the Latin, are chiefly copied from Bohn's edition, prepared by Joseph Devey, M.A.* Note: *The Wisdom of the Ancients* is translated by Peter Shaw, 1733, but unacknowledged by Devey.

Table 2. Francis Bacon and 'Torture'. 'Plan of the Work', *Instauratio Magna*, 1620
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Quoad cognitionem vero, conscionam historiam non solum naturae liberae ac soluta (sum scilicet illud sponte fluet et opus sumum peragit; quin est historia coelestium, meteororum, terrae, et animalium, mineralium, plantarum, animalium; sed melior magis naturae constructis et viventibus, nempe, cum pec arterum et humanae humanae de statu suo deterritur, atque prematur et frigitur. Inque omnia As for the Muses, we Compile a History, not only of Nature at Liberty, and in Course, I mean, when without compulsion she glides gently along, and accompanies her own work: as is the History of the Heavens, Meteors, Earth and Sea: of Minerals, Plants, Animals (but much rather of Nature straightened and vex'd, when by the provocations of Art, and It is a History not only of Nature freely moving in her course (as in the production of meteors, plants, minerals); but also of Nature in constraint, and vex'd and tortured by humane Art and Experiment. With regard to its collection, we propose to show Nature not only in a free state, as in the History of Meteors, Minerals, Plants, and Animals, but more particularly as she is bound, and tortured, pressed, tormented, and turned out of her course by Art and human Industry. Hence we would set down all opposite experiments of the mechanic With regard to its compilation, we intend not to form a history of nature at liberty and in her usual course, when she proceeds willingly and of her own accord, (as for instance the history of the heavenly bodies, meteors, earth and sea, minerals, plants, animals - but much more of nature under constraint and vex'd, that is to say, when by art and the hand of man she is forced out of her natural state and squeezed and squeezed and And as for its composition, we are making a history not only of nature free and unconstrained (when that is, it goes its own way and does its own work - as in the history of the heavenly bodies, meteors, the Earth and sea, minerals, plants and animals) but much more of nature restrained and vexed, namely when it is forced from its own condition by human agency; and squeezed and molded. Therefore I
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artium mechanicum, omnia operativa partit, liberalia, omnia practicarum, quae in artem propriam non coactus, experimentum, (quantum inquirere locutum est, et quantum ad finem nostrum faciat) proscribitur. Qui enim (ut quod res est, eloquentianus) estrem hominum et specialis nil motet, multo plus et operet et praedil in hac parte, quae in illa altera, possimus: the ministry of Man, she is put out of her connu rage, dispersed and wrought. Wherefore, all the experiments of Arts, all of the Operative part of Liberal, all of many Practicabili, not yet conspired into a peculiar Art (so far as any discovery may be had, and so far as is conducunt to our intention) we will set down at large. So likewise (not and liberal Arts, with many others not yet formed into Arts for the nature of things is better discover'd by the torturings of Art, than when they are left to themselves. and liberal Arts, with many others not yet formed into Arts for the nature of things is better discover'd by the torturings of Art, than when they are left to themselves. down from her proper rank, and hauised and modelled by the art and concurrence of man. We will therefore go through all the experiments of the mechanical and the operative part of the liberal arts, and all those of different practical schemes which have not yet been put together so as to form a peculiar arts as far as we have been able to investigate them and it moulded. Therefore I set down at length all experiments of the mechanical arts, of the operative part of the liberal arts, of the many crafts which have not yet grown into arts properly so called, so far as I have been able to examine them and as they conduct to the end in view. Nay (to say the plain truth) I do in fact (low and vulgar as men may think it) count more upon this part both for ést, la preserval et la façonment. C'est pourquoi routes les experiences des arts mécaniques, toutes celles qui relèvent de la partie opérative des arts libéraux, toutes les expériences de ces nombreuses activités pratiques auxquelles manque encore le lien d'un art défini, s'y trouvent consignées (dans la mesure où nous avons pu les rechercher et où elles servent à notre fin). Bien plus, pour dire les choses comme elles sont n'ayant cure de la fierté des hommes ou du prestige des matières, nous consacrions human agency, and presser and moulded. And therefore we give a full description of all the experiments of the mechanical arts, of the operative department of the liberal arts, and of the many practices which have not yet coalesced into a proper art. In fact (to tell it as it is) I am not put off by men's arrogant superiority or by outward appearances but place more effort and resources into record in detail (as far as I have been able to investigate them, and as far as they contribute to my aim) all the experiments of the mechanical arts, of the operative department of the liberal arts, and of the many practices which have not yet coalesced into a proper art.
quandoquidem nature remum magis se proicit per resolutiones artis, quam in liberatate propria.

to dissemble the matter, nothing regarding mera pride and bravades; we bestow more pains, and place more assurance in this Part than in that other, being the nature of things, more discloses himself in the vacuation of Art, than when it is at its own liberty.

will suit our purpose. Besides, (to speak the truth,) without paying any attention to the pride of man, or to appearances, we consider this branch of much more assistance and support than the other; since the nature of things betrays itself more readily under the sensations of art than in its natural freedom.

helps and safeguards than upon the other, seeing that the nature of things betrays itself more readily under the sensations of art than in its natural freedom.

beaucoup plus de mémoire à cette partie qu'à la première; car la nature des choses se livre davantage à travers les enseignements de l'art que dans sa liberté propre.

relevant to our purpose. Moreover (to be plain) we put much more effort and many more resources into this part than into the other, and pay no attention to men's disgust or what they find attractive, since nature reveals herself more through the harassment of art than in her own proper liberty.

this part then into the history of nature free, seeing that the nature of things shows itself more openly under the sensations of art than in its natural freedom.

---

4 Italic added to 'morta' and its variants.
9 Francis Bacon, The Physical and Metaphysical Works of Lord Bacon (ed. Joseph Devey), London: Pickering, 1844; Bell and Dalby, 1853, http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Instauratio_Magnae/Piana (Devey), para. 13; Francis Bacon, The Physical and Metaphysical Works of Lord Bacon, including the Advancement of Learning and Novum Organum (ed. Joseph Devey), London: Henry Bohn, 1858; reprinted by George Bell & Sons, 1904, 'The Distribution of the Work', p. 17. Note: Devey's Preface on his sources for his translations is omitted from the online 1844 and 1853 versions. The following preface by Devey is contained in the 'Preface' by JD (Joseph Devey), pp. 10-11, in the 1858 and 1904 printings: 'Of the De Augmentis, though one of the greatest books of modern times, only three translations have appeared, and each of these strikingly imperfect. That of Gilberst Wates, issued while Bacon was living, is singularly confused with sicisms, and called forth the just censures of Bacon and his friends. The version of Erastus Cary is no less unfortunate, owing to its poverty of diction, and antiquated phraseology. Under the public sense of these failures, another translation was produced about sixty years ago, which might have merited approbation, had not the
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| Thomas Fowler, 1859 |
| James E. Creighton, 1899, 1900, 1944 |
| Maitrebre et Poussier (French), 1856 |
| Pierre Hadot, 1856 |
| Pierre Hadot, (M. Chaste), The Veil of Isis, (English), 2004 |

---

...simili modo, etc...que cum non sint nisi ad seatis, sunt

---

...so the secrets of nature reveal themselves more readily when they are revealed by art than when they are left to their own course.

---

Nature best discovers the secrets of nature by art. in her secret revealed themselves more readily when they are revealed by art than when they are left to their own course. When they are left to their own course, they go their own way, and by this means they discover secrets as they are discovered.

---

...de maihis les opérations de la nature se dévoilent mieux sans le torturer des arts que dans leur cours ordinaire.

---

...de maihis les secrets (occultes) de la nature sont mieux révélés sous le torturer des arts que dans leur cours naturel.

---

* This entry adds 'to torture' and its variants.

---


---


---


---

translations as those in Devey's *Physical and Metaphysical Works of Lord Bacon* (see note 5 above), namely that of Peter Shaw for the *Advancement* and William Wood for the *Novum Organum*. Crocebon cites Bacon's *Distribution of the Work*. Crocebon does not acknowledge the source of other translations. While Devey places the notes for both works at the bottom of the page, Crocebon places them at the end of the volume. The notes are identical to those of Devey.


11 Pierre Hadot, *The Veil of Isis: An Essay on the History of the Idea of Nature* (tr. Michael Chase), Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press, 2006, pp. 93, 340 n. 6. See also p. 120: "to the secrets (secreti) of nature are better discovered under the torture of the (mechanical) arts than when it proceeds in its natural course", and p. 34: "Francis Bacon, for instance, declared that Nature unveils her secrets only under the torture of experimentation." Also p. 149: "Goethe thus contradicts Francis Bacon who sought to force Nature to talk under the torture of experimentation. For Goethe, on the other hand, "Nature keeps silent under torture."

Note on early translations of Bacon's *Novum Organum* from http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Novum_Organum

Novum Organum, by Francis Bacon, translated by Wood, Devey, Spedding, et al. The *Novum Organum* (New Organon) was the second (and the only somewhat complete) part of Sir Francis Bacon's *Institutio Magna*, published in England in 1620. Because nearly nothing of the other five parts was printed in the *Institutio*, the whole is often known by the name of the dominant part. In the pocket-sized 1650 edition...the name was *Novus Organum Scientiarum* (New Organon of the Sciences). There were four complete translations done in the 19th century. Three of them, in reverse chronological order, are listed below. (The fourth was *The Novum Organum*, or a true guide to the interpretation of nature, trans. G.W. Kitchin. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1855.) The Stonebridge edition printed in London...is generally the standard for scholarly citation, but citation by section, book, and aphorism, instead of volume and page, is frequently more useful and now widely accepted.

Institutio Magna, translated by William Wood and Joseph Devey, edited by Joseph Devey (1844)

* Proem for the published work
* Preface to Institutio Magna
* Plan of the Institutio Magna
* Part II: Novum Organum
  * Preface
  * Book I
  * Book II

Joseph Devey edited a one-volume edition entitled *The Physical and Metaphysical Works of Lord Bacon*. It was published first by Pickering in 1844 then by Bell & Daldy as part of Robin's Scientific Library starting in 1855. Devey used Wood's translation of the *Novum Organum* itself but wrote his own translations of the preliminary material. [Note: the translation of the 'Plan of the Work' actually appears to be Peter Shaw's translation.] Devey also added many footnotes (not reproduced here). His edition did not include the dedication. The Preface, Book I, and Book II pages linked here are the same as those for the Montague edition. Devey made some changes to punctuation, capitalization, italics, and paragraph boundaries that are not reflected here.

* Proem for the published work
* Preface to Institutio Magna
* Plan of the Institutio Magna
* Notice regarding Part I
  * Part II: Novum Organum
  * Preface
  * Book I
  * Book II


This was the first complete English translation since 1733. It was first printed in 1834 in volume 14 of *The Works of Francis Bacon, Lord Chancellor of England*, 16 vols., ed. Basil Montague (London: Pickering, 1825-1834). It was more frequently seen in the three-volume edition printed by a succession of publishers in Philadelphia almost annually from 1841 to 1879 and a few times in the 1880s. An 1846 printing of the three-volume edition is available at Google Books.
Table 4. Francis Bacon and 'Torture': Book II, Chapter 2, *De Augmentis Scientiarum*, 1623

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spedding, Ellis and Heath (English), 1605 (1876)*</th>
<th>Spedding, Ellis and Heath (Latin), 1623 (1838, 1875)*</th>
<th>Mavroges (French), 1624</th>
<th>Mavroges (English), tr. R. Hahn, 2008*</th>
<th>Gilbert Watts (English), 1640*</th>
<th>Peter Shaw (English), 1844, 1853, 1858, 1904*</th>
<th>Joseph Devoy (Shaw), 1858, 1875*</th>
<th>Spedding, et al. (English), 1858, 1875*</th>
<th>James E. Creighton (Shaw), 1899, 1900, 1944*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>For like as a man's disposition is never well known till he be crossed, nor Protes ever changed shapes till he was strained and held fast; so the passions and variations of nature cannot appear so fully in the liberty of nature, as in the trials and sensations of art.</td>
<td>Quemadmodum enim ingenium aliquis humae naturae et sua sibi servum essetera, neque Protes ever changed shapes till he was strained and held fast; so the passions and variations of nature cannot appear so fully in the liberty of nature, as in the trials and sensations of art.</td>
<td>Car de même qu'on ne connaît jamais bien la disposition d'un homme, jusqu'à ce qu'il soit tourné, ni Protes ever changed shapes, till he was strained and held fast, so the passions and variations of nature cannot appear so fully in the liberty of nature, as in the trials and sensations of art.</td>
<td>For like as you never well know and prove the disposition of another man, unless you provoke him; nor Protes ever changed shapes, until he was strained [sic] and held fast, so nature provoked and vexed by Art, doth more clearly appear, than when she is left free to act [sic] herself.</td>
<td>For as a man's temper is never well known until he is crossed, in like manner the turns and changes of nature cannot appear so fully, when she is left at her liberty, as in the trials and sensations of art.</td>
<td>For as a man's disposition is never well known until he is crossed, in like manner the turns and changes of nature cannot appear so fully, when she is left free to act [sic] herself.</td>
<td>For as a man's temper is never well known until he is crossed, in like manner the turns and changes of nature cannot appear so fully, when she is left at her liberty, as in the trials and sensations of art.</td>
<td>For as a man's disposition is never well known until he is crossed, in like manner the turns and changes of nature cannot appear so fully, when she is left free to act [sic] herself.</td>
<td>For as a man's temper is never well known until he is crossed, in like manner the turns and changes of nature cannot appear so fully, when she is left free to act [sic] herself.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Italics added to 'torture' and its variants.


7 Francis Bacon, The Physical and Metaphysical Works of Lord Bacon, Including the Advancement of Learning and Novum Organum, ed. Joseph Devey, London: William Pickering, 1844; Bell & Dally, 1853, available at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Novum_Organum(Book_1(Wood)). Francis Bacon, The Physical and Metaphysical Works of Lord Bacon Including His Dignity and Advancement of Learning, in Nine Books; and his Novum Organum; or, Precepts for the Interpretation of Nature (ed. Joseph Devey), London: Henry G. Bohn, York Street, Covent Garden, 1838, Advancement of Learning, Book II, Chapter 2, p. 82. On Joseph Devey’s preface to the 1838 edition, acknowledging that the translation is that of Peter Shaw, see Table 2, note 5.

8 Francis Bacon, De Augmentis, in Works (ed. Spedding, Ellis and Heath), op. cit. (1), Book 2, Chapter 2, vol. 4, p. 298.

9 Francis Bacon, Advancement of Learning and Novum Organum, with a special introduction by James Edward [Edwin] Creighton, Ph.D., Professor of Logic and Metaphysics at Cornell University, rev. edn, New York: The Colonial Press, 1899, reprinted New York: Willey Book Co., 1900, copyright 1900 by the Colonial Press, reprinted Willey, 1944, Book II, Chapter 2, p. 49. Creighton does not give the translator of the Advancement of Learning, but it is clearly Peter Shaw’s translation of the De Augmentis, 1733, and not Bacon’s 1605 Advancement of Learning, written originally by Bacon in English. Note: Creighton’s edition uses the same translations as those in Devey’s Physical and Metaphysical Works of Lord Bacon (see Devey, column 7 and note 7 above and Table 3, columns 4 and 8), namely that of Peter Shaw for the Advancement and William Wood for the Novum Organum. Creighton omits Bacon’s "Distribution of the Work." Creighton does not acknowledge the source of either translation. While Devey places the notes for both works at the bottom of the page, Creighton places them at the end of the volume. The notes are identical to those of Devey.
Table 5. *Francis Bacon and ‘Torture’. Aphorisms on the Formation of the Primary History, Aphorism 5,* "Parascue, 1620

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Denique que vexationes artis sunt cerre tanquam vincula et mancae Protee, quae ultimos materiae nescet et conatus probant. Corpora enim perdunt aut annihiliant, sed poitus in varias formas se mutan.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Peter Shaw (English), Montagu (English), Spadding, Ellis and Heath</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1733(^1) 1850, 1857, 1859(^2) English, 1858, 1875(^4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Again the <em>Tortures of Art</em> are like the Bonds and Shackles of Protee, which discover the ultimate Attempts and Endeavours of Matter. For bodies will not be destroyed or annihilated, but rather change themselves into various shapes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Again, the attacks of art are assuredly the very fetters and miracles of Protee, which betray the last struggle and efforts of nature. For bodies will not be destroyed or annihilated, but rather transform themselves into various shapes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finally, the vexations of art are certainly as the bonds and handcuffs of Protee, which betray the ultimate struggles and efforts of matter. For bodies will not be destroyed or annihilated; rather than that they will turn themselves into various forms.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Italicics added to ‘torture’ and its variants.
4 Francis Bacon, "Parascue, ‘Aphorisms on the Composition of the Primary History’ (1620), in *idem, Works*, op. cit. (1), vol. 4, p. 257.
Table 6. _Bacon scholars and 'Torture'_

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gottfried Wilhelm Leibniz&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1696</td>
<td>&quot;the art of inquiry into nature itself and of putting it on the rack—the art of experiment which Lord Bacon began so ably. ['Part of this is the art of questioning nature and to put it—so to speak—on the torture bench, which Ventilamius (Lord Bacon) in his <em>Ars Experimentandi</em> initiated.']&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean Baptiste du Hamel,&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; secretary of the Paris Academy of Sciences (c. 1832)</td>
<td>1700</td>
<td>&quot;we discover the mysteries of nature much more easily when she is tortured [torquée] by fire or some other aids of art than when she proceeds along her own road&quot;.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Johann Wolfgang von Goethe&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1833</td>
<td>&quot;Nature grows dumb when subjected to torture; the true answer to honest questioning is yes! yes! not no! All else is idle and basically evil.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Fowler&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1878</td>
<td>&quot;Phenomena must once and for all be removed from their gloomy empirical-mechanical-dogmatic torture chamber and submitted to the jury of plain common sense.&quot;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ernest Cassirer&lt;sup&gt;5&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1932</td>
<td>Bacon 'insisted, both by example and precept, on the importance of experiment as well as observation. Nature like a witness, when put to the torture, would reveal her secrets'.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>'The very style of Bacon's writing evinces everywhere this spirit. Bacon sits as a judge over reality, questioning it as one examines the accused. Not infrequently he says that one must resort to force to obtain the answer desired, that nature must be 'put to the rack'. His procedure is not simply observational but strictly inquisitorial. The witnesses are heard and brought face to face, the negative instances confront the affirmative ones, just as the witnesses for the defence confront those for the prosecution. After all the available bits of evidence have been gathered together and evaluated, then it is a matter of obtaining the confession which finally decides the issue. But such a confession is not obtainable without resorting to coercive measures. [As Bacon states,] &quot;For like as a man's disposition is never well known or proved till he be crossed... so nature exhibits herself more clearly under the trials and vexations of art than when left to herself.&quot;'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charles Webster&lt;sup&gt;6&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1975</td>
<td>'Nature would be forced to reveal her full potentialities when &quot;forced out of her natural state, and squeezed and moulded&quot; in the workshops of craftsmen. In this she was like Proteus, who was induced to reveal his true shape only when straightened and held fast. Similarly it was necessary to submit nature to the trials and vexations of art. As a lawyer Bacon naturally applied familiar professional terminology to the activities of the craftsman. By &quot;interrogation&quot; applied with extreme determination and cunning, nature would be &quot;tortured&quot; into revealing her secrets; she would then submit to voluntary &quot;subjugation.&quot;'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Thomas Kuhn<sup>7</sup>                       | 1976 | 'The attitude towards the role and status of experiment is only the first of the novelties which distinguish the new experimental movement from the old. A second is the major emphasis given to experiments which Bacon himself described as "twisting the lion's tail." These were the experiments which constrained nature, exhibiting it under conditions which it could never have attained without the forceful intervention of man. The men who placed grain, fish, mice, and various chemicals
Carolyn Merchant

1980

Montreal, Que.

serration in the artificial vacuum of a barometer or an air pump exhibit just this aspect of the new tradition.'

'These social events influenced Bacon's philosophy and literary style. Much of the imagery he used in delineating his new scientific objectives and methods derives from the courtroom, and, because it treats nature as a female to be tortured through mechanical inventions, strongly suggests the interrogations of the witch trials and the mechanical devices used to torture witches.'

'Bacon pressed the idea further with an analogy to the torture chamber: "For like as a man's disposition is never well known or proved till he be crossed, nor Proteus ever changed shape till he was straitened and held fast, so nature exhibits herself more clearly under the trials and vexations of art [mechanical devices] than when left to herself."'

'The interrogations of witches as symbol for the interrogation of nature, the courtroom as model for its inquisition, and torture through mechanical devices as a tool for the subjugation of disorder were fundamental to the scientific method as power.'

John Briggs

1989

Toronto, Ont.

'S till the lesson that Bacon draws from the myth turns upon the wise man's power to chain Proteus to the rack so as to force matter "to extremities, as if with the purpose of reducing it to nothing."'

Michèle Le Doeuff

1990

Vanier, Que.

On Bacon's New Atlantis: the 'preparations and instruments' are these ... but ... being instruments of science they are not just like the telescope or the microscope. They must be conceived of as objects which contain a phenomenon under a tortured form'.

Julian Martin

1992

Brighton, Eng.

'Whenever judicial torture was employed, its purpose was to force responses to interrogatories from the prisoner, and we can recall Bacon's insistence that nature bear revealed herself when " vexed", and "tortured".'

Pierre Hadot

2004

Jesuites, Paris

'De même, en effet, que, dans la vie publique, le naturel d'un individu et la disposition cachée de son esprit et de ses passions se découvrent, lorsqu'il est plongé dans le trouble, mieux qu'à un autre moment, de même les secrets (occultes) de la nature se découvrent mieux sous la torture des arts mécaniques que dans son cours naturel.'

Terence Kealey

2005

Montréal, Que.

'Here is his [Bacon's] advice for experimenters: "Nature exposes herself more readily when she is tortured than when she is free ... Nature needs to be constrained, tortured, forced out of her natural state by the hand of man, squeezed and moulded."'

Pierre Hadot, tr. Michael Chase

2006

Toronto, Ont.

'It has been said of Francis Bacon, the founder of modern experimental science, that he "submits the natural process to juridical categories, in the same way as a civil or penal matter." It is true that Bacon uses the vocabulary of violence, constraint, and even torture as he sketches the program of modern experimental science: "the secrets of nature are better revealed under the torture of experiments than when they follow their natural course.”'

'Hadot sets Goethe in the opposite or Orphic tradition that sees nature as a mystery or poem. "Mysterious in broad daylight, never/Wall Nature be defrauded of her veil/What to your spirit she reveal not, that you fail/to torture out of her with screw or lever." Goethe, thus contradicts Francis Bacon, who sought to force Nature to talk under the torture of experimentation. For Goethe, rather
than talk, "Nature keeps silent under torture," and "Francis Bacon, for instance, declared that Nature unveils her secrets only under the torture of experimentation."


4 Thomas Fowler, *Bacon's Novum Organum*, with introduction, notes, etc. Oxford: Clarendon Press, 1878, p. 124; see p. 127 in the second edition of 1889. Also, 'He insisted, both by example and precept, on the importance of experiment over observation'.


7 Thomas S. Kuhn, 'Mathematical vs. experimental traditions in the development of physical science', *Journal of Interdisciplinary History* (1976) 7, pp. 1–31, 12.
11 Julian Martin, Francis Bacon: The State and the Reform of Natural Philosophy, New York: Cambridge University Press, 1992, p. 166. See p. 217 n. 73: 'Many references, e.g., Parasceue, Aph. 1, Works, I, pp. 138–9 (IV, p. 26), *Advancement of Learning*, Works, III, p. 333. Note: Julian Martin's citations for: ' vexed' and 'tortured' (p. 217 n. 73) do not correctly refer to passages where the Latin might lead one to translate *vexare* (and its variants) as 'torture'. In the Parasceue, Aphorism 1, neither the Latin nor the English uses 'vex' or 'torment'. In the 1605 (English) *Advancement of Learning*, Bacon's English is 'vexations of art'. Martin's first citation should be to Parasceue, Aphorism 5, where the Latin is *vexationes artis*. Bacon, *Works*, op. cit. (6), vol. I, p. 399. Speeding’s translation is 'vexations of art' (ibid., vol. 4, p. 257); Peter Shaw’s (*Philosophical Works*, op. cit. (8), vol. 3, p. 11), is 'Tortures of Art'. Martin’s second citation should be to the *De Augmentis* (1623), where the Latin is *irritata et vexata* (*Works*, op. cit. (6), vol. 1, p. 500). Speeding’s translation (*Works*, op. cit. (6), vol. 4, p. 298), is 'vexations of art'; Peter Shaw’s (*Philosophical Works*, op. cit. (8), vol. 1, p. 46), is 'Tortures of Art'. I thank Robert Westman for drawing my attention to Julian Martin’s book.