Seismic signals are crucial for male mating success in a visual specialist jumping spider (Araneae: Salticidae)
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The diversity of courtship displays throughout the animal kingdom is immense and displays can range from seemingly simple, to incredibly complex. Signallers often possess elaborate morphological adaptations for signals directed at a specific sensory modality in receivers. In some cases, these signals are so compelling to human observers, the possibility that important signals exist in other sensory modalities is ignored, potentially resulting in an incomplete characterization of the communication system. Jumping spiders (Salticidae) have remarkable visual capabilities. Yet one species, Habronattus dossenus, has recently been shown to have a complex repertoire of multicomponent seismic courtship signals in addition to and produced in concert with its multiple visual ornaments and movement displays. Here, we demonstrate the importance of these seismic signals in the courtship display of H. dossenus by comparing mating frequencies across experimentally manipulated treatments. Virgin females were paired with males from one of two experimental groups: nonmuted males or muted males. We found that females were significantly more likely to copulate with nonmuted males than with muted males. Furthermore, in all pairs that copulated, the latency to copulation was significantly shorter in nonmuted pairings than in muted pairings and precopulatory cannibalism rates were significantly lower. These results demonstrate that seismic signals are a critical component of male H. dossenus courtship displays. Additionally, we demonstrate that many other Habronattus species include a diversity of seismic signals in their courtship displays and we discuss potential selection pressures that may drive the evolution of multimodal displays even in species that already possess elaborate morphological adaptations for signals directed at one sensory modality.

The communication signals that mediate mating decisions between males and females are among the most thoroughly investigated behavioural acts in ethology and behavioural ecology (Bradbury & Vehrencamp 1998). The classification of signal salience is naturally affected by their conspicuousness to human investigators and in some animals, the conspicuousness of a given signal modality is accompanied by obvious adaptations in the morphology of the animals. We tend to think of these animals as sensory specialists. Such is the case for vision in jumping spiders (Salticidae) (Peckham & Peckham 1889, 1890; Crane 1949; Forster 1982b; Jackson 1982; Land 1985; Foelix 1996). Jumping spiders are unique among spiders in possessing a pair of large, frontal eyes (principal eyes) that form true images upon a retina and which confer a degree of spatio-visual acuity unknown in other spiders or even insects (Land 1985; Land & Nilsson 2002). While this highly advanced visual system may have its origins in prey capture, both males and females have large principal eyes (AM, anterior median eyes), many jumping spiders have a striking sexual dimorphism in which males possess species-typical, extravagant and exaggerated morphological modifications of limbs, pedipalps, mouthparts and abdomen, including bright and contrasting colours (Peckham & Peckham 1889, 1890; Crane 1949). This has led to extensive studies of courtship signalling of many species, all of which focus nearly exclusively on the...
conspicuous visual signals of the males, described metaphorically as ‘semaphores’. From prior studies of jumping spiders it would be a reasonable inference that most mating decisions are based solely on the assessment of visual signals (Clark & Uetz 1990, 1993; Clark & Morjan 2001; Clark & Biesiadecki 2002). This however, is not always the case. For example, presumptively ‘primitive’ jumping spiders can communicate with chemical stimuli (Crane 1949; Jackson 1982). In other more derived taxa, courtship displays can incorporate vibrations (seismic signals) (Jackson 1977a, 1982; Elias et al. 2003), percussion (Noordam 2002) and airborne sound (Edwards 1981; Gwynne & Dadour 1985; Maddison & Stratton 1988).

While prior descriptions of visual, vibratory, percussive and acoustic courtship signals exist, descriptions of displays that incorporate more than one of these modalities remain rare and jumping spiders are still considered to be predominantly visual (Foelix 1996).

It was recently reported that prolonged bouts of courtship in one species, Habronattus dosenus Griswold, in which males use intricate stereotyped movements of their multicoloured leg and body parts, are also accompanied by seismic signals (Elias et al. 2003). This led us to question the importance of seismic signalling in jumping spiders and the role of multimodality. Seismic signals are produced using self-generated vibrations that are transmitted through a solid substrate such as soil, sand, plants, and so forth (Narins 2001). Habronattus dosenus males use three independent mechanisms in their courtship displays to produce a repertoire of seismic signals, each temporally coordinated with unique visual displays (Elias et al. 2003). Seismic signals range from long phrases that last for seconds to rapid phasic phrases (<200 ms) that ‘punctuate’ fast movements of the forelegs (Elias et al. 2003).

Here, we show that these seismic signals strongly influence male mating success and are thus an extremely important component in the courtship displays of H. dosenus. We paired virgin females with either muted or nonmuted males and examined mating success. Muted males could not produce seismic signals but could produce normal visual signals, whereas nonmuted males produced all signals normally (visual and seismic). We found that females were more likely to copulate with nonmuted versus muted males. These findings demonstrate the importance of multimodality for an animal in which communication was previously thought to be exclusively visual and suggest that sexual selection may act on seismic signals as well as on visual signals and ornaments.

Following from this experiment, we examined the courtship displays of several Habronattus species to determine whether seismic signals occur in other species. Habronattus is an extraordinarily diverse genus with over 100 described species in North America alone (Griswold 1987; Maddison & Hedin 2003). Like most jumping spiders, members of the Habronattus genus are sexually dimorphic, but unlike typical jumping spiders, Habronattus include some of the most elaborate male ornamentation and visual courtship behaviours (Peckham & Peckham 1889, 1890; Griswold 1987; Maddison & Hedin 2003). Males perform an elaborate sequence of temporally complex motions of colourful body parts and appendages to their unornamented female counterparts. Recently it has been shown that sexual selection, specifically on male-specific morphology and behaviour, has driven diversification in Habronattus (Masta & Maddison 2002).

We observed the courtship displays of several Habronattus species and determined that the diversity of ornaments and male-specific visual courtship behaviours finds an equal counterpart in seismic signals. We suggest that sexual selection for signal complexity may drive the evolution of multimodal communication and may potentially play a role in species diversification in Habronattus. In addition, we discuss the potential advantages of multimodal signalling in animals that are seemingly specialized for communication in one sensory modality.

METHODS

Spiders

Mature male and immature female H. dosenus were field-collected during March–April 2003 in southwest Arizona, U.S.A., from the Atascosa Mountains, Coronado National Park, Santa Cruz County. Animals were housed individually in plastic containers (AMAC Plastic Products, Petaluma, California, U.S.A.) and kept in the laboratory on a 12:12 h light:dark cycle. Once a week, spiders were fed a diet of fruit flies (Drosophila melanogaster) and crickets (Acheta domesticus). Immature females were checked daily to determine whether they had moulted to maturity. Only virgin adult females aged 16–31 days postmaturity (average ± SD = 22 days ± 2.5) were tested in trials. All male and female spiders were fed 2 days before experiments.

Experimental Trials

Mature males were assigned to one of two treatments: (1) muted or (2) nonmuted. Two days before the experiments, males were anaesthetized with CO2 and a piece of bee’s wax was placed either (1) between the prosoma and opisthosoma (the first and second body regions), ultimately connecting the two and inhibiting their relative movement (muted) or (2) on top of the prosoma but not connecting it to any other body part (nonmuted). This method of fixing the prosoma to the opisthosoma has been shown to eliminate most seismic signals without affecting any visual signals (Elias et al. 2003). A low-intensity percussive component produced by the forelegs is unaffected by this procedure (Elias et al. 2003). Placing wax only on the prosoma does not affect any visual or seismic signals (Elias et al. 2003). We used approximately the same amount of wax for both treatments. To ensure that these treatments did not affect normal locomotory activities, we observed whether or not waxed spiders were able to successfully capture prey during the 2 days following these manipulations. Males were randomly paired with different females. Each female and male was only used once.

A plastic cylinder (12.5 cm in diameter × 13.5 cm high) with Vaseline placed on the inside of cylinder wall to prevent spiders from crawling up the sides was used as the courting arena. A piece of graph paper cut to fit inside the cylinder was used as the arena floor. An opaque paper ring
was placed around the outside of the cylinder to prevent unwanted visual distractions. An EIS fibre-optic light system was used to illuminate the arena. All trials were videotaped (Canon ZR50 MC, 30 frames/s) from above. Graph paper was replaced every two trials to prevent the build up of chemical cues.

Females were placed into the arena first, then males. Trials lasted 15 min. Only males that courted during this time were scored. Courtship in *H. dossenus* consists of four phases (Elias et al. 2003). Phase 1 consists of only visual signals (Elias et al. 2003), with sidling movements in which the male approaches in a typical salticid ‘zigzag’ visual display (Forster 1982b). During this approach the male waves his forelegs and spreads his pedipalps in a stereotypical fashion. When the male comes to within approximately one body length of the female, multimodal courtship begins. Phases 2 through 4 consist of multiple bouts of prolonged seismic and visual signalling. Seismic displays occur only in phases 2–4 (Fig. 5a). Phases for both treatments were determined by the stereotypic visual components of courtship (Elias et al. 2003). To obtain a realistic measure of mate choice, we measured: (1) presence/absence of copulation, (2) latency of the beginning of phase 1 courtship to copulation, (3) latency of the beginning of phase 2 courtship to copulation, (4) total phase 1 courtship duration, (5) total multimodal (phases 2–4) courtship duration, and (6) occurrences of premating cannibalism.

Durations of courtship for all treatments were compared using a Student’s *t* test with Bonferroni corrections. Copulation and cannibalism proportions were compared using a Pearson chi-square test. Statistical tests were conducted using the SYSTAT statistical analysis package (SSI, Richmond, California, U.S.A.).

**Recording Procedures for Seismic Signals in Habronattus**

Recording procedures were similar to a previous study (Elias et al. 2003). Briefly, we anaesthetized female *H. dossenus, H. cognatus, H. pugillis* and *H. schlingeri* with CO₂ and tethered them to a wire with bee’s wax. Males of the respective species were then dropped on to the substrate and allowed to court freely. We recorded substrate vibrations produced during courtship using a laser Doppler vibrometer (LDV) (Polytec OFV 3001 controller, OFV 511 sensor head, Waldbronn, Germany) (Michelsen et al. 1982). The LDV signal was recorded on the audio track during standard videotaping of courtship behaviour (Navitar Zoom 7000 lens, Panasonic GP-KR222, Sony DVCAM DSR-20 digital VCR, 44.1 kHz audio sampling rate). All recordings were made on a vibration-isolated table.

**RESULTS**

**Male Mating Success and Seismic Signalling in *H. dossenus***

Females copulated more frequently with nonmuted males, which could produce seismic signals, than with muted males ($\chi^2 = 7.817, P < 0.01$; Fig. 1). Males that could produce seismic signals were three times more likely to achieve successful copulation than muted males (Fig. 1).

While mating frequency was significantly lower with muted males, seismic signals were not absolutely necessary, because successful copulations did occur in five of the 23 muted male trials. The latency to copulation differed significantly between muted and nonmuted trials (Fig. 2). The latency from the start of courtship (phase 1) to copulation was significantly shorter in pairings with nonmuted males than in those with muted males ($\overline{X} \pm SD$: nonmuted males: 321.3 ± 154.4 s, $N = 14$; muted males: 591.6 ± 85.5 s, $N = 5$; $t_{17} = 3.672, P < 0.01$; Fig. 2a), as was the latency from the start of multimodal courtship (phase 2) to copulation (nonmuted males: 157.1 ± 81.0 s, $N = 14$; muted males: 355.4 ± 131.5 s, $N = 5$; $t_{17} = 3.991, P < 0.01$; Fig. 2b).

Experimental manipulations did not affect mean courtship duration of phase 1 (visual only) ($\overline{X} \pm SD$: nonmuted males: 160.1 ± 115.0 s, $N = 24$; muted males: 201.0 ± 150.0 s, $N = 23$; $t_{45} = 1.040, P = 0.30$; Fig. 3) or phases 2–4 courtship (visual + seismic) (nonmuted males: 93.8 ± 70.8 s, $N = 24$; muted males: 77.4 ± 115.9 s, $N = 23$; $t_{45} = -0.587, P = 0.56$; Fig 3).

Muted males were four times more likely to be cannibalized than nonmuted males ($\chi^2 = 3.706, P = 0.05$; Fig. 4).

**Diversity of Seismic Signalling in Other Habronattus Species**

Figure 5 shows examples of seismic signals and male morphology for *H. dossenus* as well as three other *Habronattus* species: *H. cognatus, H. pugillis* and *H. schlingeri*. All species examined combine diverse multiple visual ornaments and movement displays with a diversity of complex seismic signals.

![Figure 1](image-url)
DISCUSSION

This study clearly demonstrates that female *H. dossenus*, previously thought to be visual specialists, base part of their decision to mate on the seismic component of a male’s multimodal courtship display, despite the obvious visual ornamentation and displays of *H. dossenus* males (Griswold 1987). The importance of seismic signals in the multimodal courtship display of *H. dossenus* was demonstrated by comparing mating frequencies between female pairings with muted versus nonmuted males. Muted males were three times less likely to mate than nonmuted males (Fig. 1). There were no differences in the total time males in each treatment spent courting (all phases) (Fig. 3), hence male courtship did not vary across treatments and all females had the same opportunity to assess males regardless of treatment.

While seismic signals are clearly important in male courtship displays, they are not entirely necessary to achieve successful copulation, since a minority of females mated with muted males (N = 5, 21% of muted male pairings). However, restricting our comparison to only those pairs that copulated, we still found differences between the muted and nonmuted treatments. Latency to copulation from the initiation of courtship, either from the visual-only phase (phase 1) or multimodal (visual + seismic) phase (phase 2), was significantly shorter in nonmuted than in muted treatments (Fig. 3). In the field, *H. dossenus* density can be high, thus it is probable that females will encounter multiple males in a short period (D. O. Elias, unpublished observation). A shorter latency would reduce the opportunity for interference by rival males, thereby giving the courting male an advantage. Shorter latencies would also limit the time that males and females are exposed to predators, since courtship often occurs on exposed surfaces of leaf litter and is likely to draw unwanted attention (Elias et al. 2003). Thus, if assessment of multiple signals by females leads to faster mate choice decisions, multimodal signals may be a general adaptation to minimize courtship time.

Premating cannibalism of males by females in our study was more likely to occur in muted treatments than in nonmuted treatments (Fig. 4). The occurrence of sexual cannibalism is common in many spider groups including jumping spiders (Polis 1981; Jackson 1982, 1997b; Elgar 1992; Andrade 1996; Hebets 2003). Our results suggest two possibilities as to the specific function of seismic signalling components: (1) seismic components are signalling species identity or (2) seismic components are signalling information about male quality. The function of the complex visual courtship displays in jumping spiders has been hypothesized to function in cannibalism deterrence, either by signalling species identity or by the suppression of female aggression (Jackson 1982). The increased instances of cannibalism in muted males suggest that seismic signals may function in these ways for *H. dossenus* as well. Alternatively, seismic components may be signalling information about male quality; the higher incidence of cannibalism of muted males could reflect a perceived...

**Figure 2.** Latency to copulation from (a) phase 1 (visual only) or (b) phase 2 (visual + seismic) courtship. Significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments were tested using a Student’s *t* test with Bonferroni corrections (**P < 0.01).

**Figure 3.** Total time males spent in phase 1 (visual only) and phases 2–4 (multimodal) courtship. Significant differences (P < 0.05) between treatments were tested using a Student’s *t* test with Bonferroni corrections.
lower quality of males: females may be more likely to eat low-quality males and mate with high-quality males. Future work will explore these possibilities.

The difference in cannibalism rates could also be a result of the application of wax to mute the males. A wax connection between the prosoma and opisthosoma (the first and second body parts) may limit the ability of males to escape attacks from females even though care was taken to use the same amount of wax in both treatments. Jumping spiders catch prey by first stalking and then leaping on them (Forster 1982a). Since courtship behaviour brings males in close proximity to females, any manipulation that inhibited escape behaviours would increase the probability that females would cannibalize males and thereby prevent a successful mating. None the less, we observed minimal inhibition of movement and no differences in visual courtship or predatory behaviours in the interval between the treatments. Premating cannibalism by females is therefore unlikely to have been an artefact of our treatments. Regardless, this is a future avenue to explore.

Virtually all previous studies of jumping spider behaviour, including mating behaviour, have focused on the role of vision, which is highly developed in this group. The primary eyes (anterior median eyes) are specialized for high-resolution vision and include adaptations for colour vision (Land 1969, Land 1985; Eakin & Brandenburger 1971; Blest et al. 1981; Blest 1985), image focusing (Eakin & Brandenburger 1971; Williams & McIntyre 1980), providing jumping spiders with visual capacities comparable to many vertebrate eyes (Land & Nilsson 2002). Secondary eyes (lateral and posterior median eyes) are adapted for high temporal resolution and movement detection (Land 1971; Duelli 1978; Forster 1982b). These visual specializations are associated with a complex repertoire of visually mediated behaviours including prey capture, courtship and agonistic displays (Forster 1982a, b; Jackson 1982; Jackson & Pollard 1996). In particular, the courtship displays of jumping spiders have received special attention as examples of visual signalling behaviours (Crane 1949; Eakin & Brandenburger 1971; Forster 1982b; Jackson 1982; Land 1985; Bradbury & Vehrencamp 1998; Land & Nilsson 2002) mediating species recognition and female choice (Jackson 1982; Clark & Uetz 1993; Clark & Morjan 2001). Complex nonsocial behaviours such as three dimensional route planning (Hill 1979; Tarisano & Andrew 1999) and prey discrimination (Harland & Jackson 2002) have also been shown to be visually mediated.

The unique visual sense of jumping spiders has been driven by both natural and sexual selection. Certainly, their well-reported and remarkable predatory abilities bespeak natural selection for enhanced vision. Similarly, the interplay of enhanced vision and sexual selection has been implicated in the evolution of courtship displays in the genus Habronattus due to the outstanding degree of complexity and variation in their ornamentation and movement displays (Peckham & Peckham 1889, 1890; Masta & Maddison 2002) as well as their extraordinary morphological and geographical variation (Griswold 1987; Maddison & McMahon 2000). Species groups within Habronattus having the most ornaments and the most complex visual displays are also the most species-rich groups (Griswold 1987; Maddison & Hedin 2003). This suggests an important role for sexual selection in speciation in this genus (Maddison & McMahon 2000; Masta 2000; Masta & Maddison 2002). We are now finding that this extensive and prominent morphological diversity occurs in conjunction with a corresponding diversity of seismic courtship signals in these groups (Fig. 5) (Maddison & Stratton 1988; Elias et al. 2003). Although a few jumping spider species distantly related to Habronattus also produce vibrational signals (Edwards 1981; Gwynne & Dadour 1985; Maddison & Stratton 1988), the use of the seismic vibratory modality is ubiquitous in many Habronattus species groups (Fig. 5) (Maddison & Stratton 1988; Elias et al. 2003). The present study suggests that seismic signals are a sexually selected trait in H. dossenus, and due to the remarkable diversity of seismic displays in the Habronattus clade (Fig. 5), that courtship signal elaboration in the seismic modality may have played a role in the diversification of Habronattus.

Finally, it should not be surprising that multimodal communication may be the rule rather than the exception, even in systems in which animals have exaggerated and specialized signalling and sensory structures common to a single modality. Multimodal communication offers multiple advantages. Cross-modal interactions have been shown to improve signal detection and discrimination thresholds (Rowe 1999; Recanzone 2003), and could be a mechanism to overcome constraints on the amount of information that can be effectively transferred in a single modality (channel capacity, Shannon 1949). Complex signals in a single modality are often perceived as a single unified stimulus (Honey & Hall 1989; Rowe 1999), whereas information transmitted in multiple modalities is not (Hillis et al. 2002). Furthermore, multimodal signals can function to overcome noise in one modality by retaining clarity in another (backup signals: Johnstone 1996; Rowe 1999). A multimodal signal produced as an
integrated whole also may be more than the sum of its parts due to the potential emergent cross-modal properties of multiple signals (Partan & Marler 1999; Hebets & Papaj, in press). It follows, therefore, that selection favouring increased signal complexity (such as sexual selection for elaborate courtship displays) would favour multimodality when additional sensory channels are available. In any event, we propose that courtship communication in jumping spiders is an attractive model communication system for future studies of behavioural ecology, sensory integration, and the role of sexual selection in speciation.

**Figure 5.** Diversity of seismic signalling in *Habronattus*. (a) *H. dossenus* Griswold (clypeatus group) courtship. (b) *H. cognatus* (Peckham & Peckham) (agilis group) courtship. (c) *H. pugillis* Griswold (pugillis group, Atascosa form) courtship. (d) *H. schlingeri* (Griswold) (coecatus group) courtship. Seismic signals produced during courtship were recorded using a laser Doppler vibrometer.
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