Relating fuel loads to overstorey structure and composition in a fire-excluded Sierra Nevada mixed conifer forest
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Abstract. Although knowledge of surface fuel loads is critical for evaluating potential fire behaviour and effects, their inherent variability makes these difficult to quantify. Several studies relate fuel loads to vegetation type, topography and spectral imaging, but little work has been done examining relationships between forest overstorey variables and surface fuel characteristics on a small scale (<0.05 ha). Within-stand differences in structure and composition would be expected to influence fuel bed characteristics, and thus affect fire behaviour and effects. We used intensive tree and fuel measurements in a fire-excluded Sierra Nevada mixed conifer forest to assess relationships and build predictive models for loads of duff, litter and four size classes of downed woody fuels to overstorey structure and composition. Overstorey variables explained a significant but somewhat small percentage of variation in fuel load, with marginal $R^2$ values for predictive models ranging from 0.16 to 0.29. Canopy cover was a relatively important predictor for all fuel components, although relationships varied with tree species. White fir abundance had a positive relationship with total fine woody fuel load. Greater pine abundance was associated with lower load of fine woody fuels and greater load of litter. Duff load was positively associated with total basal area and negatively associated with oak abundance. Knowledge of relationships contributing to within-stand variation in fuel loads can increase our understanding of fuel accumulation and improve our ability to anticipate fine-scale variability in fire behaviour and effects in heterogeneous mixed species stands.
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Introduction

Research and management in forests with altered fire regimes often focus on understanding and manipulating fuels. Surface fuels, consisting of flammable biomass within 2 m of the mineral soil surface (Keane et al. 2012a; Keane 2013), are of interest because these fuels drive fire behaviour and effects. Greater surface fuel loads increase potential surface fire flame lengths and can lead to canopy torching (Agee and Skinner 2005). In addition, high radiative and convective heat produced by intense surface fires is critical to the initiation and maintenance of crown fires (Wagner 1977; Scott and Reinhardt 2001). Surface fuels also influence fire effects on soils and understorey vegetation through their influence on fire severity and soil heating (Busse et al. 2005; Thaxton and Platt 2006; Rocca 2009; Webster and Halpern 2010). Smouldering combustion of duff may contribute to tree mortality, increase smoke production and influence post-fire regeneration (Hille and Stephens 2005). In addition, knowledge of the rate of surface fuel accumulation is critical to understanding effectiveness and longevity of fuel reduction treatments (Stephens et al. 2012).

In mixed species forest types, small-scale (i.e. <0.05 ha) variation in species composition can have substantial effects on surface fuel properties, leading to differences in fire behaviour within a stand. Materials from different species may accumulate (Keane 2008a) or decompose (Stohlgren 1988) at varying rates. Needles of different species vary in burning characteristics such as maximum flame height and burn duration (Fonda et al. 1998; Fonda 2001). Needle morphology also leads to variation in fuel bed density, with long-needled pine species having fascicled needles accumulating a less dense litter layer that can contribute to greater fire intensity and spread (Weatherspoon and Skinner 1995; van Wagendonk et al. 1998; Stephens et al. 2004; Knapp...
and Keeley 2006). Locally dense accumulations of pine cones can also influence fire behaviour (Fonda and Varner 2004), and cones of different species and maturity levels can affect flame length and time (Gabrielson et al. 2012). In addition, fuel bed composition can influence the likelihood of secondary ignitions from firebrands (Ganteaume et al. 2009).

Although the importance of surface fuels is widely recognised, quantifying surface fuel loads can often be challenging due to their high spatial and temporal variability and multifarious nature (Arroyo et al. 2008; Keane et al. 2012b; Keane 2013). Field surveys are the most accurate method for estimating fuel loads, but they tend to be time consuming and expensive, making it difficult to cover a large area (Arroyo et al. 2008). Because of these limitations, it is often necessary to rely on surrogates for direct measurements of fuel loads, such as remote sensing or forest structure data. Remote sensing may be used to directly characterise fuels in forests but can be difficult because of the interference of vegetation canopies on measurements, particularly for fine fuels (Keane et al. 2001; Jakubowski et al. 2013). Studies have explored the relationship between forest overstorey and fuel bed characteristics, with a focus on general forest structural attributes such as basal area, cover type or stand age (e.g. Brown and Bevins 1986; Fernandes 2009; Parresol et al. 2012). Less information is available on within-stand variation in fuel loads and how this may correlate with tree overstorey structure and composition. These patterns can be hard to infer, as components of surface fuels vary at different scales (Fry and Stephens 2010; Keane et al. 2012b) and loads in different size classes are generally uncorrelated (Brown and See 1981; Brown and Bevins 1986; Keane et al. 2013). Inaccurate inputs of fuel loading values due to lack of quantitative knowledge of spatial variability, coupled with the inability of many widely used fire behaviour models to incorporate finer scale variability in surface fuels, can lead to considerable errors in predictions of fire behaviour and spread (Keane et al. 2001; Bachmann and Allgower 2002). New methods are needed to provide better estimates of the variability in fuel loads on a smaller scale (Ottmar et al. 2012).

This study examines relationships between overstorey forest structure and composition and surface fuel loads in a Sierra Nevada mixed conifer forest that was logged in the 1920s and then recovered under fire exclusion. This management history is typical for many mixed conifer forests of California, as well as other forest types throughout the western US. Study objectives were to identify overstorey characteristics associated with loads of six surface and ground fuel components (1-, 10-, 100- and 1000-h Woody fuels, litter and duff). We used mapped tree locations and extensive surface fuel inventories to analyse these potential associations at a small spatial scale (0.015 ha). Our intent was to help managers better understand how forest structure and composition influence variability in surface and ground fuels, because such relationships are poorly understood in Sierra Nevada mixed conifer forests. There are several possible applications of these potential relationships. Because overstorey measurements may be more readily available than fuels data, relationships between overstorey and fuels may allow for easier and more cost-effective fuel characterisations where specific fuel measurements are lacking (Keane et al. 2012b). A better anticipation of fine-scale variability in fuels could also aid in prioritising areas for surface fuel reduction efforts based on improved understanding of overstorey characteristics that coincide with greater fuel loads or more reactive fuel complexes. Relationships could also aid in the development of thinning prescriptions that produce greater fuel discontinuity within stands, assuming that moderating surface fire behaviour and effects is a desired objective.

**Methods**

**Study site**

Sampling was done within 24 approximately 4-ha units established in mixed conifer forest as part of a larger study within the Stanislaus–Tuolumne Experimental Forest (Knapp et al. 2012). The study area is located on the western slope of the central Sierra Nevada in California at elevations ranging from 1585–1890 m. Annual precipitation averages 103 cm with the majority occurring from fall through spring and more than half falling as snow. Soils are deep and well-drained loam to gravelly loam derived from granite or tuff breccia (Winton–Inville families complex) (Knapp et al. 2013). Site productivity is high (Site Class I) (Dunning 1942). Dominant tree species are white fir (Abies concolor) (Gordon and Glend.) Lindl. ex Hildebr.), sugar pine (Pinus lambertiana Douglas), incense cedar (Calocedrus decurrens Torr. Florin) and ponderosa pine (P. ponderosa Laws.). Jeffrey pine (P. jeffreyi Balf.) and black oak (Quercus kelloggii Newberry) are a less common component, and one live Douglas-fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii (Mirb.) Franco var. menziesii) tree was also present but its basal area was combined with that of white fir in the data due to the rarity of this species.

The last widespread fire at the Stanislaus–Tuolumne Experimental Forest occurred in 1889; prior to that the median fire return interval was 6 years (Knapp et al. 2013). Most of the merchantable timber was removed by logging operations in the late 1920s (Knapp et al. 2012). This history of fire exclusion and logging – two factors common to many mid-elevation forest stands in the Sierra Nevada – contributed to a shift in forest structure and composition, making the forest more susceptible to fires of uncharacteristic intensity and severity (Agee and Skinner 2005). Shrub cover at the site was low, averaging 2.5% (Knapp et al. 2013). Prior to data collection, no manipulation (except fire suppression) had taken place in any of the units since the 1928–29 logging.

**Data collection**

In each of the 24 units, a total of 240 m of fuel and canopy transects were established in an east–west direction. Transects were partitioned into 10-m sub-transects (Fig. 1). Nine measures of canopy cover were taken at 1-m intervals along each sub-transect with a sighting tube (densitometer), and covering species (or no cover) was recorded for each point. A greater number of readings may have given a more accurate measure of canopy cover, but the density of our sampling is similar to that used in other studies (e.g. Hall et al. 2006). Location (x- and y-coordinates relative to transect starting point), species and diameter at breast height (dbh) were measured for each tree and snag ≥10 cm dbh within 7.5 m of the fuels transect (belt transect of 10 × 15 m for each sub-transect).
Surface fuels were measured using the planar intercept method (Brown 1974) along each 10-m sub-transect. Fuel components measured included duff, litter and four size classes of downed woody fuels: 1-h (0–0.6 cm diameter), 10-h (0.6–2.5 cm), 100-h (2.5–7.6 cm) and 1000-h (>7.6 cm). Intersects for the smaller woody fuel classes (1-, 10- and 100-h) were tallied by size class between metres 4 and 7 of the sub-transect (3-m sample length), and 1000-h fuels were measured by diameter along the entire 10-m distance. Litter and duff depths (cm) were sampled at points 2, 5 and 8 m from the beginning of the sub-transect. We did not measure the bulk density, but instead used equations quantifying relationships between depth and weight of litter and duff for common conifer species of the Sierra Nevada (van Wagendonk et al. 1998). As our study site contains a mix of species, fuel loading constants were weighted by basal area of each species within each of the 24 units to account for differences in fuel characteristics across the study area (van Wagendonk et al. 1996; van Wagendonk et al. 1998). Although shrubs and herbaceous plants can contribute substantially to fuels in some forests, they were not included in this study due to their low abundance.

Statistical analyses
We used multiple linear regression with mixed models in SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute Inc. 2011) to examine relationships between overstorey variables and loads of duff and fine fuels (1-h, 10-h, sum of 1–100-h and litter). Due to the large number of sub-transects with no 1000-h fuel loads, linear regressions were not done on this fuel class. We used the 10-m sub-transects as the measurement unit of interest because our intent was to look at small-scale variation of fuel loads and forest structure. Although we converted measures of forest structure and composition to average values within this 10 × 15-m area, presenting these measures at this scale provides some degree of spatial forest structure. To avoid the issue of pseudoreplication (Hurlbert 1984), sub-transects were not treated as independent replicates in analyses. Spatial autocorrelation of sub-transects was accounted for using a power spatial covariance structure based on the linear distance between sub-transects, and transect was included in the model as a random effect. Logarithmic and power transformations were applied to improve fit of the data to model assumptions of normality of residuals and equal variances. Transformations were chosen based on visual inspection of the distribution of residuals and the residual–quantile plots, with transformations chosen so that residuals were normally distributed (see Supplementary Material available online only). For each fuel component, we assessed models of all possible combinations of overstorey predictor variables up to a maximum of three variables per model. We compared the corrected Akaike information criterion (AICc) value for each model to assess the relative goodness of fit (Hurvich and Tsai 1989) and determine the best model for each fuel component. We also ran the model selection including all two-way interactions. All models including interactions were ranked very poorly by AICc.
We examined relationships between large woody fuels (1000-h) and overstorey variables with conditional inference tree analysis using the party package in R. This recursive partitioning method avoids the problem of overfitting without the need for tree pruning and prevents biased selection among covariates (Hothorn et al. 2006). We used a significance level of 0.05 for each split.

**Results**

Overstorey structure was highly variable between sub-transects, as shown by the ranges and standard deviations of the overstorey variables (Table 1). For example, total live BA and stem density ranged from 0 to 271 and 0 to 2333, and standard deviations for individual species BA were close to or higher than the mean for all species. Excluding two sub-transects that had no live trees, the average percentage of shade-intolerant species (pine species and black oak) was 9.8% by stem density (trees >10 cm dbh) and 16.7% by BA across all transects. The dominant species was white fir, comprising on average 51% of stems and 49% of BA within sub-transects. Dead BA (0–143.4) and snag density (0–933.0) were also particularly variable, with large standard deviations.

**Table 1. Observed values for fuel loads and overstorey variables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel component (Mg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duff</td>
<td>29.7</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>0–197.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter</td>
<td>29.5</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>0–138.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-h</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0–5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-h</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0–28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100-h</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0–99.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1–100-h</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>0–123.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1000-h</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>0–374.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live basal area (m(^2) ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>67.5</td>
<td>39.4</td>
<td>0–271.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fir species(^a)</td>
<td>33.8</td>
<td>30.2</td>
<td>0–186.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incense cedar</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0–108.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine species</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>0–140.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black oak</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>0–24.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead basal area (m(^2) ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>0–143.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White fir</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>15.7</td>
<td>0–143.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incense cedar</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0–13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine species</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>0–128.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black oak</td>
<td>0.014</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0–4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem density (ha(^{-1}))</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live trees</td>
<td>713.9</td>
<td>344.7</td>
<td>0–2333.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Snags</td>
<td>146.0</td>
<td>152.7</td>
<td>0–933.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QMD (cm)</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>12.3–87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canopy cover (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>79.6</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>0–100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White fir</td>
<td>41.8</td>
<td>36.3</td>
<td>0–100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incense cedar</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>0–100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine species</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>27.9</td>
<td>0–100.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black oak</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>0–88.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Includes data from one live Douglas-fir.

\(^b\)Excludes data from two sub-transects that contained no live trees.
However, most (423 out of 576) sub-transects contained at least one snag. For snags, the average percentage of shade-intolerant species was 23.9% by stem density and 22.8% by BA. Fuel load was similarly variable across the study site, with large ranges and high standard deviations for all fuel components (Table 1, Fig. 2). Fuel levels were mostly clustered towards the lower end of the distribution, with few plots having high values. Sub-transects with no 100-h or 1000-h fuels recorded were common (46 and 47% of sub-transects), whereas duff and litter values tended to have somewhat less of a skewed or truncated distribution (Fig. 2). Duff and litter loads were high, with average depths of 1.9 and 3.1 cm. Marginal $R^2$ values for the predictive models were fairly low (0.235 on average), indicating that around one-quarter of variation in fuel loads could be attributed to overstorey structure at our study site (Table 2). Duff had the highest $R^2$ value (0.287).

### Table 2. Estimate and standard error for coefficients of overstorey variables included in the best model for each fuel component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Standard error</th>
<th>Transformation</th>
<th>Prediction (Mg ha$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>$R^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1–100-h</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dead BA (m$^2$ ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.0050</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>$y^{0.25}$</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>5.7–7.1</td>
<td>0.204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fir live BA (m$^2$ ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.0032</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White fir canopy cover (%)</td>
<td>0.0028</td>
<td>0.0005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1.3000</td>
<td>0.0337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1-h</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total live BA (m$^2$ ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.0087</td>
<td>0.0010</td>
<td>$\log(y + 0.1)$</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.7–0.8</td>
<td>0.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine live BA (m$^2$ ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>−0.0123</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White fir canopy cover (%)</td>
<td>0.0052</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>−0.8077</td>
<td>0.0758</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10-h</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total live BA (m$^2$ ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.0061</td>
<td>0.0009</td>
<td>$y^{0.4}$</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>2.5–3.3</td>
<td>0.251</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine live BA (m$^2$ ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>−0.0101</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total canopy cover (%)</td>
<td>0.0049</td>
<td>0.0011</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>0.8598</td>
<td>0.0935</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duff</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total canopy cover (%)</td>
<td>0.0363</td>
<td>0.0057</td>
<td>$y^{0.58}$</td>
<td>23.69</td>
<td>21.2–26.3</td>
<td>0.287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total live BA (m$^2$ ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.0305</td>
<td>0.0036</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dead BA (m$^2$ ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.0238</td>
<td>0.0075</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>1.0358</td>
<td>0.4836</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Litter</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total canopy cover (%)</td>
<td>0.0081</td>
<td>0.0016</td>
<td>$y^{0.4}$</td>
<td>25.72</td>
<td>23.8–27.8</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pine canopy cover (%)</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>0.0015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dead BA (m$^2$ ha$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.0141</td>
<td>0.0023</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intercept</td>
<td>2.7374</td>
<td>0.1432</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Table 1). However, most (423 out of 576) sub-transects contained at least one snag. For snags, the average percentage of shade-intolerant species was 23.9% by stem density and 22.8% by BA.
Table 3. Covariance parameters for the best model for each fuel component

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel component</th>
<th>Sub-transect</th>
<th>Transect</th>
<th>Residual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1–100-h</td>
<td>0.710</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-h</td>
<td>0.777</td>
<td>0.077</td>
<td>0.463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-h</td>
<td>0.736</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duff</td>
<td>0.738</td>
<td>1.167</td>
<td>9.198</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Litter</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>0.074</td>
<td>0.877</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

and litter had the lowest (0.159). We found a high spatial dependence of measurements based on covariance parameters for sub-transects, with values close to one indicating high correlation between nearby sub-transsects (Table 3). Variance within transects was also significant for all fuel components, indicating that measurements were significantly correlated within transects.

High loads of fine woody fuels (1–100-h) were most strongly associated with total dead BA, live fir BA and white fir canopy cover (Tables 2 and 4). Live fir BA was the most important covariate, followed by canopy cover of white fir and snag BA (Table 4). Canopy cover of white fir influenced the predicted value of fine fuels over a relatively narrow range of values, whereas live BA of fir and total dead BA had an influence over a wider range of fine fuel levels (Fig. 3).

The highest 1-h fuel loads were associated with high white fir cover (Tables 2 and 4). The range of influence for white fire cover was small and focussed around the mean predicted fuel value, similar to the result for 1–100-h fuel load (Fig. 3). Live pine BA had a negative relationship with 1-h fuel load (Table 2) and also had a relatively small range of influence, mainly in the range of predicted values below the mean, whereas total live BA had a wider range of influence (Fig. 3). In addition to these three variables, live BA of fir had moderate influence (Table 4).

Ten-h fuel loads were associated with many of the same overstorey variables as 1-h fuels and the best predictive models for these two fuel components were very similar, with total and pine live BA and a measure of canopy cover included. For 10-h fuels, live pine BA again had a negative relationship with fuel load and influence in the lower range of fuel values, whereas total live BA had an effect over a wider range of values (Table 2, Fig. 3). One difference was that total canopy cover, rather than white fir cover, was associated with greater loads of 10-h fuel (Table 2). In addition, total snag BA was moderately important (Table 4).

Both duff and litter were positively related to total dead BA and total canopy cover (Table 2). In both cases, dead BA influenced the fuel level mainly above its mean predicted value, whereas total canopy cover had most of its influence below the mean predicted fuel load (Fig. 3). Greater litter load was also found in sub-transsects with greater cover of pine (Table 2). In addition, live BA of pine species and canopy cover of white fir had some influence on litter loads (Table 4). Whereas for litter, total dead BA had the widest range of influence, for duff it did not influence the predicted value at the highest part of its range, and total live BA had the widest range of influence (Fig. 3). Duff load was also somewhat associated with live and dead BA of black oak (Table 4).

Greater 1000-h surface fuel loads were associated with greater total snag density (all species combined). The regression tree of 1000-h fuels had only one split (P < 0.001, data not shown), dividing sub-transsects based on snag density. Among sub-transsects with <333 snags ha⁻¹ (N = 527), the level of 1000-h fuel load averaged 21.1 Mg·ha⁻¹, whereas those with greater snag densities (N = 49), averaged 51.0 Mg·ha⁻¹ of large fuels.

**Discussion**

Establishing robust linkages between overstorey forest structure and surface fuel loads can be problematic because canopy and surface fuels can vary at different spatial scales (Keane et al. 2012a). In this study we demonstrate significant relationships between overstorey variables and surface and ground fuel loads, but only around 23% of the observed variation in fuel loads could be explained by the overstorey variables included in our analysis. Here we use these relationships to examine the relative influence of different overstorey variables on fuel loads, and discuss potential mechanisms. These relationships may enhance our understanding of fuel loads in forests with a history of logging and fire suppression.

Even though relationships between overstorey structure and fuel load components were statistically significant, each explained...
only approximately a quarter of the variation in fuel loads at our study site. The rest of the variation is presumably due to factors such as sampling error, weather (e.g. wind dispersion) and topographic influence on decomposition. Also, random effects of mortality and weather can substantially affect fuel patterns (Brown and See 1981). The low explanatory value of our models could also be due to a mismatch of sampling scale between overstorey and fuels (Keane et al. 2012b) and that a 10 x 15-m belt of mapped trees may be too narrow to adequately capture fuels dropped by tall trees. Overstorey structure and composition had the least influence on litter load ($R^2$ of 0.16), indicating that these other factors may play a greater role in distribution of litter. This differs from the results of Hall et al. (2006), who found that among pools of downed fuels in ponderosa pine forests only litter was correlated with overstorey structure, with over 70% of the variability in litter pools being explained by canopy cover and BA.

Our field measurements were taken after many decades of fire exclusion. The average fuel loads in this study were similar to those typically reported for Sierra Nevada mixed conifer forests with a history of fire suppression (e.g. Stephens and Finney 2002; Innes et al. 2006). Different relationships and perhaps stronger correlations between overstorey and fuel loads may have been detected if sampling had occurred after a recent fire. Duff and litter loads in particular are typically strongly associated with time since past fire (Parresol et al. 2012), and burn conditions can additionally influence the pattern of fuel consumption in relation to the overstorey (Hille and Stephens 2005). In the absence of fire, fuels in Sierra Nevada mixed conifer forests reach a saturation point where accumulation rates equal decay rates after about 30 years (Kittredge 1955; van Wagendonk and Sydoriak 1987; Keifer et al. 2006), although accumulation of large woody debris may continue after other fuel classes have reached equilibrium (Stohlgren 1988).

Fig. 3. Range of influence of overstorey variables on fuel components, including all sub-transects ($N = 576$). A greater range of influence indicates that a variable has an influence over a wider range of predicted values of a fuel component. Predicted fuel loads are shown for the best model (by AICc) for each fuel component. The vertical bar represents the mean predicted value of the fuel component. CC refers to canopy cover.
However, fuel decomposition rates may depend on temperature and relative humidity (Keane 2008b), which are also influenced by overstorey structure. Therefore, within-stand variation in fuel load could occur due to microclimate effects as well as species-specific inputs in forests with a long fire-free interval such as our study site.

The skewed distribution of fuels found in this study, indicative of a heterogeneous rather than uniform fuel bed, is typical of forest fuels (e.g., Brown and See 1981; Brown and Bevins 1986; Keane et al. 2012a). Fuels in the 100- and 1000-h classes had particularly skewed distributions, evident by the long and thin tail of the distribution relative to the interquartile range (Fig. 2), suggesting patchy loads across the study area. Perhaps longer sampling transects would have allowed for greater detection of large woody fuels and thus better relationships with overstorey variables. Our findings are similar to those of Fry and Stephens (2010) for an old-growth Jeffrey pine mixed conifer forest. They demonstrated that large fuels were infrequent, but tended to occur with high loads when present (up to 210 Mg ha\(^{-1}\)); that is, highly clumped spatially. In our study, the finding that higher 1000-h fuel loads corresponded to greater total snag density suggests that tree mortality, which is often spatially clumped (Lundquist 2007), is a possible mechanism driving the patchy occurrence of pockets of high coarse fuel loads. Greater mortality can occur in areas of high tree density, and is generally due to a combination of factors including diseases, insects and environmental stressors (Smith et al. 2005).

Fine fuels tended to have a comparatively less skewed distribution across our study area (Fig. 2). This is likely due to the inputs for fine fuels (small branch wood) being more constant than that of coarse fuel (large branches, tree boles) (Keane 2008b) and greater dispersion when falling through the air than with the larger and heavier fuels. Additionally, as Keane et al. (2012b) demonstrated, surface fuels in smaller size classes tend to be more strongly correlated at smaller spatial scales compared with larger fuels; thus it would be more likely to find a uniform distribution at the scale of our sampling (0.015 ha).

This study found that measures of total BA and canopy cover were relatively important covariates for predicting fuel loads, with the best model for each fuel component containing either total live or dead BA or total canopy cover, but including variables pertaining to individual species improved model fit for all surface fuel components. Associations between within-stand species composition and fuel load characteristics have been previously found in both a dry mixed conifer forest (Fry and Stephens 2010) and a eucalyptus woodland (Duff et al. 2013). In addition, differences in deposition rates for different species and size classes of Sierra Nevada trees have been demonstrated (van Wagtendonk and Moore 2010). This suggests that although the influence of overstorey composition may be modest, as at our study site, within-stand species composition is worthy of consideration.

In this study, live and dead stem density were not important predictive factors for any fuel component. Instead, measures of total or individual species BA often had high importance factors. BA is a better indicator of aboveground biomass and, therefore, potential fuel load. As BA tends to be more reflective of the presence of large rather than small trees, this finding suggests that large trees have a disproportionate influence on surface fuel accumulation (Collins and Stephens 2007; van Wagtendonk and Moore 2010). BA of individual species was also assessed, and BA of pine or oak was among the important predictive factors for several fuel components (e.g. 1-h, 10-h, litter and duff). This suggests that even the less abundant species may play a role in predicting fuel loads (Fry and Stephens 2010).

The negative relationship between 1-h fuel loads and pine BA found in this study (Table 2) is consistent with findings of others evaluating the effect of cover type (Brown and Bevins 1986), within-stand species dominance (Fry and Stephens 2010) and species-specific inputs (van Wagtendonk and Moore 2010) on fuel bed characteristics. Pines drop fewer woody fuels in the smallest size class, as they may lack branches of sufficiently small size (particularly true for ponderosa pine) (Brown and Bevins 1986; van Wagtendonk and Moore 2010). Although our results for small woody fuels were in agreement with those of Fry and Stephens (2010), their finding that pine-dominated plots in a mixed conifer forest also had a lower litter load is contrary to the positive association between pine canopy cover and litter load in this study (Table 2). This difference could be due to differences in fire history or site productivity between study areas. Their site (Sierra San Pedro Martir) has a much more intact fire regime, with fires occurring until at least the early 1970s, and is generally less productive (Stephens and Gill 2005).

Both of these factors would lead to lower litter accumulation and perhaps different relationships between stand structure and surface fuels. Our results more closely relate to fuel deposition studies in Yosemite National Park, in which fuel samples collected under ponderosa and sugar pines generally had higher litter and lower 1-h fuel inputs and loads than samples collected under white fir and incense cedar (van Wagtendonk et al. 1998; van Wagtendonk and Moore 2010).

Duff was negatively associated with black oak BA, but positively associated with measures of total BA and cover. Other species-specific variables were not important predictors for duff (Table 4). As all of the conifer species at our study site tend to accumulate large quantities of duff in the absence of fire (van Wagtendonk et al. 1998), they may have been more similar in their associations with duff load. Hardwood litter tends to decompose more quickly than conifer litter (Harmon et al. 1990), potentially contributing to the pattern of lower duff accumulation near oaks. In addition, oaks tend to occur on drier and rockier sites that may be less conducive to conifer establishment (McDonald 1969) and are expected to have lower productivity.

Despite the inclusion of either total or white fir canopy cover in the top predictive model for all fuel components, both of these variables generally had a relatively small range of predictive influence (Fig. 3). A large proportion (45%) of plots had 100% canopy cover, so this likely reflects the additional increases in fuel load that occur due to other structural attributes such as higher BA within a closed canopy area. Total canopy cover tended to influence the level of fuel load below its mean value, whereas white fir canopy cover had more of its influence above the mean prediction level. This may reflect that overall degree of canopy openness influences fuel loads in their lower range of values, but a greater proportion of white fir can contribute to additional fuel load for fine woody fuels.

Live pine BA also had a relatively small range of influence (Fig. 3), despite its high importance for predicting both 1- and
10-h fuels, and it tended to influence fuel loads below their mean value. This implies that the role of pine is most significant when loads of 1- and 10-h fuels are low, and the influence of pine is lost when greater fuel loads are present. In contrast, BA of live fir and total live and dead BA had wide ranges of influence on predicted fuel loads, indicating that these variables are good general predictors of fuel load, even at high values. For example, within the range of values observed for live pine BA, the maximum predicted 1-h fuel load (holding total live BA and white fir CC at their average values) was around 1 Mg ha\(^{-1}\), close to the average predicted 1-h fuel load. Conversely, increasing total live BA to its maximum (holding white fir CC and live pine BA at their average values) gives a predicted 1-h fuel load of around 5 Mg ha\(^{-1}\), close to the maximum of 5.8 observed in the dataset (Fig. 3).

There are a few limitations in our study that are worth mentioning due to their potential effect on the results reported and their applicability elsewhere. The length of sampling transects may not be optimal for capturing all the variability in surface fuels. Sample length was 3 m for 1–100-h fuels and 10 m for 1000-h fuels. Several studies have found that substantially longer transects are better for adequately characterising fuel loads, particularly for larger size classes (e.g. Sikkink and Keane 2008; Keane and Gray 2013). However, the extent of sampling was similar to or slightly greater than that in other studies using the planar intercept method in Sierra Nevada mixed conifer forests, which typically ranged from 0.01 to 0.06 m of transect per square metre (Innes et al. 2006; Webster and Halpern 2010; Stephens et al. 2012). Our study used 0.07 m of transect per square metre of plot. Greater transect length would likely have decreased the variability in our measurements and resulted in fewer occurrences of zeroes for the larger fuel classes. Second, all transects were oriented in one direction (east–west). If fuels are not randomly oriented with respect to slope or predominant wind direction this can cause bias in measurements (Batschelet 1981). However, we believe this likely had a minimal effect on our data as sample transects occurred on a variety of slopes and aspects. And last, our findings are from one relatively small (100 ha) study site in the central Sierra Nevada. One of the advantages of the relatively small geographic scope of this study is that we were able to isolate some key within-stand overstorey composition and structure variables that were linked to surface fuel loads, without confounding by factors such as time since past disturbance or site productivity. Although the broader applicability of our findings from this site is unclear, our study site has a management history that is similar to much of the mixed conifer forest in the Sierra Nevada, and is of particular interest for fuels management and restoration. Development of robust predictive linkages applicable across broader geographic scales will require considerable additional sampling in different forest settings, with varying disturbance histories.

Management implications

Forest surface fuels have high inherent variability, which, as our analyses indicate, are partially tied to small-scale variability in forest overstorey structure and composition. A better understanding of factors associated with variation in fuel loads can be used to help anticipate variation in fire behaviour and effects in heterogeneous forest environments. For example, we found a positive association between abundance of white fir and greater total fine surface fuel loads. Given that fine fuels are often what drive surface fire behaviour (Rothermel 1972; Albini 1976), pockets of greater fir abundance may experience higher surface fire intensities, and thus more severe fire effects, particularly when burning in mid–late summer when fuel moistures are uniformly low (Bigelow and North 2012). Fuel beds in dense patches with higher overall canopy cover and total BAs would be expected to follow similar trajectories. In areas with very high proportions of white fir, this effect on fire severity may be compounded by its greater sensitivity to fire (Stephens and Finney 2002) and the presence of ladder fuels, a structure that often coincides with white fir dominance. In contrast, breaks in canopy cover were associated with lower fuel loads and may serve to reduce the continuity of surface fuels, thereby affecting the rate and pattern of fire spread.

Another potential application of these study results is to aid in prioritising areas for surface fuel reduction treatments. Altering the overstorey can lead to an immediate input of surface fuels if these are not also removed by the treatment, but resulting changes in overstorey could be expected to alter the fuel inputs and microclimate and thereby alter future fuel accumulation. Our findings highlight structural characteristics with the greatest potential effect on fuel loading, and therefore illustrate forest characteristics that might be manipulated to maximise future fuel heterogeneity and discontinuity. This knowledge could be applied in the design of more heterogeneous fuel treatments. Forest managers are increasingly emphasising restoration of resilient forest conditions that also provide habitat for multiple species of concern with diverse habitat requirements (North et al. 2009; North 2012). Management actions that increase overstorey heterogeneity may also lead to greater diversity in surface fuels within stands, which could help to perpetuate a heterogeneous forest structure under the influence of fire. For example, stands that have clustered associations of tree species as opposed to being distributed more uniformly may produce greater diversity in fuel bed characteristics in the future, and would therefore be expected to experience a greater variety of fire effects.

Duff and litter combined comprised nearly two-thirds of the total fuel load sampled (Table 1). Given their magnitude, these duff and litter loads have the potential to disproportionately influence fire behaviour (e.g. intensity, rate of spread [litter]) and effects (e.g. emissions, soil heating [duff], vegetation injury or mortality [both]). Therefore, manipulation of variables associated with these fuel components might be expected to have a greater influence on fuel trajectories, especially since variables that were more strongly associated with duff and litter also had relationships with other fuel components, such as total live BA (high importance values for duff, 1-h, 10-h) and total dead BA (high importance values for 1–100-h fuels and litter). Continued management of a stand following treatment may also have an effect on its future trajectory. Although we do not have post-burn fuel data, we suspect that the relationships we identified with overstorey structure/composition may be more pronounced following low- to moderate-severity fire (i.e. where overstorey trees survive) than those observed after decades of fire exclusion.
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